| 1 | YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W | | |
| 3 | Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310) 623-1926 | | |
| 4 | Facsimile: (310) 623-1930 | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF TH | E STATE OF CALIFORNIA Receive | |
| 9 | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | | |
| 10 | | Filing Windo | |
| 11 | CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, | Case No. BC495382 | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | Assigned For All Purposes To The | |
| 13 | V. | Honorable Kevin Brazile | |
| 14 | WISE BUYS LIQUIDATORS, INC., a | UNLIMITED JURISDICTION | |
| 15 | Delaware Corporation; MEADWESTVACO CORP., a Delaware corporation; AMERICAN | [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT | |
| 16 | FAVORITE TOOLS, INC., a California Corporation; A.D. SUTTON & SONS, a New | | |
| 17 | York Corporation; and DOES 1-50, | | |
| 18 | Defendant. | | |
| 19 | 1. INTRODUCTION | | |
| 20 | | ered into by and between plaintiff Consumer | |
| 21 | | of itself and in the interest of the public and | |
| 22 | | essor-in-interest to MeadWestvaco Corporation | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | ("ACCO"), with each a Party and collectively referred to as "Parties." 1.2 ACCO employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business. | | |
| 25 | i by the property to a possion in the course of doing business | | |
| 26 | for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") that distributes or sells daily organizers. | | |
| 27 | Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or | | |
| 28 | other reproductive harm. | of Cambinia to cause diffin delects of | |
| | TOPIC CONTRACTOR INMANERS | | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

- 1.3 Notice. On or about March 15, 2012, CAG served ACCO and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in daily organizers sold by ACCO. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4 Complaint. On November 8, 2012, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles, Superior Court, Case No. BC495382, against ACCO and other defendants. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that ACCO violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from daily organizers. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed amended to name ACCO as successor-in-interest to MeadWestvaco Corporation.
- 1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over ACCO as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to.
- 1.6 No Admission. This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which ACCO denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of ACCO.

.9

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Accessible Component" means a component of a Covered Product that could be touched by a person during normal and reasonably foreseeable use.
 - 2.2 "Covered Products" means daily organizers sold only by ACCO.
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION.

3.1 On or after the Effective Date, ACCO shall not purchase, import, manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products intended for sale to California consumers if the Covered Product contains more than 1,000 per million ("ppm") DEHP by weight.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Settlement Amount: ACCO shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notice, as follows.
 - 4.1.1 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs: ACCO shall pay \$28,000 to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.
 - 4.1.2 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of \$1,000 as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25192: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$750.00, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of \$250.00, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$750.00. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$250.00 to CAG and delivered to: Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

- 4.1.3 Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: ACCO shall pay \$1,000 in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use the payments for such projects and purposes related to environmental protection, worker health and safety, or reduction of human exposure to hazardous substances, including but not limited to, administrative and litigation costs and fees (excluding attorneys' fees), laboratory fees for testing samples for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, expert fees for evaluating exposures and merit to each potential violation of Proposition 65, and the substantial cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation.
- 4.2 **Delivery of Payments:** Payments shall be delivered within 10 days of the Effective Date to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and ACCO and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies and their predecessors, successors, and assigns including but not limited to MeadWestvaco Corporation ("Defendant Releasees") and each of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice. ACCO's and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices.
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all

actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against ACCO, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, CAG hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, DEHP or DEHP compounds from Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against ACCO, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent

Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

6.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and ACCO waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint, and CAG shall dismiss MeadWestvaco Corporation from the complaint with prejudice.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by ACCO outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, and the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment on the California Attorney General in accordance with 11 C.C.R. § 3003.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.1, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against

| . 1 | the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, is | |
|----------|--|--|
| 2 | this regard, the Parties hereoy waive California Civil Code § 1654. | |
| 3 | 15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS | |
| 4 | 15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed i | n counterparts and by means of |
| 5 | facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute | |
| 6 | one document. | |
| 7 | 16. NOTICES | |
| 8 | 16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall | be by personal delivery, overnight |
| 9 | courier, or First Class Mail. | |
| 10 | If to CAG: | |
| 11 | Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. | |
| 12 | Yeroushalmi & Associates 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W | |
| 13 | Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (310) 623-1926 | |
| 14 | If to ACCO: | |
| 15 16 | General Counsel ACCO Brands Corporation 300 Tower Parkway Lincolnshire, IL 60069 | |
| 17 18 | With a copy to: | |
| 19 | Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq. Fulbright & Jaworski LLP | |
| 20 | 555 South Flower Street, 41 st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 | |
| 21 | Tel: (213) 892-9286 | |
| 22 | 17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE | |
| 23 | 17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifi | ies that he or she is fully authorized |
| 24 | by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judg | |
| 25 | the party represented and legally to bind that party. | |
| 26 | - · | |
| 27 | | |

| Date: James 7 /3, 2014 Feb By Planniff CONSI MFR ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### # | , | AGREED TO: | AGREED TO: |
|--|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|
| ## Brand CONSUMER ADVOCACY Defendant ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION Trisso Ordered. Date: | Ag Sir | | Date: January . 3014 |
| | . 3 | | |
| TT IS SO ORDERED. Date: HUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | . 🐈 | But I I | it. |
| FT IS SO ORDERED. Date: HUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | \$ | Planuit CONSC MER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. | Detendant ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION |
| 8 HUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | (> | 類 # \$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 7 | Date: | |
| 0 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | 8 | | UDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | (١) | | |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | 10 | | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 14 | 1 | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | 3 | 100 C | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | , | | |
| 18 | 16 | | |
| 20 21 22 24 25 26 26 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 | 17 | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 | 18 | | |
| 23 24 25 26 26 26 26 27 | 19 | | |
| 23 24 25 26 26 26 | 20 | | |
| 23 24 25 26 | | | |
| 34 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 | 22 | | |
| 34 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 | 23 | | |
| 25 July 26 July 26 July 26 July 26 July 26 July 26 July 27 July 27 July 28 Jul | 1 | | |
| 26 | Į. | | |
| | | | |
| | - 5 | | |
| | | | |

| | AGREED TO: | AGREED TO: |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Date: January, 2014 | Date: January 1, 2014 |
| 2 | Date. January, 2014 | Date. Ventaury avi-t |
| 3 | | 000 |
| 4 | D | By: Panele K Scheide |
| 1 | By: Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. | Defendant ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION |
| 5 | ! | |
| 6 | it is so ordered. | |
| 7 | Date: | |
| 8 | | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| | *. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |

DOCUMENT PREPARES