1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF	F SAN FRANCISCO
10	UNLIMITED JU	RISDICTION
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. CGC-12-527270
13	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT)
14	v.	
15	TRADER JOE'S COMPANY, et al.,))
16))
17	Defendants.))
18))
19))
20		
21		
22	1. INTRODUCTION	
23	1.1 The Parties to this Consent J	udgment are the Center For Environmental
24	Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and the companies identified on Exhibit A
25	(collectively, the "Settling Defendants"). Exhibit A	A further identifies the Settling Defendants as
26	either Manufacturer Settling Defendants or Retailer	/Distributor Settling Defendants. Plaintiff
27	and Settling Defendants are defined as the "Parties.	" The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment
28 REPARED	- 1 -	
PAPER	1	

to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint (the "Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers confectionery licorice products ("Licorice Products") sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendants.

- 1.2 Beginning on October 8, 2012, CEH served multiple 60-day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Licorice Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Each Settling Defendant is a corporation that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Licorice Products in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On December 19, 2012, CEH filed the original Complaint in this matter.

 On February 21, 2013, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint in this matter.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Licorice Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Reformulation Level.** The Reformulation Level for Licorice Products is 35 parts per billion ("ppb") or less of Lead by weight. Such concentrations shall be determined by use of a test using ICP-MS equipment with a level of detection of at least 20 ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements ("Test Protocol").¹

Specification Notice to Vendors of Reformulation Level. To the extent it has not already done so, no more than thirty (30) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("Effective Date"), each Settling Defendant that purchases Licorice Products from a third party shall provide the Reformulation Levels to each of its Licorice Products suppliers that are not Settling Defendants and shall instruct each such Licorice Products supplier to provide it with Licorice Products that do not exceed the Reformulation Levels. If during the next five (5) year period, a Settling Defendant purchases Licorice Products from a third party that it has not previously provided with instructions regarding the Reformulation Levels, the Settling Defendant shall provide the Reformulation Levels to the new Licorice Product supplier when placing an initial order for Licorice Products and instruct the new Licorice Product supplier to provide it with Licorice Products that do not exceed the Reformulation Levels. Each Settling Defendant shall retain records of communications sent to and received from suppliers that reflect its compliance with the communication requirements of this Section for a period of three (3) years and shall make such records available to CEH on reasonable request.

2.3 **Reformulation of Licorice Products**: After April 1, 2014, Manufacturer Settling Defendants shall not manufacture, purchase, ship, offer for sale, sell or otherwise introduce into the California marketplace any Licorice Products that do not meet the Reformulation Level. In addition, Manufacturer Settling Defendants and CEH agree that after December 1, 2014, Manufacturer Settling Defendants shall not ship, offer for sale, sell or otherwise introduce into the United States marketplace outside California any Licorice Products

2.8

¹ Sampling to assess compliance with the Reformulation Levels shall be based on testing of either an aggregate of all licorice contained in a single and discrete package, bag or box as is typically sold in retail, or the average results of any multiple test results from the same aggregate sample.

that do not meet the Reformulation Level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.4 Good Faith Commitment to Further Lead Reduction: During the three (3) years following the Effective Date, each Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall continue in good faith to attempt to further reduce the Lead content of its Licorice Products until such Licorice Products have a consistent Lead content of less than 13 ppb. These efforts shall include, at a minimum, efforts to further adjust recipes and formulas that will reduce Lead content in finished Licorice Products and attempts to secure Licorice Product ingredients with lower Lead content. On each of the first three anniversaries of the Effective Date, each Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall submit to CEH a written report of the activities it has undertaken to effectuate its good faith commitment to further reduction of the Lead content of its Licorice Products. If a Manufacturer Settling Defendant has test results demonstrating that all of its Licorice Products have a consistent Lead content of less than 13 ppb, it shall provide such documentation to CEH and the parties shall meet and confer and if CEH and the Manufacturer Settling Defendant agree that all of the Manufacturer Settling Defendant's Licorice Products have a consistent Lead content of less than 13 ppb, that Manufacturer Settling Defendant need not submit any subsequent annual report to CEH regarding further reduction of Lead content of its Licorice Products. If the Parties fail to agree, the Manufacturer Settling Defendant may seek relief from the Court upon a showing consistent with this Section.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **General Enforcement Provisions.** CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 2.3 by a Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 3, and as applicable be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 3.2.4.

3.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

3.2.1 **Notice of Violation.** In the event that, at any time following the relevant dates set out in Section 2.3, CEH identifies a Licorice Product manufactured, distributed,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

or sold by a Manufacturer Settling Defendant for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Manufacturer Settling Defendant violated Section 2.3, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

3.2.2 Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

3.2.2.1 Subject to Section 3.2.1, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Exhibit A to receive notices for the Manufacturer Settling Defendant, and must be served within 45 days of the date the Licorice Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional 45 days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 3.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial 45 day period.

3.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the alleged violation was observed, (b) the location at which the Licorice Products were offered for sale, (c) a description of the Licorice Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail store where the sample was obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot, such as the "best by" or "sell by" date, and (d) all test data² obtained by CEH regarding the Licorice Products and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Licorice Products. Such Notice of Violation shall be based upon the Test Protocol. Wipe, swipe, swab and X-ray fluorescence testing are not sufficient to support a Notice of Violation. As an alternative, CEH may rely on testing conducted and published by the California Department of Public Health ("CDPH") to support a Notice of Violation, so long as CEH first obtains a full description of the Licorice Product tested, including any information that is available from CDPH that would identify the product lot, such as a "best by" or "sell by" date, and makes a good faith attempt to obtain information on the location at which the Licorice Product was offered for sale, and the date the product was obtained by CDPH.

24

25

26

To support a Notice of Violation, CEH shall provide a minimum of two tests per Notice of Violation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

CEH shall share any such information with the Manufacturer Settling Defendant. Should CEH be unable to obtain any such information, the Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall contact CDPH and request such information and shall share such information with CEH upon receipt from CDPH.

3.2.3 **Notice of Election of Response.** No more than 30 days after service of a Notice of Violation, the Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within 30 days of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.

3.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test data, if any. If the Manufacturer Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party. Any test data used to contest a Notice of Violation shall meet the criteria of Section 3.2.2.2.

Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within 30 days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, and if no enforcement action or application has been filed by CEH pursuant to Section 3.1, the Manufacturer Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election conceding the violation, provided however that the Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 3.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within 30 days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 3.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies are provided by law for

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

3.2.5 **Non-Contested Matters.** If the Manufacturer Settling Defendant elects not to contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall identify on a confidential basis to CEH (by proper name, address of principal place of business and telephone number) the person or entity that sold the Licorice Products to the Manufacturer Settling Defendant and the manufacturer and other entities in the chain of distribution of the Licorice Product, provided that such information is reasonably available. In addition, the Settling Defendant shall undertake corrective action and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

3.2.5.1 If the test data provided by CEH in support of the Notice of Violation reports a Lead content in a Licorice Product above the Reformulation Level but less than 70 ppb, then the Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall take the following corrective action and make the following payments, if any:

(a) The Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the Manufacturer Settling Defendant has stopped selling or offering for sale in California all Licorice Products having the same lot number or lot identifier, such as "best by" or "sell by" date, as that of the Licorice Products identified in CEH's Notice of Violation. The Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall make available to CEH for inspection and/or copying records and correspondence regarding the corrective action. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Manufacturer Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer pursuant to Section 3.2.4 before seeking any remedy in court. Provided, that in no case shall Plaintiffs issue more than one NOV per manufacturing lot of Licorice Products.

(b) If the Notice of Violation is the first or second Notice of Violation received by a Manufacturer Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.5.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, no payment shall be required by that Manufacturer Settling Defendant. If the Notice of Violation is the third, fourth or fifth Notice of Violation received by a

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Manufacturer Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.5.1 that was not successfully contested or
withdrawn, that Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 for each Notice of Violation. If
a Manufacturer Settling Defendant has received more than five Notices of Violation under Section
3.2.5.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, that Manufacturer Settling Defendant
shall pay \$5,000 for each subsequent Notice of Violation. If a Manufacturer Settling Defendant
produces with its Notice of Election Test Data from the manufacturer or supplier of the Licorice
Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH purchased the Licorice Product that is the
subject of the Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on Licorice Product that was from the same
manufacturing lot as the Licorice Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation; and (iii)
demonstrates Lead levels below the Reformulation Level, then any payment under this Section
shall be decreased by fifty percent.

(c) Notwithstanding Section 3.2.5.1(b), if the Notice of Violation was based on a Licorice Product that was sold outside of California, there shall be no payment pursuant to this Section.

3.2.5.2 If the test data provided by CEH in support of the Notice of Violation reports a Lead content in a Licorice Product of more than 70 ppb, then the Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall take the following corrective action and make the following payments:

(a) The Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the Manufacturer Settling Defendant has stopped selling or offering for sale all Licorice Products having the same lot number or lot identifier, such as "best by" or "sell by" date, as that of the Licorice Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Licorice Products"), removed the Noticed Licorice Product from the market and sent instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Noticed Licorice Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Licorice Products for sale and to either return all Noticed Licorice Products to the Manufacturer Settling Defendant for destruction, or to directly

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

destroy the Noticed Licorice Products. The Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Noticed Licorice Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Manufacturer Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall Plaintiff issue more than one NOV per manufacturing lot of Licorice Product.

(b) If the Notice of Violation is the first Notice of Violation received by a Manufacturer Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.5.2 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, no payment shall be required by that Manufacturer Settling Defendant. If the Notice of Violation is the second, third or fourth Notice of Violation received by a Manufacturer Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.5.2 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, that Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall pay \$8,000 for each Notice of Violation. If a Manufacturer Settling Defendant has received more than four Notices of Violation under Section 3.2.5.2 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, that Manufacturer Settling Defendant shall pay \$12,000 for each Notice of Violation. If a Manufacturer Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election Test Data from the manufacturer or supplier of the Licorice Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH purchased the Licorice Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on Licorice Product that was from the same manufacturing lot as the Licorice Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation; and (iii) demonstrates Lead levels below the Reformulation Level, then any payment under this Section shall be decreased by fifty percent.

3.2.6 **Payments.** Any payments under Section 3.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within 30 days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

3.2.7 **Repeat Violations**. If a Manufacturer Settling Defendant has

28

26

received four or more Notices of Violation that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any 12-month period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with the Manufacturer Settling Defendant for at least 30 days to determine if the Manufacturer Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that the Manufacturer Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations.

4. PAYMENTS

- Payments by Settling Defendants. Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, payment shall be made in the amount provided for that Settling Defendant on Exhibit A as further set forth in this Section. If a Manufacturer Settling Defendant agrees to make payment for and on behalf of a Retailer/Distributor Settling Defendant and fails to do so within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, written notice shall first be given by Plaintiff to the Retailer/Distributor Settling Defendant and the Retailer/Distributor Settling Defendant shall have an additional ten (10) days after the date of the notice to make payment.
- Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for each Settling Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks in the amounts specified on Exhibit A and delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric S. Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117. Any failure by a Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by each Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth on Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 4.2.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall apportion this payment in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and

1	75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
2	Accordingly, the civil penalty payment check for the amount designated for each Settling
3	Defendant on Exhibit A as Civil Penalty shall be made payable to the "Center for Environmental
4	Health" and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.
5	4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety
6	Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall use such
7	funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals,
8	including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice
9	Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justic
10	groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of
11	selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The
12	payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health
13	and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.
14	4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fee
15	and costs. The attorneys' fees and costs reimbursement check shall be made payable to the
16	Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175.
17	5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION
18	5.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time
19	by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this
20	Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
21	Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent
22	Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a
23	motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
24	6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE
25	6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH
26	on behalf of itself and the public interest and each Settling Defendant, and their parents,
27	subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees,

shareholders and their successors and assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those listed in Exhibit B to which a Settling Defendant distributes or sells Licorice Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, repackagers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Licorice Products that were sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 6.2 The release set forth in Section 6.1 shall also apply to Licorice Products sold by a Retailer/Distributor Settling Defendant that were purchased prior to April 1, 2014 but sold thereafter.
- 6.3 CEH, acting in the public interest, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Licorice Products manufactured, distributed or sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.4 CEH, for itself only, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim that has been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Licorice Products manufactured, distributed or sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.5 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Manufacturer Settling Defendant and that Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by such Settling Defendant, that Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees and that Settling Defendant's Downstream Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Licorice Products manufactured, distributed or sold by that Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
 - Nothing in this Consent Judgment affects CEH's right to commence or

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	prosecute an action	under Proposition 65 against any person other than a Settling Defendant,
2	Defendant Release	es, or Downstream Releasees. Nothing in this Consent Judgment affects CEH'
3	right to commence	or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against a Settling Defendant
4	related to exposure	to Lead from Licorice Products that do not meet the Reformulation Levels
5	after the dates set of	ut in Section 2.3.
6	7. PRO	OVISION OF NOTICE
7	7.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment,
8	the notice shall be	sent by first class and electronic mail to:
9		Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group
10		503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117
11		esomers@lexlawgroup.com
12	7.2	When a Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this
13	Consent Judgment,	the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the person(s)
14	identified in Exhibi	t A for each such Settling Defendant.
15	7.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be
16	sent by sending the	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
17	8. CO	URT APPROVAL
18	8.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date. CEH
19	shall prepare and fi	le a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants
20	shall support appro	val of such Motion.
21	8.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force
22	or effect and shall i	not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
23	purpose, other than	to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 8.1.
24	9. GO	VERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
25	9.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the
26	State of California.	
27		
28		

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, *et seq*.

10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.

10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of

1	this Consent	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions
2	hereof wheth	er or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
3	12.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
4	12.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify
5	the Consent J	Judgment.
6	13.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
7	13.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
8	authorized by	the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
9	and execute t	he Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that
10	Party.	
11	14.	NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
12	14.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any
13	claim against	an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those
14	contained in	this Consent Judgment.
15	15.	EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
16	15.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
17	and by means	s of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be
18	deemed to constitute one document.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	
20	AND DECR	EED .
21	Dated:	
22	2000	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 EPARED		- 15 -

1	Dated: Jan 3/, 2014	SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	Dated. 5.7., 2014	poen
3		
4		
5		
6		Daniel 5- Day
7		Printed Name Daniel S. Day
8		Lead Counsel, Litigation, Regulatory & Compliance
9		Title
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		E7 1
15		Flence GH in
16 17		The my +
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		17
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 17 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: January 192014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	American Licorice Congrany
3	1
4	American Licorice Congrany
5	John R. Kretchmer
6 7	John R. Kretchmer Printed Name
	Printed Name
8	Title
10	Title
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 17 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 117 . 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	Bel Bath & Bayond Irc.
3	
4	allan pr Roun
5	KoB
6	Allan N. Ravch
7	Printed Name
8	VP-Legal and General Counsel
9	Title
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	N N
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
27	At .
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER.	- 17 -
1	CONSENT HUDGMENT CASE NO. CCC 12 527270

1	Dated: 1 28, 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	
3	
4	Cost Plus. Inc.
5	
6	JANE. L. BALBUMAN
7	Printed Name
8	Title
9	Title
10	
11	
12	
. 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	er en
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 17 -
	CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 22 Jan, 2014	SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	Dated: A A JG 1 , 2014	
3		Darrell Lea Confectionery Co
4		
5		
6		
7	•	Printed Name
8		
9		Director
10		THO
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 NT PREPARED YCLED PAPER -		- 17 -

1	Dated: 01/15, 2014	SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	English Transit Company Tue
3		FALLON TRADING COMPANY, INC.
4		Ronald Geammi
5		
6		RONALD GIANNINI
7	3	Printed Name
8		Carrier Maria
9		GENERAL MANAGER Title
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 17 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 27 Jan, 2014	TTLING DEFENDANT
2	Fig	gi's Companies, Inc., successor-in-interest to
3	Fig	gi's, Inc.
4		2
5		
6		V .
7	Pri	<u>n Scobie</u> inted Name:
8		
9	Ger Tit	neral Counsel tle
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 17	7 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT — C	

1	Dated: 27) 2014 SE	ETTLING DEFENDANT
2		-!!- Whalasala Ira supposes in interest to
3	Fig	gi's Wholesale, Inc., successor-in-interest to gi's, Inc.
4		
5		
6		
7	Tin Pr	m Scobie rinted Name:
8		
9	Ger Ti	eneral Counsel itle
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 17	7
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT — C	

Source, LLC, formerly Wythe Will b, LLC
Kuth W. Madail
cDanie!
Name
recutive Officer
Recurive Onicer

Ĭ	Dated: 1-28, 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	
3	GEGORS MATRICES
4	Gelson's Markets Shunil Patel
5	
6	Shumit Patel
7	Printed Name
8	112 0 111 111
9	HR - Risk Manager Title
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 17 -
	CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

Dated: Jan. 22 , 2014	SETTLING DEFENDANT
	an B
	-4/1/1/
	IT'SUGAR LL
	Jeff Rubin
	Printed Name
	145
	Title
	1100

	SETTLING DEFENDANT
1	Dated: JAN 23, 2014 J. SOSNICK and Son
2 3	J. SOSNICK and JON
4	Wholey Hosnick
5	Signiff sionice
6	JEFFREY H-JOSNICK
7	Printed Name
8	President
9	Title
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 17 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

		SETTLING DEFENDANT
. 1	Dated: Jan 14, 2014	
2		Idc International
. 3		Hen Hen
4		· flui -
. 5		Hershi Green
6		Printed Name
7		Frinced Name
8 9	·	UP
10		Title
11		
12	· .	
. 13		
14		
15		
. 16		
17		
18	·	
19		
. 20		
21		
22		
23		
24	·	
25		
26 27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 17 - ENT CASE NO. CGC-12-527270
	CONSERT FORGET	3/1383 1

1 2 3 4 5	Dated: 1/24, 2014	SETTLING DEFENDANT Keuny's Landy Co. Kurt Nelson
7 8		Printed Name
9		C00
10		Title
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 17 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: January 15, 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	
3	Jelly Harry
4	Lucky Country Inc.
5	
6	Billy Henry
7	Printed Name
8	Pregident & CEO
9	Title
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	,
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 17 - CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270
	CONSERT SUDGISTERT — CASE NO. CGC-12-32/2/0

1	Dated: 127, 2014	SETTLING DEFENDANT
2		Marshalls of CA, LLC and Marshalls of MA, Inc.
3		
4		Coll
5		
6	_ 11	Colleen Henschke
7		Printed Name
8		VD 1. 0
9		Title Title
10	ge v	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared		- 17 -
on Recycled Paper	CONSENT JUDGME	NT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

62	
£1	SETTLING DEFENDANT
1	Dated: AV: 18, 2014
2	NATALIE'S CANSY JAP
3	
4	Sy: (with M. Tans
. 5	
6	CIELO M. TAUB
7	Printed Name
8	MANAGING PARTHER
10	Title
11	
12	
13	E E
14	
15	ar and a second an
16	N)
17	
18	·
19	·
20	
21	¥
22	
23	
24	, * , 9
25	
26 27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 17 - CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 27, 2014	ETTLING DEFENDANT
2	Buttour, 2011	Raleula
3		141043
4		Raley's
5		
6	H	telen S. Singmaster rinted Name
7	Pr	rinted Name
8		General Counsel
9	Ti	itle
10		
11		
12		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 1	7 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT -- CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 14, 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	Remain Animica Lensing / LLC
3	Taroccopi, serial and the
4	Revivos Androvico Lensing 1, LLC Aba Androvico's Community in 19th
5	
6	John Clougher
7	Printed Name
8	
9	Title C.C.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	*
22	* ×
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 17 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 20 JAN , 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	the fallecce "
3	
4	(RJ's Licorice LTD)
5	ROGER HALLINELL
6	ROGER HALLINELL
7	Printed Name
8	MANAGING DIRECTOR OWNER Title
10	Title /
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 17 -

1 2 3	Dated: January 21; 2014	SUNFLOWER FARMERS MARKETS, LLC
5		Brandon Lombardi Printed Name
7		Chief legal Officer Title
9		
10 11	Dated: January 27, 2014	SF MARKETS, LLC
12		
13		2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
14		Brandon Lombardi Printed Name
15		
16	o o	Chief Legal Officer
17		
18	Dated: January 27, 2014	
19	Dated. January 7, 2014	HENRY'S HOLDINGS, LLC
20	æ	
21 22		
23		Brandon Lombandi Printed Name
24		
25		Chief Legal Officer
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 18 -
ARREST CONTRACTOR CONT	CONSENT JUDGME	NT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

	E CONTROL OF THE CONT
1 2	Dated: 1/23, 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
3	
4	
. 5	
6	James Hall
7	Printed Name
. 8	
9	CFO/COO Vermont Country Stoke
10	
11	>
12	•
13	
14	
15	₩·:
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ger
22	≆ =
23	
24	
25	÷-
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 17 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	Dated: 1/22, 2014 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	A Committee of the Comm
3	Moz
4	moz
5	
6	MITCHELL NADISE
7	Printed Name
8	
9	Title
10	\$1000 P.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 17 - CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-577270

1	Dated:	Jan. 22, 201	4	SETTLN	NG DEFENDANT	Apr %
2				Wal	green Co.	
: 4				P.O	2416	na .
5		e e			el Jaso	The second secon
6				Rob		# 187 - 1 1
7				Printed 1		
8					ne era	
.9 10				Title	name llange, W	algreen le
10						e i de la companya d
12						
13		÷		*:		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
14						:. ' <u>'</u>
15		·			¥	
16				i i		•
17				•	i iii iii iii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii i	9 -
18				35 +4	Marin Waring	e affirmation and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and
19					A () 単	
20						
21 22		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	N.			, *
23						
24						B
25					10 ED	- 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14
26						
27					en de la companya de La companya de la companya de	
28				ing Alba		*

341383.1

1	Dated: Jan 31, 20184 SETTLING DEFENDANT	
2	Whole Foods Market Califor	
3	TYPIOTE FOCUS PHARTES CAUTON	nia,
4	At the	
5		
6	Roberta Lang	
7	Printed Name	
8	manal Council & Council & Council and and	•
9	General Coursel & GVP of Legal AGE	urs
10		
11 12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	ti a	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER —	- 17 -	
Section 1	CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270	

1	Dated: Jan 31, 20174 SETTLING DEFENDANT
2	
3	Mrs. Gooch's Natural Foods Market, Inc.
4	
5	4000
6	
7	Roberta Lang Printed Name
8	
9	General Counses & GVP of Legal Title Affairs
10	FIGURES
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 17 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER —	CONSENT JUDGMENT — CASE NO. CGC-12-527270

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants			
2	Settling Defendant: Albertson's LLC			
3				
4	1. Type of Defendant:			
5	Retailer/Distributor Defendant			
6				
7	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:			
8	T-4-1 C-44			
9	Total Settlement Payment \$25,000 Civil Penalty \$3,250			
10	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 4,900			
11	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$16,850			
12				
13	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:			
14	Bruce Nye Adams Nye Becht LLP			
15	222 Kearny St., 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108			
16	bnye@adamsnye.com			
17	Daniel S. Day, Lead Counsel, Litigation and Regulatory Compliance Albertson's LLC Boise Home Office			
18	250 Parkcenter Blvd. Boise, ID 83706			
19	daniel.day@albertsons.com			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 Document Prepared				
ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A			

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants			
2				
3	Settling Defendant: American Licorice Company			
4				
5	1. Type of Defendant: Manufacturer Defendant			
6				
7	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:			
8	Total Settlement Payment \$110,000			
9	Civil Penalty \$ 14,600			
10	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 21,900			
11	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$ 73,500			
12				
13	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:			
14	Lauren Michals Nixon Peabody LLP			
15	One Embarcadero Center, 18 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111			
16	lmichals@nixonpeabody.com			
17	John Nelson			
18	Chief Operations Officer American Licorice			
19	PO Box 826 Union City, CA 94587			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	- 2 -			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A			

1	EXHIBIT A
2	Settling Defendants
3	Settling Defendants: Bed Bath & Beyond and its affiliated subsidiary Cost Plus, Inc.
4	Secting Berendants: Bed Bath & Beyond and its arrinated substituting Cost 1 its, inc.
5	1. Type of Defendant
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant
7	
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:
9	
10	Total Settlement Payment \$37,500
11	Civil Penalty \$ 4,930
12	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 7,400 Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$25,170
13	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$25,170
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:
15	Merrit Jones
16	Bryan Cave LLP 560 Mission Street, 25th Floor
17	San Francisco, California 94105
18	merrit.jones@bryancave.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 3 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A
	341383.1

1		XHIBIT A
2	Setti	ing Defendants
3	Settling Defendant: Darrell Lea Confectio	nery Co. Pty. Ltd.
4		
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Manufacturer Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment a	and Allocation:
9	Total Sattlement Daymant	¢110,000
10	Total Settlement Payment Civil Penalty	\$110,000 \$ 14,600
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 21,900
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$ 73,500
13	•	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursu	ant to Section 7:
15	Lauren Michals Nixon Peabody LLP	
16	One Embarcadero Center, 18 th Floor	
17	San Francisco, CA 94111 lmichals@nixonpeabody.com	
18	Rex De Vantier	
19	Group General Manager Darrell Lea Confectionery Co Pty Lt	rd.
20	77-79 Lahr's Road	A.C.
21	YATALA QLD 4207 Australia	
22	rex@vippetfoods.com.au	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 4 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1 **EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants** 2 3 **Settling Defendant:** Falcon Trading Company, Inc. dba SunRidge Farms, Inc. 4 5 1. Type of Defendant: 6 Retailer/Distributor Defendant 7 8 2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation: 9 Total Settlement Payment 10 \$25,000 Civil Penalty \$ 3,250 11 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 4,900 12 Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$16,850 13 14 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7: 15 Ronald Giannini 16 Falcon Trading Company, Inc. 423 Salinas Road 17 Royal Oaks, CA 95076 rgiannini@sunridgefarms.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 - 5 -DOCUMENT PREPARED **EXHIBIT A** ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	EXHIBIT A Sottling Defendants			
2	Settling Defendants			
3	Settling Defendant: Figi's, Inc., through its successors in interest Figi's Companies, Inc. and			
4	Figi's Wholesale, Inc.			
5				
6	1. Type of Defendant:			
7	Retailer/Distributor Defendant			
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:			
9	2. Defendant s settlement i ayment and i moenton.			
10	Total Settlement Payment \$25,000			
11	Civil Penalty \$ 3,250			
12	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 4,900			
13	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$16,850			
14				
15	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:			
16	Joshua Bloom Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP 350 California Street, 22 nd Floor			
17	San Francisco, CA 94104			
18	jab@bcltlaw.com			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	- 6 -			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A			

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants			
2	Setting Defendants			
3	Settling Defendant: First Source, LLC, fo	rmerly Wythe Will Tzetzo, LLC		
4				
5	1. Type of Defendant:			
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant			
7				
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:		
9	Total Settlement Payment	\$25,000		
10	Civil Penalty	\$ 3,250		
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900		
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850		
13				
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	tuant to Section 7:		
15	Tim Mullin Miles & Stockbridge P.C.			
16	100 Light Street Baltimore, MD 21202			
17	tmullin@milesstockbridge.com			
18	Edward Hugo			
19	Brydon Hugo & Parker 135 Main Street, 20 th Floor			
20	San Francisco, CA 94105 ehugo@bhplaw.com			
21	5 O F H.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28		- 7 -		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A		

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Settling Defendants	
3		
4	Settling Defendant: Gelson's Markets	
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:	
9		
10	Total Settlement Payment \$25,000	
11	Civil Penalty \$ 3,250	
12	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 4,900 Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$16,850	
13	Attorneys Tees and Costs \$10,050	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:	
15	Kent D. Mattson	
16	Pemberton, Sorlie, Rufer & Kershner, PLLP 110 N. Mills Street	
17	Fergus Falls, MN 56537 k.mattson@pemlaw.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28	- 8 -	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A	

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Settling Defendants	
3	Settling Defendant: IT'SUGAR LLC	
4		
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:	
9		
10	Total Settlement Payment \$25,000	
11	Civil Penalty \$ 3,250 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 4,900	
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$16,850	
13	11.001.00	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:	
15	Betsy McDaniel	
16	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor	
17	San Francisco, CA 94111 bmcdaniel@sheppardmullin.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 9 -	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A	

1	F	XHIBIT A
2	Settling Defendants	
3		
4	Settling Defendant: J. Sosnick & Son	
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment a	and Allocation:
9		
10	Total Settlement Payment	\$25,000
11	Civil Penalty	\$ 3,250
12	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900
13	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursu	ant to Section 7.
15	Jeffrey Sosnick	ant to section 7.
16	J. Sosnick & Son 258 Littlefield Avenue	
17	South San Francisco, CA 94080	
18	Jeff@Sosnick.com	
19	Steve Ellenberg Law Offices of Steven A. Ellenberg	
20	4 North Second Street	
21	Suite 1240 San Jose, CA 95113	
22	Steve@ellenberglawoffices.com	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 10 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Sett	ling Defendants
3	Settling Defendant: JNC International, In	c.
4		
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:
9	Total Cattlement Daymont	¢17.500
10	Total Settlement Payment Civil Penalty	\$17,500 \$ 2,270
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 3,400
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$11,830
13	·	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	suant to Section 7:
15	Robert J. Maxwell	
16	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 th Floor	
17	San Francisco, CA 94104 bmaxwell@rjo.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 11 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants		
2			
3	Settling Defendant: Kenny's Candy Company		
4	1. Type of Defendant:		
5	Manufacturer Defendant		
6			
7	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:		
8	Total Settlement Payment \$110,000		
9	Civil Penalty \$ 14,600		
10	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 21,900		
11	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$ 73,500		
12			
13	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:		
14	Lauren Michals Nixon Peabody LLP		
15	One Embarcadero Center, 18 th Floor		
16	San Francisco, CA 94111 lmichals@nixonpeabody.com		
17	Kent D. Mattson		
18	Pemberton, Sorlie, Rufer & Kershner, PLLP 110 N. Mills Street		
19	Fergus Falls, MN 56537		
20	k.mattson@pemlaw.com		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared	- 12 - EXHIBIT A		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	EARIDII A		

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants		
2	Sett	ing Detendants	
3	Settling Defendant: Lucky Country, Inc.		
4	1. Type of Defendant:		
5	Manufacturer Defendant		
6			
7	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:	
8	T 4 1 C 441 4 P	0110.000	
9	Total Settlement Payment	\$110,000 \$ 14,600	
10	Civil Penalty Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 21,900	
11	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$ 73,500	
12		. ,	
13	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	suant to Section 7:	
14	Dean Hansell Hogan Lovells US LLP		
15	1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 14	00	
16	Los Angeles, CA 90067 dean.hansell@hoganlovells.com		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared		- 13 -	
ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A	
			341383.1

1	EXHIBIT A Sottling Defendants	
2	Settling Defendants	
3	Settling Defendant: Marshalls of CA, LLC and Marshalls of MA, Inc.	
4		
5	1. Circle Type of Defendant	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:	
9	T - 1 C - 1	
10	Total Settlement Payment \$25,000	
11	Civil Penalty \$3,250 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$4,900	
12	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$4,900 Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$16,850	
13	Actioneys Tees and Costs \$10,020	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:	
15		
16	General Counsel The TJX Companies, Inc.	
17	770 Cochituate Road Framingham, MA 01701-4666	
18		
19	With a copy to:	
20	Jeffrey Margulies Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.	
21	555 South Flower Street	
22	Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
23	jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 14 -	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A	

1 **EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants** 2 3 Settling Defendant: Melshire DFW, LP d/b/a Natalie's Candy Jar 4 5 1. Type of Defendant: 6 Retailer/Distributor Defendant 7 8 2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation: 9 **Total Settlement Payment** \$25,000 10 Civil Penalty \$ 3,250 11 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 4,900 12 \$16,850 Attorneys' Fees and Costs 13 14 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7: 15 Robert J. Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 16 311 California Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 17 bmaxwell@rjo.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 - 15 -DOCUMENT PREPARED EXHIBIT A ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Sen	ling Defendants
3	Settling Defendant: Raley's	
4	Ç	
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:
9	T-4-1 C-4414 D	¢25.000
10	Total Settlement Payment Civil Penalty	\$25,000 \$ 3,250
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850
13	·	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	suant to Section 7:
15	Thomas Evans Reed Smith LLP	
16	101 Second Street, Suite 1800	
17	San Francisco, CA 94105 tevans@reedsmith.com	
18	_	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 16 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

		EXHIBIT A
1	Set	tling Defendants
2		
3	Settling Defendant: Renwood Andronico	Lending 1, LLC, dba Andronico's Country Markets
4	1 Type of Defendants	
5	 Type of Defendant: Retailer/Distributor Defendant 	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:
8	2. Defendant 5 Settlement Layment	and mocunon.
9	Total Settlement Payment	\$25,000
10	Civil Penalty	\$ 3,250
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850
13		
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pur	suant to Section 7:
15	Renee Wasserman Rogers Joseph O'Donnell	
16	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94101	
17	rwasserman@rjo.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 17 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A
l		341383.1

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Settling Defendants	
3	Settling Defendant: RJ's Licorice Limited	
4		
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Manufacturer Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:	
9	Total Cattlement Daymont \$110,000	
10	Total Settlement Payment \$110,000 Civil Penalty \$14,600	
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 21,900	
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$ 73,500	
13		
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:	
15	Lauren Michals	
16	Nixon Peabody LLP One Embarcadero Center, 18 th Floor	
17	San Francisco, CA 94111 lmichals@nixonpeabody.com	
18	Louise Trilloe, General Manager	
19	RJ's Licorice Ltd. P.O. Box 444	
20	Levin 5540	
21	New Zealand louise@rjlicorice.co.nz	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 18 -	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A	

1	EXHIBIT A Settling Defendants		
2	Sett	ing Defendants	
3	Settling Defendants: Sunflower Farmers N	Markets, LLC, SF Markets, LLC, and Henry's	
4	Holdings, LLC		
5	1. Type of Defendant:		
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant		
7	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:	
8	2. Detendant 5 Settlement 1 dyment	and Milotation.	
9	Total Settlement Payment	\$25,000	
10	Civil Penalty	\$ 3,250	
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900	
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850	
13			
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	uant to Section 7:	
15	Derek Mirza		
16	Corporate Counsel Sprouts Farmers Market		
17	11811 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 2400 Phoenix, AZ 85028		
18	DerekMirza@sprouts.com		
19	Jeffrey Margulies		
20	Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 555 South Flower Street		
21	Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, California 90071		
22	jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright	t.com	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared		- 19 -	
ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A	

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Sett	ling Defendants
3	Settling Defendant: The Vermont Country	v Store, Inc.
4	•	
5	4. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	5. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:
9	Total Cattlement Dayment	\$25,000
10	Total Settlement Payment Civil Penalty	\$25,000 \$ 3,250
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850
13		
14	6. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	uant to Section 7:
15	Robert J. Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell	
16	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 th Floor	
17	San Francisco, CA 94104 bmaxwell@rjo.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared		- 20 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Sett	ling Defendants
3	Settling Defendant: Trader Joe's Compan	V
4	1	
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:	
9	Total Cattlement Dayment	\$25,000
10	Total Settlement Payment Civil Penalty	\$25,000 \$ 3,250
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850
13		
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	uant to Section 7:
15	Kate Ides O'Melveny & Myers LLP	
16	400 South Hope Street	
17	Los Angeles, CA 90071 kides@omm.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared		- 21 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1	EXHIBIT A	
2	Sett	ling Defendants
3	Settling Defendant: Walgreen Co.	
4		
5	1. Type of Defendant:	
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant	
7		
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation:
9	Total Cattlement Daymont	¢25.000
10	Total Settlement Payment Civil Penalty	\$25,000 \$ 3,250
11	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty	\$ 4,900
12	Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$16,850
13	•	
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Purs	suant to Section 7:
15	Renee Wasserman	
16	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 th Floor	
17	San Francisco, CA 94101 rwasserman@rjo.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		- 22 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1	EXHIBIT A
1	Settling Defendants
2	
3	Settling Defendant: Whole Foods Market California, Inc. and its affiliated subsidiary Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food Markets, Inc.
4	
5	1. Type of Defendant:
6	Retailer/Distributor Defendant
7	
8	2. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation:
9	
10	Total Settlement Payment \$37,500
11	Civil Penalty \$ 4,930
12	Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty \$ 7,400
13	Attorneys' Fees and Costs \$25,170
14	3. Person(s) to Receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7:
15	Wells Blaxter
16	Blaxter Law One Bush Street, Suite 650
17	San Francisco, CA 94104
18	wblaxter@blaxterlaw.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 23 -
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	EXHIBIT A
	341383.1

EXHIBIT B LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO DOWNSTREAM RELEASE Dallo & Co., Inc. Gerrit J. Verburg Co. Jelly Belly Candy Co. Kookaburra Licorice Co. New Zealand Natural Goods, Inc. Powell's Sweet Shoppe USA, LLC Sugar Shack International, Inc. Sweet Candy, LLC DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER EXHIBIT B