1	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059		
2	KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER		
3	424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone (707) 268 8000		
4	Telephone: (707) 268-8900 Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org		
5			
6	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5		
7	Oakland, CA 94610		
8	Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile: (510) 271-0829 E-mail: dhwill7@gmail.com		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	FOUNDATION	
11			
12			
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
14	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC-12-521671	
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	v.	(As to 31 INCORPORATED)	
17	31 INCORPORATED, et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	1.0 INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 On, or about, June 18, 2012, MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
23	FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a		
24	Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, Case		
25	No. CGC-12-521671, against defendant 31, Incorporated, (31 INC., or Settling		
26	Defendant) The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Settling Defendant violated		
27	provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and		
28	Case No. CGC-12-521671 — 1 CONSENT JUDGMENT (31 INCORPORATED)		ĺ
	n		

Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Settling Defendant knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to leaded brass products ("Brass Products") that are made of or that include a component made of leaded brass, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 1.2 On February 15, 2012, Mateel sent a Notice of Violation letter ("Notice Letter") to 31 INC., the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000.
- manufactures brass products. These Brass Products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Under specified circumstances, businesses that use products containing lead and/or lead compounds in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Plaintiff Mateel alleges that Brass Products that are made of leaded brass, or that have leaded brass components, are manufactured, distributed, and/or marketed by Settling Defendant for use in California such that a warning is required under Proposition 65.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as Brass Products manufactured or otherwise distributed by 31, INC, and set forth in the Notice Letter.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this

Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation of the Complaints, each and every allegation of which Settling Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of a Settling Defendant.

2.0 SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendant 31 INC., shall pay the total sum of \$32,500 (thirty-two thousand, five hundred dollars) in total monetary relief. Of the foregoing, a total of \$2,500 (two thousand, five hundred dollars) shall be paid in civil penalties, Mateel waives its right to receive twenty five percent (25%) of this payment, and the entire amount shall be paid to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). A total of \$10,000 (ten thousand dollars) shall be paid by Settling Defendant to Ecological Rights Foundation. This payment is to be used by ERF to inform Californians about toxic chemicals or to eliminate or reduce exposures to toxic chemicals.
- 2.2 In addition, of the foregoing total settlement amount, a total amount of \$20,000 (twenty thousand dollars) shall be paid by the Settling Defendant, to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("Klamath") as reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Klamath on behalf of Plaintiff in investigating and prosecuting this matter and in negotiating this Consent Judgment on behalf of itself and in the public interest. The payments described in Paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2 above shall be made payable to the

recipient, and delivered prior to any hearing on a motion to approve this settlement to counsel for Settling Defendant. Upon approval of the settlement by the court, counsel for Settling Defendant shall send the payments described in Paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2 within five business days of receipt of the court's order approving the settlement to William Verick, Klamath Environmental Law Center, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501. If payment has not been sent to William Verick, as provided for in this paragraph, Plaintiff may move to vacate the agreement. If this Consent Judgment has not been approved and entered by the Court within 120 days of the execution of the agreement by the parties, the terms of this agreement shall be null and void.

- 2.5 Mateel and Klamath represent and warrant that the recipient of the offset payments is a tax exempt, section 501(c)(3) non-profit organization and that funds distributed to the organization pursuant to this Consent Judgment may only be spent to reduce harm from toxic chemicals, or to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals.
- **2.6** Except as specifically provided for in this Consent Judgment, each side shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

3.0 ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment.

Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints.

4.0 MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 As to lead and lead compounds from Covered Products, this Consent Judgment provides a full release of liability on behalf of the Public Interest to Settling Defendant (as well as its past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, shareholders, employees, predecessors, successors, and assigns "Released Entities"), as to all claims and matters raised in the February 15, 2012, 60 Day Notice Letter.

22

19

20

2324

2526

27

4.2 As to alleged lead and lead compound exposures associated with Covered Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself, and its agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly, or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims as between Mateel and Settling Defendant, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, agreements, promises, royalties, accountings, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell such products or components found in such products, including, but not limited to, any claims regarding exposure to, and/or failure to warn with respect to, such products. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel on its own behalf hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have respecting the Covered Products, conferred upon it with respect to claims involving such products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in

part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to lead or lead compounds from such products, Mateel will not be able to make any claim for those damages against a Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, representatives, attorneys, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving such products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell such products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims and any other claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5.0 ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. The parties hereto agree that prior to any such enforcement action, they will notify each other of any perceived violation of this Consent Judgment. Any notice required by this section shall be given in accordance with Section 12 herein. The parties further agree to take no enforcement action for 30 days after such notice is given, in order to allow the parties to meet and confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the alleged violation.

6.0 MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.3(c), this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

• ′

7.0 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – REFORMULATION

- 7.1 Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with the warning requirements of Proposition 65 for lead and lead compounds (H&S Code 25249.6), and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for these listed chemicals if the brass that is part of the Covered Products is made of an alloy which contains no intentionally added lead or no lead content by weight of more than 0.03% ("300 parts per million," or "300 ppm"). Covered Products shall also be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirement if Settling Defendant, or any third party acting on its behalf, in good faith obtains test results indicating that the Covered Products contain no intentionally added lead or no lead content by weight of more than .03% ("300 parts per million," or "300 ppm"). Settling Defendant may rely upon the written representations from its suppliers that the test standards have been met to the extent such reliance is in good faith.
- 7.2 Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Sections 7.1 of this Consent Judgment shall be accompanied by a warning as described in paragraph 7.3 below. The warning requirements set forth in paragraph 7.3 shall apply only to products a Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, sells or ships after a period of 120 (one hundred, twenty) days following the Effective Date for sale or use inside the State of California. The Effective Date shall be the date on which the court approves settlement of this matter.
- 7.3 For Covered Products that do not meet the reformulation requirements of Sections 7.1, Settling Defendant shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows:
 - (a) Settling Defendant shall provide either of the following warning statements:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm *Wash your hands after handling this product*.

or

WARNING: This product contains [one or more] chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. *Wash hands after handling*.

Bracketed language may be omitted at a Settling Defendant's option. A Settling Defendant may add additional listed chemicals to the warning unless the Attorney General advises that the inclusion of such additional chemicals would render the warning misleading or constitute an over warning. The word "WARNING" shall be in bold, and may be preceded by the word "CALIFORNIA", "PROP 65", or "CALIFORNIA PROP 65" at the Settling Defendant's option provided such words are also in bold. The words "Wash your hands after touching this product" or "Wash hands after handling" in either warning above may be replaced by "Wash hands after use", and in any case such words shall be underlined, in bold or italicized.

Settling Defendant shall provide such warning on or attached to Covered Product or with the unit package of the Covered Products as packaged by Settling Defendant. Such warning shall be included with, affixed to or printed on each Covered Product or its label, package or container in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product or near the product brand name, or displayed price and/or UPC code, in a manner reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual.

(b) If after the Effective Date, any Settling Defendant ships Covered Products to a retailer or distributor outside of California that neither provides the warnings specified in this paragraph nor meets the Reformulation Standard specified in paragraph of this Consent Judgment ("Non-Conforming Covered Products"), and if the retailer or distributor then offers those Non-Conforming Covered Products for sale in California, then

as to those Non-Conforming Covered Products, that retailer or distributor, and their customers, are not released pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above.

(c) Except as provided in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 hereof for Covered Products manufactured or distributed prior to the Effective Date, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall create a limitation on a Proposition 65 enforcement action based on future conduct if such future conduct is not in compliance with the injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment.

8.0 AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9.0 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10.0 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11.0 GOVERNING LAW

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12.0 NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be

1	provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered		
2	or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or		
3	(ii) overnight currier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:		
4			
5	To Mateel:		
6 7	William Verick, Esq. Klamath Environmental Law Center		
8	424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501		
9	To 31 Incorporated:		
10	Kevin Gardner		
11	31, Incorporated 100 Enterprise Drive		
12	Newcomerstown, Ohio 43832		
13	with a copy to:		
14			
15	Brian R. Mertes, Esq. Black, McCuskey, Souers & Arbaugh		
16	220 Market Avenue South		
17	Canton, Ohio 44702		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Case No. CGC-12-521671 — CONSENT JUDGMENT (31 INCORPORATED)

28

13. **COURT APPROVAL** If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. IT IS SO STIPULATED: DATED: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE CEO Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center DATED: 31 INCORPORATES IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: DATED: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Case No. CGC-12-521671 – CONSENT JUDGMENT (31 INCORPORATED)