| 1                                   |   |   |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 2                                   |   |   |  |  |
| 3                                   |   |   |  |  |
| 4                                   |   |   |  |  |
| 5                                   |   |   |  |  |
| 6                                   |   |   |  |  |
| 7                                   |   |   |  |  |
| 8                                   | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE   | STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |  |  |
| 9                                   | FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN   |   |  |  |
| 10                                  |   |   |  |  |
| 11                                  |   |   |  |  |
| 12                                  | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,  | ) Case No. CV 1104722   |  |  |
| 13                                  | a non-profit corporation,   | )<br>)<br>  |  |  |
| 14                                  | Plaintiff,  | ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT<br>) AS TO BURNES HOME ACCENTS, LLC |  |  |
| 15                                  | VS.   | )<br>)  |  |  |
| 16                                  | BURNES HOME ACCENTS, LLC; KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES, INC., et al.,                               | )<br>)  |  |  |
| 17                                  | Defendant.  | )<br>)  |  |  |
| 18                                  |   | )   |  |  |
| 19                                  | 1. INTRODUCTION   |   |  |  |
| 20                                  | 1.1 This Consent Judgment is enter  | red into by the Center For Environmental                          |  |  |
| 21                                  | Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Burnes Home Accents, LLC                |   |  |  |
| 22                                  | ("Defendant") to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the    |   |  |  |
| 23                                  | operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Burnes Home       |   |  |  |
| 24                                  | Accents, LLC, et al., Marin County Superior Court Case No. CV 1104722 (the "Action").           |   |  |  |
| 25                                  | 1.2 On July 1, 2011, CEH provided   | l a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to                    |  |  |
| 26                                  | the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City |   |  |  |
| 27                                  | Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant     |   |  |  |
| 28                                  |   |   |  |  |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER |   | 1-  |  |  |

CONSENT JUDGMENT – BURNES – Case No. CV1104722

regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") in photo albums ("Covered Products").

- 1.3 On September 22, 2011, CEH filed its complaint in the Action to name Defendant as a party.
- 1.4 Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and that manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.6 CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to sales of Covered Products by Defendant and their chain of distribution, including without limitation, defendant Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and

27

28

compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains accessible component parts or that is made of any accessible material, that is more than 0.01 percent (100 parts per million ("ppm")) Lead by weight when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodology 3050-B or equivalent methods. The term "accessible" for purposes of this section means any part or material that could be handled, touched or mouthed during normal or reasonably foreseeable use.
- 2.2 **Cessation of Sales of Covered Products.** On or before the Effective Date, Defendant shall cease shipping the Burnes Home Accents Photo Album in Red, SKU No. 0-13208-29172-9, as identified in CEH's pre-suit Notice of Violation to Defendant (the "Noticed Product"), to stores and/or customers in California, and Defendant hereby confirms that it has undertaken best commercial efforts to ensure that the Noticed Product is no longer being sold by Defendant or its chain of distribution in California.

## 3. ENFORCEMENT

- 2.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Should the Party seeking to enforce prevail on any motion or application under this section, such Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with such motion, order to show cause or procedure from the other Party.
  - 3.2 It is the intention of the Parties that the sale or offer of sale of a Noticed

Product which was: (i) returned to the retail store by a customer; (ii) inadvertently overlooked when Noticed Products were removed from retail store shelves and inventory; or (iii) otherwise inadvertent ("Inadvertent Sale") shall not constitute a violation of this Consent Judgment.

## 4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments From Defendant.** Within ten (10) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117, and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 4.2.1 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$3,930 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 4.2.2 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$5,900 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="https://www.ceh.org/what-we-do/supporting-communities/the-justice-fund">www.ceh.org/what-we-do/supporting-communities/the-justice-fund</a>. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 4.2.3 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$20,170 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made

payable to the Lexington Law Group.

## 5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH, on behalf of itself and the public interest, and Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, specifically including but not limited to Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition that has been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, the

| 1         | Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged      |  |  |
|-----------|---|--|--|
| 2         | failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant |  |  |
| 3         | after the Effective Date.   |  |  |
| 4         | 7. PROVISION OF NOTICE  |  |  |
| 5         | 7.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment,             |  |  |
| 6         | the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:                       |  |  |
| 7         | 7.1.1 <b>Notices to Defendant.</b> The person for Defendant to receive Notices                |  |  |
| 8         | pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:   |  |  |
| 9         | Clifton J. McFarland<br>Downey Brand LLP  |  |  |
| 10        | 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor<br>Sacramento, CA 95814  |  |  |
| 11        | cmcfarland@DowneyBrand.com  |  |  |
| 12        | Timothy K. Corley Timothy K. Corley, P.C.   |  |  |
| 13        | 2815 Darby Drive Post Office Box 1168   |  |  |
| 14        | Florence, AL 35630<br>tim@timothycorley.com   |  |  |
| 15        | 7.1.2 <b>Notices to Plaintiff.</b> The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to          |  |  |
| 16        | this Consent Judgment shall be:   |  |  |
| 17        | Howard Hirsch   |  |  |
| 18        | Lexington Law Group<br>503 Divisadero Street  |  |  |
| 19        | San Francisco, CA 94117<br>hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com  |  |  |
| 20        | 7.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent              |  |  |
| 21        | by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.                         |  |  |
| 22        | 8. COURT APPROVAL   |  |  |
| 23        | 8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided              |  |  |
| 24        | however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and   |  |  |
| 25        | Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.  |  |  |
| 26        |   |  |  |
| 27        |   |  |  |
| 28 EPARED | -6-   |  |  |
| LANED     | -N-   |  |  |

## 4

## 5 6

## 7

## 8 9

# 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## 22

23

24

## 25

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

#### 9. **GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 12. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1 Each Party represents that the individual signing this Consent Judgment on its behalf is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally

-7-

| 1                     | to bin  | d that Party.   |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| 2                     | 13.   | NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS  |  |
| 3                     |   | Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any                  |  |
| 4                     | claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent |   |  |
| 5                     | Judgn   | Judgment.   |  |
| 6                     | 14.   | EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS   |  |
| 7                     |   | 14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts          |  |
| 8                     | and b   | by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. |  |
| 9                     | IT IS   | IT IS SO STIPULATED:  |  |
| 10                    | Dated   | : March 15, 2012 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  |  |
| 11                    | Date  |   |  |
| 12                    |   | Cin   |  |
| 13                    |   | Signature   |  |
| 14                    |   | $\mathcal{C}$   |  |
| 15                    |   | CMARLIE VIZARAO   |  |
| 16                    |   | Printed Name  |  |
| 17                    |   | 1   |  |
| 18                    |   | Title Director  |  |
| 19                    |   | Title   |  |
| 20                    |   |   |  |
| 21                    |   |   |  |
| 22                    |   |   |  |
| 23                    |   |   |  |
| 24                    |   |   |  |
| 25                    |   |   |  |
| 26                    | !   |   |  |
| 27                    |   |   |  |
| 28  DOCUMENT PREPARED |   | -8-   |  |
| ON RECYCLED PAPER     | i   |   |  |

CONSENT JUDGMENT - BURNES - Case No. CV1104722

| 1  | Dated: March 22, 2012       | DUDNES HOME ACCENTS LLC                                |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| 2  | Baica. 77197 CT 22 , 2012   | BURNES HOME ACCENTS, LLC                               |
| 3  |                             |  |
| 4  |                             | Signature  |
| 5  |                             | Signaturo  |
| 6  |                             | Miguae 1 Terror  |
| 7  |                             | MICHAEL A. JENKINS Printed Name                        |
| 8  |                             |  |
| 9  |                             | CFO  |
| 10   |                             | Title  |
| 11   |                             |  |
| 12   |                             |  |
| 13   | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, |  |
| 14   | AND DECREED                 |  |
| 15   | Da4a 4.                     |  |
| 1  | l Daled.                    |  |
| 16   | Dated:                      | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE                     |
| 17   | Dated:                      | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 17<br>18   | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19   | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20   | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                                     | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                               | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23                         | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24                   | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25             | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26       | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27 | Dated:                      |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26       | Dated:                      |  |