1	RICHARD DRURY (CBN 163559) LOZEAU DRURY LLP	
2	410 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607	
3	Ph: 510-836-4200 Fax: 510-836-4205	
4	Email: Richard@lozeaudrury.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THI	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF	FALAMEDA
10		
11	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a non-profit California corporation,	) CASE NO.
12	Plaintiff,	
13		)
14	V.	) [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT ) JUDGMENT
15	CONTINENTAL VITAMIN COMPANY, INC., a California Corporation,	) )
16	Defendant.	) )
17		) )
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG	GREED by the Parties hereto, as follows:
19	WHEREAS:	•
20		CENTER ("ERC" or "Plaintiff") is a citizen
21	enforcer of California Health and Safety Code §	
22	•	• • •
23	profit corporation organized under California's N	•
24	B. CONTINENTAL VITAMIN COM	PANY, INC. ("CONTINENTAL" of
25	"Defendant"), is a California Corporation. CONTINENTAL allegedly manufactured, packaged,	
26	distributed, marketed, and/or sold the products co	ontaining lead and lead compounds sold in the

State of California ("the Products or Product"). "Parties" means ERC and CONTINENTAL only.

- C. The name of the Products covered under this Consent Judgment are set forth in **Exhibit A**, attached hereto (any products not set forth on Exhibit A hereto are not subject to the injunctive provisions herein, and are not covered by the release of liability herein);
- D. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- E. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- F. The Products have allegedly been sold by Defendant for use in California since at least May 31, 2010.
- G. On May 31, 2011 ERC served Defendant and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided Defendant and the public enforcement agencies with notice that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals using the Products that the use of the Products exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity ("**Prop. 65 Notices**") (a copy of the 60-Day Notices are attached hereto as **Exhibit B**);
- H. The Action was brought by ERC in the public interest at least sixty (60) days after ERC provided notice of the Proposition 65 violations to Defendant and the appropriate public enforcement agencies and none of the public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against Defendant for such violations;
  - I. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court

has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein;

- J. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendant does not admit any violations or the applicability of Proposition 65. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant or Plaintiff of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant or Plaintiff of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law;
- K. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment; and,
- L. The "**Effective Date**" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** in consideration of the promises, covenants and agreements herein contained, the sufficiency and adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged by the Parties:

1. **Injunctive Relief**. On and after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall not distribute into the State of California, or directly sell in the State of California any Product for which the maximum dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms (**mcg**) of lead, excluding the following amounts of naturally occurring lead in the ingredients listed below in Table 1A in accordance with the Attorney General's Stipulation Modifying Consent Judgments in *People v. Warner Lambert et al.* (San. Fran. Sup. Crt. Case No. 984503), unless each individual Product (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) bears one of the warning statements specified

### TABLE 1A

NATURALLY OCCURRING AMOUNT OF LEAD
.8 mcg /1000 milligrams
<u>.4 mcg/g</u>
8.0 mcg/g
<u>.4 mcg/g</u>
.332 mcg/g
<u>.4 mcg/g</u>
<u>.8 mcg/g</u>
1.1 mcg/g

2. For Products that cause exposures in excess of that permitted by paragraph 1, unless Defendant can prove that the excess exposure is caused solely by "naturally occurring" lead at the "lowest level currently feasible," as set forth in 27 California Code of Regulations § 25501(a), Defendant shall, at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California, (1) affix to or print on the Product container, cap, label, or unit package, or (2) display at the point of sale of the Products the following warning (the language in brackets in the warning below is optional):

# WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known [to the State of California] to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm.

The term "cancer" shall be included in the warning only if there is an exposure to a daily dose of more than 15 micrograms of lead when taken as directed on the Product's label and as defined by the quality control methodology set forth in paragraph 4 below.

3. The warning required by paragraph 2 above shall be prominently affixed to, printed

on, or displayed proximately to the point of sale of each Product intended for sale to a purchasers in the State of California, with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the labeling as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. If the warning is displayed on the Product container or labeling, the warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product container or labeling, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Product. The requirement for Product labeling set forth herein is imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment and is recognized by the parties as not being the exclusive method of providing a warning for the Products under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.

- 4. The Parties agree that on or after the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell the following products to a purchaser in the State of California:
  - a. CVC Specialties Ginseng Blast Lead
  - b. CVC Specialties Billy's Horny Goat Weed Lead
  - c. CVC Specialties Yohimbe Rush Lead
  - d. CVC Specialties Staminex Lead
- 5. Defendant may reformulate the Products discussed in paragraph 4 to reduce the lead content to below levels requiring a Proposition 65 warning, in which case the Parties agree that the Products may be offered for sale in California without the warnings discussed in this Consent Judgment. If Defendant contends that the Products have been so reformulated, then at least once each year, Defendant shall undertake testing of any of the Products on which it does not intend to place a warning label discussed in paragraph 2 above. Defendant (itself or through another) shall test at least two (2) randomly-selected samples of each such Product for lead

1	
1	content, to confirm whether the daily dose is more or less than 0.5 micrograms of lead plus the
2	allowable amounts of the lead for the ingredients listed in Table 1A when taken as directed on
3	the Product's label. All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by a
4	laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program for the
5	analysis of heavy metals or a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the
6	United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. The method of
7	selecting samples for testing must comply with the regulations of the Food and Drug
8	Administration as set forth in Title 21, Part 111, Subpart E of the Code of Federal Regulations,
9	including section 111.80(c). Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled
10	Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and closed-vessel, microwave-assisted digestion
11	employing high-purity reagents <sup>1</sup> or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the
12	parties. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require
13	that others conduct, additional testing of the Products, including the raw materials used in their
14	manufacture. This Consent Judgment, including the testing and sampling methodology set forth
15	in this paragraph, is the product of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the parties
16	for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action, including
17	future compliance by Defendant with this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any other
18	purpose, or in any other matter and, except for the purpose of determining future compliance
19	with this Consent Judgment, shall not constitute an adoption or employment of a method of
20	analysis for a listed chemical in a specific medium as set forth in 27 California Code of
21	Regulations § 25900(g). For the first two years from the date of this Consent Judgment,
22	Defendant shall provide any test results and documentation to ERC within 30 working days of
23	completion of the testing; thereafter upon written request from ERC, and shall retain all test
24	

See Mindak, W.R., Cheng, J., Canas, B.J., & Bolger, P.M. Lead in Women's and Children's Vitamins, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008, 56, 6892-96.

results and documentation from the date testing commenced.

- 6. The requirements set forth above, will only apply to any time in which Defendant is a "person in the course of doing business," as that term is defined in Health and Safety Code § 25249.11(b).
- 7. Defendant within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date will instruct all of its customer service personnel responding to consumer inquiries by phone, e-mail or other correspondence to instruct consumers not to consume more than the a recommended daily dose on the writing on the label for all the Products.
- 8. **Civil Penalty Assessment**. Defendant agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$4,400 pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b). Plaintiff shall remit 75% of this amount (\$3,300) to the State of California pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25192.
- 9. **Payment In Lieu of Further Civil Penalties.** Defendant agrees to make an additional payment in lieu of further civil penalties in the amount of \$16,600 to ERC for projects to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals, and to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals.
- 10. **Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs**. Defendant agrees to reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable investigative, expert and attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and prosecuting this action, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and obtaining required approvals from the Office the California Attorney General and the Superior Court; these fees and costs total \$29,000.
- 11. **Payment Schedule.** Pursuant to Paragraphs 8, 9 and 10 herein, Defendant agrees to remit the total amount of \$50,000 to Plaintiff, by check or money order payable to: the "Lozeau Drury LLP Client Trust Account" and remitted to the Law Office of Lozeau Drury LLP at the law firm's address noted in the Notice provision below. The schedule for the payment of these funds shall be as follows: (a) an initial payment of \$10,000.00 within thirty (30) calendar

	I I	
1		ł
2	\	V
3		l
4	I	)
5	ł	)(
6	0	7
7	I	)
8	I	);
9	S	t

days of the Effective Date; (b) \$10,000 within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date; (c) \$10,000 within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date; (d) \$10,000 within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Effective Date; (e) \$10,000 within one hundred and fifty (150) days of the Effective Date. In the event that any payments owed under this Consent Judgment is not remitted on or before its due date, Defendant shall be deemed to be in default of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. Plaintiff shall provide written notice to Defendant of any default; if Defendant fails to remedy the default within two (2) business days of such notice, then all future payments due hereunder shall become immediately due and payable, with the California statutory interest rate applying to all interest accruing on unpaid balances due hereunder, beginning on the due date of the funds in default.

- 12. Plaintiff's Release of Defendant; includes A "Downstream Release." Plaintiff, acting on behalf of itself and acting on behalf of the general public, permanently and fully releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates (including those companies that are under common ownership and/or common control), shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, and each entity to whom each of them directly or indirectly distributed or sold the Products, including, but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, and any other person or entity in the course of doing business who distributed, marketed or sold the Products, from all claims of any nature asserted in the Prop. 65 Notices.
- 13. **Limits of Release.** Nothing in this release is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65 nor shall it apply to any of Defendant's products not set forth on Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment.
- 14. **Release of Environmental Research Center.** Defendant, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against ERC for all actions or statements made or undertaken by ERC in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against the named Defendant by means of the Prop. 65 Notices.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

15. Motion for Approval of Consent Judgment/Notice to the California Attorney **General's Office.** Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Plaintiff shall file a civil complaint in this action together with a notice a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the California Superior Court for the County of Alameda pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations §3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all of the Parties to the Action and upon the California Attorney General's Office. In the event that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the judgment, this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of any Party as to them and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein. Defendant and ERC shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner and prior to the hearing on the motion to approve this Consent Judgment. If the Attorney General elects to file papers with the Court stating that the People shall appear at the hearing for entry of this Consent Judgment so as to oppose entry of the Consent Judgment, then a party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties and the Attorney General, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void and any payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be promptly returned to Defendant.

- 16. **Severability**. In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 17. **Enforcement**. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, this Consent Judgment may be enforced pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 or any other valid provision of law. The prevailing party in any such dispute shall be awarded all reasonable fees and costs incurred.

25

26

- 18. **Governing Law**. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 19. **Notices.** All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and shall be sent by first class registered or certified mail addressed as follows. All correspondence to ERC shall be mailed to:

Environmental Research Center 5694 Mission Center Road, #199 San Diego, CA 92108

And to:

Richard Drury Lozeau Drury LLP 410 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607

All correspondence to Defendant shall be mailed to:

Ronald Beckenfeld Continental Vitamin Company, Inc.'s 4510 S Boyle Ave Vernon, CA 90058

- 20. **Integration & Modification.** This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibits hereto which are specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the Parties.
- 21. **Counterparts.** This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. Execution and delivery of this Agreement by facsimile transmission or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Photocopies of the executed Agreement shall have the same force and effect as an Agreement bearing original

1	signatures.		
2	22. <b>Authorization.</b> The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent		
3	Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the		
4	terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.		
5			
6	DATED: By:		
7	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
8 9	DATED: By:Ronald Beckenfeld, CEO		
10	CONTINENTAL VITAMIN COMPANY, INC.		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED		
12	Dated:		
13	Judge of the Superior Court		
14			
15	EXHIBIT A - Product List		
16	<b>EXHIBIT B -</b> Prop. 65 Notice of Violation		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

ı		
1	signatures.	
2	22. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent	
3	Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the	
4	terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.	
5	hal white	
6	DATED: 12/5/11 By: By:	
7	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
8		
9	DATED: By: Ronald Beckenfeld, CEO	
10	CONTINENTAL VITAMIN COMPANY, INC	•
11	IT IS SO ORDERED	
12	Dated:	
13	Judge of the Superior Court	
14		
15	EXHIBIT A - Product List	
16	EXHIBIT B - Prop. 65 Notice of Violation	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
- 1		

1	EXHIBIT A
2	PRODUCT LIST
3	
4	CVC Specialties Ginseng Blast CVC Specialties Billy's Horny Goat Weed CVC Specialties Yohimbe Rush CVC Specialties Staminex
5	CVC Specialties Youmbe Rush CVC Specialties Staminex
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	EXHIBIT B	
2	<u>P</u>	rop 65. Notice
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836.4205 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, Ca 94607 www.lozeaudrury.com richard@lozeaudrury.com

May 31, 2011

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Continental Vitamin Company, Inc. 4510 S Boyle Ave Vernon, CA 90058

Ronald Beckenfeld (Continental Vitamin Company, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 4510 S Boyle Ave Vernon, CA 90058

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

#### VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

#### Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violators") is:

Continental Vitamin Company, Inc.

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

CVC Specialties Ginseng Blast - Lead CVC Specialties Billy's Horny Goat Weed - Lead CVC Specialties Yohimbe Rush - Lead CVC Specialties Staminex - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to each of the Violators.

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and/or recommended use of these products by consumers. The primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to the identified chemicals. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since May 31, 2008, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall, and is located at 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 309-4194. ERC has retained me in connection with this matter. While you may contact Mr. Heptinstall directly, we suggest that communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Richard Drury

cc: Karen Evans

#### Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Continental Vitamin Company, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

#### CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Continental Vitamin Company, Inc.

#### I, Richard Drury, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: May 31, 2011

Richard Drury

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On May 31, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Continental Vitamin Company, Inc. 4510 S. Boyle Ave Vernon, CA 90058 Ronald Beckenfeld (Continental Vitamin Company, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 4510 S. Boyle Ave Vernon, CA 90058

On May 31, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On May 31, 2011, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION**, **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ*.; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on** each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on May 31, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Soft Mills

#### Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113