1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
9	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CIV 1104003		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.		
14	ADAMS USA, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	1. INTRODUCTION		
19	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a		
20	California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Joe-Anne Company International, Inc.		
21	("Defendant"), to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the		
22	operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Adams USA, Inc.,		
23	et al., Marin County Superior Court Case No. CIV 1104003 (the "Action").		
24	1.2 On August 24, 2011, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to		
25	the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City		
26	Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant		
27	regarding the presence of lead in baseball or other sports belts used in athletic uniforms		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER ON RECYCLED PAPER	("Covered Products").		
	- 1 -		

1.3 On August 10, 2011, CEH filed the complaint in the Action against other named defendants and against Does 1 through 200. On November 2, 2011, CEH filed an amendment to the complaint pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474 identifying Defendant as Doe 1 in the complaint.

1.4 Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and which manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.

1.6 CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, sconclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is

accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2.

1

2

3

4

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, import, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Covered Product in California or anywhere else unless such Covered Product complies with the following Lead Limits:

2.1.1 "Paint or other Surface Coatings" as that term is defined in 16 C.F.R. §1303.2(b): no more than 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 parts per million ("ppm")).

2.1.2 All other materials: no more than .01 percent Lead by weight (100 ppm). 2.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. By the Effective Date, Defendant shall cease shipping the Adams Brown Baseball Belt and the Adams Yellow Baseball Belt, as identified in CEH's pre-suit Notice of Violation to Defendant (the "Recall Covered Products"), to stores and/or customers in California, and Defendant shall withdraw the Recall Covered Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Covered Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Covered Products for sale and to either return all Recall Covered Products to Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Covered Products. Any destruction of the Recall Covered Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall

28 OCCUMENT 1 ALL ON RECYCLED PAPER ON RECYCLED PAPER

27

meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

- 4.

PAYMENTS

4.1 Payments From Defendant. Within five (5) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the sum of \$18,000, and on or before December 7, 2012, Defendant shall pay the sum of \$18,000, for a total sum of \$36,000 as a settlement payment. The payment due within five (5) days of entry of this Consent Judgment shall be paid in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$11,830 made payable to the Center for Environmental Health; and (b) \$6,170 made payable to Lexington Law Group. The \$18,000 payment due on December 7th shall be made by check payable to Lexington Law Group. All of these payments shall be delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117-2212. Any failure by Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be allocated as follows:

4.2.1 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$4,730 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

4.2.2 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$7,100 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting

NT PREPARED YCLED PAPER YCLED PAPER

28

5.

1

2

3

4

people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <u>www.ceh.org/justicefund</u>. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

4.2.3 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$24,170 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

6.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees,

28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER ON RECYCLED PAPER

6.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, the Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date. 7. **PROVISION OF NOTICE** When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 7.1 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows: 7.1.1 **Notices to Defendant.** The persons for Defendant to receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be: Melissa Jones Stoel Rives LLP 500 Capitol Mall, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 majones@stoel.com 7.1.2 **Notices to Plaintiff.** The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be: Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com - 6 -CONSENT JUDGMENT - JOE-ANNE COMPANY - CASE NO. CIV1104003

regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products

manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

7.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

8.

COURT APPROVAL

8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.

8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9.

GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

OCUMENT PREPARED DN RECYCLED PAPER DN RECYCLED PAPER

1	
2	
3	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

11.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

12. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

13. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

13.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

16	Dated: JUNE 6, 2012	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
17		HEALTH
18		
19		Mechoel C
20		Printed Name
21		
22		MICHAEL GREED
23		Title
24		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
25		
26		
27		
28 ED PAPER ED PAPER		
		- 8 -

