1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHANLER GROUP CLIFFORD A. CHANLER, State Bar No. 135534 JONATHAN BORNSTEIN, State Bar No. 19634, 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER K&L GATES LLP EDWARD P. SANGSTER, State Bar No. 121041	5
8 9 10	4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 249-1028 Facsimile: (415) 882-8220	
11	Attorneys for Defendant TOPCO HOLDINGS, INC.	
12	,	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
16		
17	PETER ENGLANDER,	
18	Plaintiff,	Case No. CIV519234
19	v.	CONSENT TO JUDGMENT AS TO TOPCO HOLDINGS, INC.
20	TOPCO HOLDINGS, INC. and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Date:
21	Defendants.	Time: Dept:
22		Judge:
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT TO JUDGMENT AS TO DE	FENDANT TOPCO HOLDINGS, INC.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Peter Englander and Topco Holdings, Inc.

This Consent To Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Peter Englander ("Englander" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Topco Holdings, Inc. ("Topco" or "Defendant"), with Englander and Topco collectively referred to as the "Parties".

1.2 Peter Englander

Englander is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 General Allegations

Englander alleges that Topco has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles containing Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") for use in the State of California without the requisite health hazard warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notice of Violation

On October 26, 2012, Englander served Topco and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation," that alleged that Topco violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers that aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles including, but not limited to, the *Fin's Finest Easy Net, Item #84071, QN3T, (#0 36800 84071 3)* ("Covered Products"), exposed users in California to a chemical called DEHP.

1.5 Complaint

On January 17, 2013, Englander, acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a Complaint in the instant action ("Complaint") naming Topco as a defendant and alleging violations of Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, *et seq.*, based on, *inter alia*, alleged occupational and consumer exposures to the DEHP contained in the Covered Products sold in California without the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65.

- ____

1.6 No Admission

The Parties enter into this Consent To Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint or that could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. By execution of this Consent To Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, Topco does not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to DEHP in Covered Products. Nothing in this Consent To Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Topco of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent To Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Topco of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent To Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense Topco may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings. This Consent To Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by Topco for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Topco under this Consent To Judgment.

1.7 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent To Judgment only, Topco stipulates that this Court has jurisdiction over Topco as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Mateo and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent To Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Product[s]" means aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date this Consent To Judgment is approved by the court.
- 2.3 "DEHP Limits" means the maximum concentration of DEHP and DEHP composites by weight specified in Section 3.2.

- 2.4 "Manufactured" and "Manufactures" have the meaning defined in Section 3(a)(10) of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA") [15 U.S.C. § 2052(a)(10)], as amended from time to time.
- **2.5** "Vendor" means a person or entity that Manufactures, imports, distributes, or supplies a product to Topco.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

3.1 Specification Compliance Date

To the extent it has not already done so, no later than the Effective Date, Topco shall provide the DEHP Limits to its Vendors of Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide Covered Products that comply with the DEHP Limits on a nationwide basis.

3.2 **DEHP** Limits

As of thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Topco shall not issue a purchase order, manufacture, or cause to be Manufactured, any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that exceeds the following DEHP Limits:

DEHP in concentrations more than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) by weight in each accessible component when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology authorized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance.

4. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

4.1 After the Effective Date, any Party may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent To Judgment. Enforcement of the terms and conditions of Section 3.2 of this Consent To Judgment shall be brought exclusively pursuant to Sections 4.2 through 4.4.

¹ As of the Effective Date, the term "Manufactured" and "Manufactures" means to manufacture, produce, or assemble.

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

4.2 Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Topco shall notify Englander of any information sufficient to allow Englander to identify Covered Products supplied or offered for sale by Topco on or after that date (for example, by a unique brand name or product characteristic system of assigned product number or other labeling). Information provided by Topco to Englander under this Section 4.2, including the identity of parties to contracts between Topco and third parties, may be designated by Topco as competitively sensitive, confidential business information. If so designated by Topco along with the identification information, such information shall not be disclosed to any person by Englander, outside of his attorneys, without the written permission of Topco. Any motions or pleadings or other Court filings that include information designated by Topco as competitively sensitive confidential business information under this Section 4.2 shall be submitted to the Court in accordance with California Rules of Court Rule 8.160 and Rule 2.550, et seq.

- 4.3 Notice of Violation. Englander may seek to enforce the requirements of Section3.2 by issuing a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.3.
 - 4.3.1 **Service of Notice.** Englander shall serve the Notice of Violation on Topco within 45 days of the date the alleged violation(s) was or were observed, provided, however, that Englander may have up to an additional 45 days to provide Topco with the test data required by Section 4.3.2(d) below if it has not yet obtained it from its laboratory.
 - 4.3.2 **Supporting Documentation.** The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth for each Covered Product: (a) the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed, (b) the location at which the Covered Product was offered for sale, (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, and of each Accessible Component² that is alleged not to comply with the DEHP Limits, including a picture of the Covered Product and all identifying information on tags and labels, and (d) all test data obtained by Englander regarding the Covered Product. Wipe, swipe, x-ray fluorescence, and swab

² "Accessible Component" means a soft plastic, vinyl, or synthetic leather component that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use.

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

testing are not by themselves sufficient to support a Notice of Violation, although any such testing may be used as additional support for a Notice.

- 4.3.3 **Multiple Notices.** If Topco has received more than four Notices of Violation in any 12-month period, at Englander's option, Englander may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent To Judgment. For purposes of determining the number of Notices of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.3.3, the following shall be excluded:
- (a) Multiple notices identifying Covered Products Manufactured for or sold to Topco from the same Vendor; and
- (b) A Notice of Violation that meets one or more of the provisions of Section 4.4.3(b).
- 4.4 Notice of Election. Within 30 days of receiving a Notice of Violation pursuant to Section 4.2, including the test data required pursuant to 4.3.2(d), Topco shall provide written notice to Englander stating whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in the Notice of Violation. Any such written notice from Topco to Englander under this Section shall be referred to as a "Notice of Election." Failure to provide a Notice of Election shall be deemed an election not to contest the Notice of Violation.
 - 4.4.1 Contested Notices. If the Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including any test data. Within 30 days the parties shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, Englander may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. If Topco withdraws its Notice of Election to contest the Notice of Violation before any motion concerning the violations alleged in the Notice of Violation is filed pursuant to Section 4.1, Topco shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$12,500 to be apportioned in accordance with the provision of Section 5.1 hereunder, and shall comply with all of the non-monetary provisions of Section 4.4.2. If, at any time prior to reaching an agreement or obtaining a decision from the Court, Englander or Topco acquires additional test or

other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall promptly provide all such data or information to the other Party.

4.4.2 **Non-Contested Notices.** If the Notice of Violation is not contested, Topco shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description of corrective action that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the Covered Product will no longer be offered by Topco or its customers for sale in California. If there is a dispute over the sufficiency of the proposed corrective action or its implementation, Englander shall promptly notify Topco and the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking the intervention of the Court to resolve the dispute. In addition to the corrective action, Topco shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$10,000 to be apportioned in accordance with the provision of Section 5.1 hereunder unless one of the provisions of Section 4.4.3 applies.

4.4.3 Limitations in Non-Contested Matters.

- (a) The monetary liability of Topco if it elects not to contest a Notice of Violation before any motion concerning the violation(s) at issue has been filed shall be limited to the contributions required by this Section 4.4.3, if any.
 - (b) The civil penalty shall be:
 - (i) One thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$1,750) to be apportioned in accordance with the provision of Section 5.1 hereunder, if Topco, prior to receiving and accepting for distribution or sale the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation, obtained test results demonstrating that all of the Accessible Components in the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation complied with the applicable DEHP Limits, and further provided that such test results would be sufficient to support a Notice of Violation and that the testing was performed within two years prior to the date of the sales transaction on which the Notice of Violation is based. Topco shall provide copies of such test results and supporting documentation to Englander with its Notice of Election; or

- (ii) Not required or payable, if the Covered Product is otherwise released from liability for alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP by the terms of a separate settlement agreement or Consent To Judgment entered into under Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 ("Qualified Settlement"); or
- (iii) Not required or payable, if the Notice of Violation identifies the same Covered Product or Covered Products, differing only in size or color, that already have been the subject of another Notice of Violation within the preceding 12 months.

5. MONETARY PAYMENTS

5.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent To Judgment, Topco shall pay a total of \$12,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Englander, as follows:

- **5.1.1** <u>Initial Civil Penalty.</u> Topco shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$2,000 on or before August 31, 2013. Topco shall issue two separate checks to: (a) OEHHA in the amount of \$1,500; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Peter Englander" in the amount of \$500. All penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 5.2 below.
- 5.1.2 Final Civil Penalty. Topco shall pay a final civil penalty of \$10,000 on or before February 28, 2014. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, however, if, no later than February 15, 2014, an officer of Topco provides Englander with written certification that, as of the date of such certification and continuing into the future, Topco has complied with Paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2. Englander must receive any such certification on or before February 15, 2014. The certification in lieu of a final civil penalty payment provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. If Topco fails to provide certification by the above-referenced date, Topco shall issue two separate checks for its final civil penalty payments to: (a)

- 1		
1	OEHHA in th	e amount of \$7,500; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Peter Englander" in
2	the amount of	f \$2,500.
3	5.2	Payment Procedures
4		5.2.1. Issuance of Payments. Payments shall be delivered as follows:
5		(a) All payments owed to Englander, pursuant to Section 5.1, shall be
6		delivered to the following payment address:
7		The Chanler Group
8		Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street
9		Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710
10		(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to
11		Section 5.1, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop
12		65 Penalties") at the following addresses:
13		For United States Postal Service Delivery:
14		Mike Gyrics
15 16		Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
17		P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
18		For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
19		Mike Gyrics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
20		Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street
21		Sacramento, CA 95814
22		With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler
23		Group at the address set forth above in 5.2.1(a), as proof of payment to
24		ОЕННА.
25		5.2.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms. After each penalty payment, Topco shall issue
26	separate 1099	forms for each payment to OEHHA at the address listed in Section 5.2.1(b), and to
27	Englander, w	hose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after
28	this Consent	Γο Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties.
ARED		- 8 -

5.3 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Englander and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Topco then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Englander and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Topco shall pay the amount of \$18,000 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent To Judgment in the public interest.

This payment shall be delivered and made payable to The Chanler Group no later than August 31, 2013, at the address listed in Section 5.2.1(a) above.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

Englander and Topco and its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, and sister companies and their successors and assigns, including but not limited to Topco Associates, LLC, Lucky Stores, and Save Mart Supermarkets ("Defendant Releasees"), and their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees, members, and any other person or entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been asserted by Englander in the public interest, through a Proposition 65 60-Day Notice of Violation against Topco, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products. Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent To Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in Covered Products after the Effective Date.

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

6.2 Englander on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives,
attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all
rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all
claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits,
iabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including,
out not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever,
whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Topco,
Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of
Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products.

6.3 Englander also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Englander of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Complaint. Englander acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Englander, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

This release is expressly limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the DEHP contained in the Covered Products, as such claims are identified in the Proposition 65 60-Day Notice to Defendant and to the extent that any alleged violations occur prior to thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. This Release does not release any person, party or entity from any liability for any violation of Proposition 65 regarding the Covered Products that occur more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than Defendant, that Manufactured the Covered Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to Defendant.

- 6.4 Topco waives any and all Claims against Englander, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Englander and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- 6.5 Topco also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Topco of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. Topco acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Topco expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

7. COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 By this Consent To Judgment and upon its approval, the Parties waive their right to trial on the merits, and waive rights to seek appellate review of any and all interim rulings, including all pleadings, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 7.2 The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent To Judgment, which Englander shall file and Topco shall join.
- Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent To Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent To Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent To Judgment and to resubmit it for approval, and (d)if the Parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment or to appeal a decision denying approval within 30 days of said denial or other non-approval, or in the event the Court approves this Consent Judgment and any person successfully appeals that approval, all payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment will be returned to Defendant within fifteen (15) days of written notice made to Englander.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

8.1 Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent To Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should

Topco prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Topco shall be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent To Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, *et seq*.

- **8.2** Except as otherwise provided in this Consent To Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- **8.3** Nothing in this Section 8 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

9. GOVERNING LAW

- 9.1 The terms of this Consent To Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, and shall apply only to Covered Products offered for sale in the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Topco shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent To Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.
- 9.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent To Judgment and this Consent To Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent To Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent To Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent To Judgment. Each Party to this Consent To Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent To Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

10. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent To Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To Topco:

General Counsel Topco Holdings, Inc. 7711 Gross Point Road Skokie, Illinois 60077-2697

With a copy to:

Edward P. Sangster, Esq. Megan Cesare-Eastman, Esq. K&L Gates LLP 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94111

To Englander:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

10.2 Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. MODIFICATION

- 11.1 Modification. This Consent To Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent To Judgment by the court, or by motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent To Judgment by the court.
- 11.2 Subsequent Legislation. If, subsequent to the Effective Date, legislation or regulation is adopted that addresses the DEHP content of Covered Products sold in California, any Party shall be entitled to request that the Court modify the DEHP Limits of Section 3.2 of this Consent To Judgment for good cause shown.

Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent To 11.3 Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent To Judgment.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT 12.

This Consent To Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent To Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent To Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION 13.

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the 13.1 Consent To Judgment and shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Consent To Judgment, or any provision thereof, under California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 14.

This Consent To Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

26

27

15. AUTHORIZATION

15.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent To Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent To Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: August 12, 2013	Date: \$\langle 8 \langle (3
By: Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER	By: Adv Brouss Defendant TOPCO HOLDINGS, INC

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER