1	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES Michael Freund (State Bar No. 99687) Ryan Hoffman (State Bar No. 283297)			
2	1919 Addison Street, Suite 105			
3	Berkeley, CA 94704 Tel: (510) 540-1992			
4	Fax: (510) 540-5543			
5	Email: freund1@aol.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER			
7	Ryan M. Andrews (SBN 274106) Venable LLP			
8	2049 Century Park East, Suite 2100			
9	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 229-0344			
10	Fax: (310) 229-9901 Email: RMAndrews@Venable.com			
11	Attorney for Defendant			
12	FIRST FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
15	COUNTY OF	ALAMEDA		
16	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit	CASE NO. CGC-13-532166		
17	corporation,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED		
18	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JŪDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER		
19	v.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.		
20	FIRST FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Action Filed: June 17, 2013		
21		Trial Date: None set		
22	Defendant.			
23				
24				
25	1. INTRODUCTION			
26	1.1 On June 17, 2013 Plaintiff Envi	ronmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-		
27	*			
28	profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing			
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PRO	POSED] ORDER CASE NO. CGC-13-532166		

a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against FIRST FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. ("First Fitness" or "Defendant"). In this action, ERC alleges that the products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant, as more fully described below, contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and that such products expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products are: FirstFitness RejuvaCel with Glucosanol; FirstFitness LipoMax Liver Cleanse; FirstFitness Renu Ultimate Colon Cleanse; FirstFitness Slim 'N Up! Xtreme; FirstFitness Vital Green Plus; and FirstFitness Suddenly Slim Body FX Tropical Crème Weight Control Beverage Mix (collectively the "Covered Products"). ERC and Defendant are referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 Defendant is a business entity that employed ten or more persons. Defendant arranges the manufacture, distribution and sale of the Covered Products.
- 1.4 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation, dated August 5, 2011 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Defendant. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Violation is attached as **Exhibit A**. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice of Violation was mailed, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Defendant with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

- Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Defendant denies all material allegations contained in the Notice of Violation and Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products required a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise caused harm to any person. Except for the representations made above, nothing in the Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose.
- 1.6 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers.
- 1.7 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.8 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.
- 1.9 Subsequent to ERC's Notice of Violation, First Fitness discontinued sales of Slim 'N Up to California.

 over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in his action based on the facts alleged in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has

3.1 On and after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall not distribute into the State of California or sell in the State of California any Covered Product for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms (mcg) of lead, unless the warnings are provided as set forth in section 3.2.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For Covered Products that cause exposures in excess of that permitted by Paragraph 1, Defendant shall provide the following warning (the language in brackets in the warning below is optional):

[California Proposition 65] WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known [to the State of California] to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Defendant shall use the term "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4. The words "California Proposition 65" may be included at Defendant's option.

 First Fitness shall provide the warning on all of the following: 1) on First Fitness's checkout page on its website for California consumers; 2) on First Fitness's insert in boxes of Covered Products shipped to California; and 3) on First Fitness's receipt/order confirmation provided to California customers for Covered Products.

The warning shall appear with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the labeling, website, package insert, or receipt/order confirmation provided as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. The warning shall not exceed the language specified in Section 3.2. However, this provision does not prohibit First Fitness from including information about the Proposition 65 warning separately from the warning on its website (e.g., on a Frequently Asked Questions page). The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product container or labeling, website, package insert, or receipt/order confirmation provided, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. The warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Product, if there are any.

3.3 Naturally-Occurring Lead Levels

If appropriate, First Fitness may exclude the sum of the amount of lead contained in each ingredient listed in Table 1 present in the maximum daily serving recommended by First Fitness in each Covered Product. If First Fitness seeks to subtract out the amount of lead pursuant to this Section, upon calculating lead content, First Fitness shall provide ERC with the name of the Covered Product that First Fitness contends contains naturally-occurring lead, the exact ingredient(s) listed below in Table 1 in the Covered Product, the percentage of each ingredient in the Covered Product (in grams), and the amount (in grams) in the maximum daily serving recommended by First Fitness of each ingredient in Table 1.

submit this information to ERC confidentially.

TABLE 1

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY-OCCURRING AMOUNT OF LEAD
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 mcg lead per gram of elemental calcium
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 mcg lead per gram of ferrous fumarate
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg lead per gram of zinc oxide
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 mcg lead per gram of magnesium oxide
Potassium Chloride	1.1 mcg lead per gram of potassium chloride
Cocoa powder	1.0 mcg lead per gram of cocoa powder

First Fitness may update this list from time to time. First Fitness will be entitled to

3.4 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.5. As used in this Consent Judgment, "no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day" means that the samples of the testing performed by Defendant under Section 3.5 yield a daily exposure of no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead (with daily exposure calculated pursuant to Section 3.5 of this Consent Judgment), after excluding levels of naturally occurring lead pursuant to Section 3.3. For products that cause exposures in excess of 0.5 micrograms of lead per day, Defendant shall provide the warning set forth in Section 3.2.

Defendant may reformulate the Covered Products to reduce the lead content to below levels requiring a Proposition 65 warning, in which case the Parties agree that the Covered Products may be offered for sale in California without the warnings discussed in this Consent Judgment. If Defendant contends that a Covered Product has been so reformulated, then at

least once each year, Defendant shall undertake testing of any reformulated Covered Product on which it does not intend to place a warning label discussed in Section 3.2 above.

Defendant shall arrange for testing of at least three (3) randomly-selected samples of each such reformulated Covered Product for lead content, to confirm whether the daily dose is more or less than 0.5 micrograms of lead when taken as directed on the Covered Product's label. For purposes of determining whether a warning, if any, is required pursuant to Section 3.2, the highest lead detection result of the three (3) randomly-selected samples of the reformulated Covered Products will be controlling.

3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

In the event that First Fitness chooses to classify a Covered Product as a Reformulated Product under Section 3.4 (as opposed to meeting the warning requirements set out in Section 3.2), the below testing requirements apply.

- 3.5.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of the Covered Product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the Covered Product (using the largest serving size appearing on the Covered Product label), multiplied by servings of the Covered Product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the Covered Product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.
- 3.5.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, limit of qualification, accuracy, and precision and meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)

 achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties.

alaboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or a laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration, a federal agency, the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program, or similar nationally-recognized accrediting organization for the analysis of heavy metals or a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the a federal agency, the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program, or similar nationally-recognized accrediting organization to perform analysis of heavy metals First Fitness may test the Covered Products if First Fitness is a qualified laboratory as described above. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees and costs (which includes, but is not limited to, filing fees and costs of attorneys and testing nutritional health supplements), Defendant shall make a total payment of \$60,000.00. Said payment shall be for the following:
- 4.2 \$10,488.00 shall be payable as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$7,866.00 shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and \$2,622.00 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center. California Health and Safety Code section

8

28

4.6

In the event that any payments owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment are

CASE NO. CGC-13-532166

will be responsible for forwarding the civil penalty. 4.3 \$26,919.00 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center as

25249.12(c)(1) & (d). Defendant shall send both civil penalty payments to ERC's counsel who

reimbursement to ERC for (A) reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this action; and (B) \$6,991.00 shall be payable to Environmental Research Center in lieu of further civil penalties, for the day-today business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65

- 4.4 \$10,395.00 shall be payable to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and \$5,207.00 shall be payable to Ryan Hoffman as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees.
- 4.5 Defendant shall make a total payment of \$60,000.00 ("Payment"). The Payment shall be made in twelve equal installments. The first installment of the Payment, in the amount of \$5,000.00, is due on the First of the month following the Effective Date. The remaining eleven payments are due on the First of each month thereafter. Each installment of the Payment shall be in the form of a check sent to counsel for ERC, Michael Freund, Michael Freund & Associates at 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105, Berkeley, CA. 94704 and shall be delivered on or before the deadline set forth herein for that installment. The checks shall be made payable to "Michael Freund & Associates."

not remitted on or before the due date, Defendant shall be in default of its obligations under this Settlement Agreement. ERC shall provide written notice to Defendant of any default at: First Fitness International Inc., 1430 Bradley Lane Suite 196, Carrolton, TX. 75007. If Defendant fails to remedy the default within five (5) business days of receiving such notice, then all future payments due herein shall become immediately due and payable.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties; and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified Consent Judgment.
- 5.2 If either Party seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then the Party requesting the modification must provide written notice to the other Party of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If the Party receiving the Notice of Intent seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification, then the Party must provide written notice to the other Party within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If such notice is provided in a timely manner, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or on the telephone within thirty (30) days of notification of intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if the Party receiving the Notice of Intent disputes the proposed modification, that Party shall provide the other Party a written factual basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. The Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that Defendant initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, Defendant shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing a joint motion or

application in support of a modification of the Consent judgment, as well as ERC's reasonable costs.

5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Only after it complies with Section 15 below may any Party, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (and for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform First Fitness in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit First Fitness to identify the Covered Products at issue. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action pursuant to Section 15.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their

respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are exclusively distributed and/or or sold outside the State of California. With respect to Covered Products that are distributed and/or sold both inside and outside of California, the requirements contained in this Consent Judgment apply to the Covered Products only to the extent that the distribution and/or sales occur in California. This Consent Judgment shall terminate without further action by any Party when Defendant no longer manufactures, distributes or sells all of the Covered Products and all of such Covered Products previously "distributed for sale in California" have reached their expiration dates and are no longer sold.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the date of entry of Judgment for Defendant's failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products as asserted in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendant and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, customers (not including private label customers of Defendant), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, General Research Laboratories, American Nutritional Corporation and all other entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of

any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead as stated in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint.

8.2 ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby releases and discharges the Released Parties from all known and unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law claims, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violation. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violation or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims, including all rights of action therefore. ERC has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER
FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF
KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS
OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- 8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products.
- 8.4 ERC and Defendant each release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice of Violation or the Complaint; provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, or certified mail; (b) overnight courier; or (c) personal delivery. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

With a copy to:

Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman

- 11	
111	1919 Addison Street, Suite 105
-	Berkeley, CA 94704 Fel: (510) 540-1992
	Fax: (510) 540-5543 Email: freund1@aol.com
	Email: Heulid (Wao).com
	FOR FIRST FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
,	Ryan M. Andrews
1	Venable LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2100
	Los Angeles, CA 90067
I	Геl: (310) 229-0344 Fax: (310) 229-9901
I	Email: RMAndrews@Venable.com
1	With a copy to:
1	Nigel Branson
1	First Fitness International Inc. 430 Bradley Lane Suite 196
	Carrolton, TX. 75007
	2 COMPT ADDROVAL
1	2. COURT APPROVAL
	12.1 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be
V	roid and have no force or effect.
	12.2 ERC shall comply with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)
a	nd with Title II of the California Code Regulations, Section 3003.
1	3. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS
Τ	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to
С	onstitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original
S	ignature.
1	4. DRAFTING
Τ	The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the each
-	
11 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CGC-13-532166

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Party to this Settlement prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms with counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment entered thereon, the terms and provisions shall not be construed against any Party.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

CASE NO. CGC-13-532166

16.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated:	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
5		By: Mary Mary
6	*	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director
7 8 9	Dated: 6/4/2014	FIRST FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. By: Nigel Branson, President
1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
.2	, /	
.3	Dated: <u>6/5</u> , 2014	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER By: Michael Freund Attorney for Plaintiff
.7 .8 .9 .20	Dated: 6/5/, 2014	VENABLE LLP By: Ryan M. Andrews Attorney for Defendant
21		
22		
23	*	
25		
26		
27		
28		

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CGC-13-532166

	JUDGMENT		
Based	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is approved		
and Ju	and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
5			
7			
Dated	l:, 2014		
e 	Judge of the Superior Court		
2			
3			
1			
5			
5			
7			
3			
9			
L			
2			
3			
1			
5			
5			
7			
3			
	POSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CGC-13-53216		