1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10	UNLIMITED JUF	RISDICTION			
11	CENTED EOD ENWIDONMENTAL HEALTH	ı			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CGC-12-526396			
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
14	VS.	AS TO AMERICAN IMPORTING COMPANY, INC.			
15	FAYEON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., et al.,				
16					
17	Defendants.				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22	1 NUMBORY CONTO				
23	1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment	one the Center For Environmental Health o			
24	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and American Importing Company, Inc. dba Amport				
25					
26	("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to herein as the Parties. The				
27	Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle claim	illis regarding the lead content of crystalized			
28					

CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. CGC-12-526396

ginger snack food ("Covered Products") asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter.

- 1.2 On October 8, 2012, CEH served a 60-day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are offered for sale in the State of California.
- 1.4 On November 27, 2012, CEH filed the original Complaint in this matter. On December 20, 2012, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint in this matter. The First Amended Complaint has since been amended to add additional named defendants.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to the failure to warn about Lead contained in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent it has not already done so, no more than fifteen days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set in Section 2.2 to each supplier of Covered Products and shall instruct each such Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set in Section 2.2. If in the future a Settling Defendant purchases Covered Products from a new third party that it has not previously provided with instructions regarding the reformulation specification set in Section 2.2, the Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set in Section 2.2 prior to placing an initial order for Covered Products and instruct the new Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set in Section 2.2. Settling Defendant shall retain records of communications sent to and received from suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of five years from the Effective Date.
- 2.2 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that contains a concentration of more than seventeen (17) parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of at least ten (10) ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements (the "Reformulation Level"). Subject to Section 7 below, no allocation is made for naturally occurring Lead in food pursuant to 22 Cal. Code of Regs. §25501.
- 2.3 **Market Withdrawal of Covered Products.** Settling Defendant represents and warrants that it is no longer selling the Covered Products identified on Exhibit A (the "Withdrawn Covered Products") to stores and/or customers in California. Settling Defendant further represents and warrants that it has received confirmation from the retail entity to whom Settling Defendant sold the Withdrawn Covered Products that said products are no longer available for retail sale in California.

1 D D C to w do

2.4 **Supplier and Product Information.** On or before December 15, 2013, Settling Defendant shall provide full and complete information and supporting documentation as to the Covered Products as further specified on Exhibit B. Such information shall be provided and attested to under oath by an authorized officer of Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall cooperate and work in good faith to promptly answer any follow-up questions or requests for supporting documentation from CEH about the information and documents to be provided pursuant to this section.

3. ENFORCEMENT

any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within 15 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall pay the sum set forth on Exhibit A as further set forth in this Section and on Exhibit A.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks in the amounts specified on Exhibit A and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth on Exhibit A between the following categories and made payable as follows:

2	penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to
3	CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
4	("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for the amount
5	designated on Exhibit A as "Civil Penalty OEHHA Portion" shall be made payable to OEHHA and
6	associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as
7	follows:
8	For United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
9	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
10	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
11	Sacramento, CA 93012-4010
12	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
13	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
14	1001 I Street, MS #19B
15	Sacramento, CA 95814
16	A copy of the correspondence and payment materials shall be sent to Plaintiff's counsel.
17	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for the amount designated on Exhibit A as
18	"Civil Penalty CEH Portion" shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and
19	associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to
20	Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
21	4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code
22	§ 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall use such funds to
23	continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including
24	heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH
25	will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups
26	working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection
27	of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment
28	pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and

4.2.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all downstream entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to Albertson's LLC and distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment only addresses Covered Products and nothing in this Consent Judgment covers, releases, waives, discharges or otherwise affects CEH or any other entities ability to pursue claims under Proposition 65 or otherwise related to lead in ginger or plum snack food other than Covered Products.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that has been or could have

been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees and Settling Defendant's Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

7. EFFECT OF SUBSEQUENT SETTLEMENTS

- 7.1 The parties contemplate that future Consent Judgments entered with other defendants including farmers, processors and manufacturers may involve a higher Reformulation Level due to an allocation of Lead that is naturally occurring under 22 Cal. Code Regs. §22501. This higher Reformulation Level may also include additional injunctive requirements that will ensure that the Lead in the Covered Products is not avoidable by good agricultural or good manufacturing practices and that the producer, manufacturer, distributor, or holder of the food is at all times utilizing quality control measures that reduce natural occurring Lead to the lowest level currently feasible, as such term is defined in 22 Cal. Code Regs. §22501.
- 7.2 Accordingly, if on or before January 1, 2019, the Court enters a Judgment or a Consent Judgment to which CEH is a party that resolves Proposition 65 claims asserted by CEH regarding failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products that: (i) sets forth a Reformulation Level containing an allocation of Lead that is naturally occurring under 22 Cal. Code Regs. §22501; or (ii) includes injunctive relief designed to ensure that the Lead in the Covered Products is not avoidable by good agricultural or good manufacturing practices and that the producer, manufacturer, distributor, or holder of the food is at all times utilizing quality control measures that reduce natural occurring Lead to the "lowest level currently feasible" as such term is defined in 22 Cal. Code Regs. §22501; or (iii) a combination of both, then Settling Defendant may move the Court to modify the Reformulation Level in this Consent Judgment so that it is consistent with the reformulation requirement of such future Judgment or Consent Judgment. Prior to filing any such Motion the

 partie	s shall n	neet and confer in an attempt to agree on specific language regarding the modification	
 pursu	ant to th	is Section. If the parties are unable to agree on specific language Settling Defendant	
 shall i	inform t	he Court of both parties' position in the papers filed in support of the Motion to	
Modi	fy this C	Consent Judgment.	
8.	. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
	8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice	
shall l	be sent b	by first class and electronic mail to:	
		Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com	
	8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
Judgn	nent, the	e notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the person(s) identified in	
Exhib	oit A for	each such Settling Defendant.	
	8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by	
sendii	ng the of	ther Party notice by first class and electronic mail.	
9. COURT APPROVAL		RT APPROVAL	
	9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided	
howe	ver, that	CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and	
Settlii	ng Defe	ndant shall support approval of such Motion.	
	9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect	
and sl	nall not	be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.	
10.	GOV	ERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION	
	10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of	
Califo	ornia.		
11.	ATT(DRNEYS' FEES	
	11.1	A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent	
Judgn	nent sha	Il be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless	

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, et seg.

- Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

ı	14.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE	E TO CONSENT JUDGMENT	
2		14.1 Each signatory to this Cor	asent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized	
3	by th	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute		
4	the C	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
5	15.	15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
6		15.1 Nothing in this Consent Ju	udgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
7	again	gainst an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in		
8	this C	this Consent Judgment.		
9	16.	16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
10		16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
11	mean	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
12	const	titute one document.		
13	 IT IS	S SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, A	ND DECREED	
14				
15	Dated	Dated:		
16	:	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California		
17				
18	IT IS	S SO STIPULATED:		
19	Dat	ted: 11/15/, 2013	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
20		' /		
21			cii	
22				
23				
24			Carreiz 12mm	
25			Printed Name	
26			AssociATE DIRECTOR	
27			Title VINTER	
28			10	
		CONSENT JUDO	GMENT – CASE NO. CGC-12-526396	

	AMEDICAN IMPODITING COMPANY IN
Dated: November 18, 2013	AMERICAN IMPORTING COMPANY, IN DBA AMPORT FOODS
	Zi. M. Mini
	Kevin McMenimen Printed Name
	<u>CFO</u>

1				
2	EXHIBIT A			
3	Settling Defendant: American Importing Company, Inc. dba Amport Foods			
4	betting beterround importing company, me. dea import i cods			
5	Covered Product(s) To Be Withdrawn From Market:			
6	Albertson's Bulk Crystalized Ginger; Amport Foods SKU 0-71725-71218-9			
7				
8	Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:			
9	Total Cattlement Dayment \$65,000			
10	Total Settlement Payment \$65,000 Civil Penalty OEHHA Portion \$6,450			
11	Civil Penalty CEH Portion \$2,150 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$12,900			
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$43,500			
13	Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8:			
14	Kristin Eads			
15	Faegre Baker Daniels LLP 2200 Wells Fargo Center			
16	90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402			
17	Flagstone Foods			
18	380 St. Peter Street			
19	Suite 1000 St. Paul, MN 55102			
20	Attn: CFO			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				