1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ) Case No. CIV-1102577 HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, )		
12	) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiff, ) AS TO FIBERLINKS TEXTILES, INC.		
13	v.		
14	99 CENTS ONLY STORES; BEST BUY CO., )		
15	INC.; BEST BUY STORES, L.P.; GEOFFREY, ) LLC; MOMENTUM BRANDS, INC.; TOYS )		
16	"R" US, INC.; and DOES 1 through 200, ) inclusive,		
17 18	Defendants.		
19	)		
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21			
22	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a		
23	California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Fiberlinks Textiles, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"), to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative		
24	complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. 99 Cents Only Stores, et al.,		
25	Marin County Superior Court Case No. CIV-1102577 (the "Action").		
26	1.2 On August 24, 2011, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to		
27	the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City		
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIBERLINKS TEXTILES, INC. – CASE NO. CIV-1102577		

Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Lead") in reusable shopping bags ("Covered Products") manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

- 1.3 Prior to entry of this Consent Judgment, CEH will amend the operative complaint in the Action to name Settling Defendant as a party.
- 1.4 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs 10 or more persons, and which manufactures, distributes and/or sells Covered Products that are offered for sale in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 CEH and Settling Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to Lead in the Covered Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling,

3.1 **Payments From Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$35,000 as a settlement payment according to the following schedule: (a) on or before May 1, 2012: \$17,500; and (b) on or before May 25, 2012: \$17,500. Each \$17,500 payment shall be payable in three separate checks as follows: (1) \$2,300 made payable to the Center for

27

Environmental Health; (2) \$3,450 made payable to the Center for Environmental Health; and (3) \$11,750 made payable to the Lexington Law Group. These payments shall be delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment.

- 3.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be allocated as follows:
- 3.2.1 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$4,600 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- 3.2.2 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$6,900 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="https://www.ceh.org/justicefund">www.ceh.org/justicefund</a>.
- 3.2.3 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$23,500 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such

thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to resolve the alleged violation before seeking to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

### 5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties hereto, their divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

# 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Settling Defendant and its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead

arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling			
Defendant prior to the Effective Date.			
7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the			
Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, the			
Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged			
failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling			
Defendant after the Effective Date.			
8. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
8.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the			
notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail as follows:			
8.1.1 <b>Notices to Settling Defendant.</b> The person for Settling Defendant to			
receive Notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:			
Frank Dres			
Fiberlinks Textiles, Inc. 815 Tecumseh Avenue			
Pointe-Claire, Quebec H9R 4B1, Canada			
With a copy to			
Frank M. Schlesinger Spiegel Sohmer Inc.			
5 Place Ville Marie, Suite 1203 Montreal, Quebec H3B 2G2 Canada			
And with a copy to			
Jeffrey B. Margulies			
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 555 South Flower Street, 41 <sup>st</sup> Floor			
Los Angeles, CA 90071			
8.1.2 <b>Notices to Plaintiff.</b> The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to			
this Consent Judgment shall be:			
Howard Hirsch			
Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street			
San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com			
8.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by			

### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 14. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

14.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

# 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

# 16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

# 17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and

1 2	Dated: March 12, 2012	FIBERLINKS TEXTILES, INC.
3		FRA TO
5		Frank Dres
7		Printed Name
8		President
9		Title
10		
11 12	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
13	AND DECREED	
14	Dated:	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
15		range of the Superior Court of the State of Camornia
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 10 -
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIBERI	JNKS TEXTILES, INC. – CASE NO. CIV-1102577