1 2 3	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Tel.: (415) 913-7800 Fax: (415) 759-4112	
4 5 6	Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970 Center for Environmental Health 2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612 Tel.: (510) 655-3900 Fax: (510) 655-9100	
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9 10 11	Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Tel.: (510) 848-8880 Fax: (510) 848-8118	
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
16		
17	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	For Entry in Case Nos. RG-13667688 and RG-13688520
18	Plaintiff,	Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable George Hernandez, Jr., Department 17
19	V.	•
20	A BABY, INC., et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT BEXCO
21	Defendants.	ENTERPRISES, INC.
22	PETER ENGLANDER.	
23	PETER ENGLANDER, Plaintiff,	
23 24	·	
23 24 25	Plaintiff,	
23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v.	
23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff, v.  BEXCO ENTERPRISES, INC., et al.,	
23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v.  BEXCO ENTERPRISES, INC., et al.,	

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiffs, Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a non-profit corporation, and Peter Englander ("Englander"), an individual, and Defendant Bexco Enterprises, Inc. ("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH and Englander against Defendant as set forth in their respective complaints entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. A Baby, Inc., et al.* (Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-13667688), and *Peter Englander v. Bexco Enterprises, Inc., et al.* (Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-13688520) (collectively, the "Actions"). CEH, Englander, and Defendant are each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2. On February 1, 2013, CEH served a "Notice of Violation" of the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") (the "CEH Notice") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the district attorneys of every county in the State of California, and the city attorneys for every city in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The CEH Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl)phosphate ("TDCPP") in foam-cushioned pads for children and infants to lie on, such as infant mattress pads, manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.3. On April 11, 2013, Englander served a "Notice of Violation" of Proposition 65 (the "First Englander Notice") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the district attorneys of every county in the State of California, and the city attorneys for every city in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The First Englander Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of TDCPP in foam-cushioned upholstered furniture, including ottomans, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California without a warning by Defendant.
- 1.4. On October 4, 2013, Englander served a "Notice of Violation" of Proposition 65 (the "Second Englander Notice") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the district attorneys of every county in the State of California, and the city attorneys for every city in State

of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Second Englander Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of TDCPP in foam-cushioned upholstered furniture, including chairs and ottomans, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California without a warning by Defendant. The CEH Notice and Englander Notices are referred to collectively hereinafter as the "Notices." To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting any of the violations alleged in the Notices.

- 1.5. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California.
- 1.6. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaints; (ii) venue is proper in Alameda County; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaints based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaints with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.7. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were or which could have been raised in the Complaints arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaints and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any Party may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the

product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in these Actions.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1. "Chemical Flame Retardant" means any halogenated or phosphorous-based chemical compound used for the purpose of resisting or retarding the spread of fire. "Chemical Flame Retardant" does not include any chemical that has been rated as a Benchmark 4 chemical pursuant to Clean Production Action's GreenScreen (http://www.cleanproduction.org/ Green.Greenscreen.php).
- 2.2. "Covered Furniture Products" means foam-cushioned upholstered furniture, including, without limitation, chairs and gliders, manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California.
- 2.3. "Covered Reclining Pad Products" means foam-cushioned pads for children and infants to lie on, including, without limitation, infant mattress pads, manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California.
- 2.4. "Covered Products" means Covered Furniture Products and Covered Reclining Pad Products.
- 2.5. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- 2.6. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardants" means Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP"), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP"), and Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate ("TDBPP").
- 2.7. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardant Limit" means the maximum concentration of a Listed Chemical Flame Retardant specified in Section 3.1.1.
- 2.8. "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.
- 2.9. "Reformulated Products" are Covered Products that comply with the Listed Chemical Flame Retardant Limit established by this Consent Judgment.

- 2.10. "TB 117" means Technical Bulletin No. 117, entitled "Requirements, Test Procedures and Apparatus for Testing the Flame Retardance of Filling Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture," dated March 2000.
- 2.11. "TB 117-2013" means Technical Bulletin 117-2013, entitled "Requirements, Test Procedures and Apparatus for Testing the Smolder Resistance of Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture," approved on November 21, 2013 by the California Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation.
- 2.12. "TB 117-2013 Effective Date" means the date on which filling materials and cover fabrics in upholstered furniture are required to meet the fire retardant requirements in TB 117-2013 pursuant to the proposed amendments to Section 1374 of Article 2 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations.
- 2.13. "Treated" means the addition or application of any Chemical Flame Retardant to any polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product.
- 2.14. "Untreated Foam" means polyurethane foam that has not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant.

## 3. Injunctive Relief

- 3.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Defendant will comply with the following requirements to reformulate the Covered Products to eliminate exposures to TDCPP and other Listed Chemical Flame Retardants arising from the use of the Covered Products:
- 3.1.1. **Proposition 65-Listed Chemical Flame Retardants.** As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that has a Manufacture Date that is on or later than the Effective Date which has been intentionally Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant. Defendant also agrees to immediately work with its vendors and/or other suppliers of Covered Products to only manufacture for sale or purchase for sale in California Covered Products that contain "No Detectable Amount" of any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant. "No Detectable Amount" is defined as containing no more than 25 parts per million (the equivalent of 0.0025%) each of any Listed Chemical Flame

1	Retardant, when analyzed by an accredited, domestic laboratory (i.e., within the United States)
2	pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3545 and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by
3	federal or state agencies to determine the presence or absence of, or to measure the amount of, a
4	Listed Chemical Flame Retardant in a solid substance.
5	3.1.2. Warnings for Products in Inventory. Any Covered Products in which
6	the polyurethane foam has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant and which is
7	manufactured prior to the Effective Date, but distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant
8	in California after the Effective Date, shall be accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning
9	that complies with Section 3.1.3.
10	3.1.3. <b>Proposition 65 Warnings.</b> A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this
11	Consent Judgment shall state:
12 13	<b>WARNING:</b> This product contains Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. <sup>1</sup>
14	A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any
15	additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The
16	warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of
17	the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or
18	designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale.
19	Any warning displayed on the bottom of an unpackaged Covered Product offered for sale to
20	California consumers shall not be considered a Clear and Reasonable Warning for purposes of
21	
22	As Bexco represents that it has been using the regulatory safe harbor warning language specified in 27 Cal. Code Regs § 25603.2 prior to the Effective Date, such warning may also be
23	used. Should Defendant seek to use alternative warning language, other than the language specified above or the safe harbor warning specified in 27 Cal. Code Regs § 25603.2, or seek to
24	use an alternate method of transmission of the warning, Defendant shall obtain the Court's approval of its proposed alternative and provide CEH, Englander, and the Office of the Attorney
25	General with timely notice and the opportunity to comment or object before the Court acts on the request. In the event that Defendant's application for Court approval of an alternative warning is
26	contested by CEH or Englander, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees associated with opposing or responding to the opposition to the application. No fees shall be
27	recoverable for the initial application seeking an alternative warning.
28	

this Section. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

and accordance with Section 3.1.2, within 30 days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of those California retailers or distributors to whom Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Products that contained or may have contained any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant after October 31, 2011, if Defendant does not have actual knowledge that (i) the retailer or distributor is no longer holding such Covered Products in inventory for sale in California or (ii) a Proposition 65 warning is already provided for such Covered Products. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of hang tags in order to permit the retailer or distributor to place a warning tag on each Covered Product (considering the size of each particular customer and the amount of Covered Products each customer has purchased), a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard. The hang tags shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.3.

3.1.5. **Specification To and Certification From Suppliers.** To ensure compliance with the product reformulation provisions of this Consent Judgment, following the Effective Date, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product requiring that such components have not been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.1.1. Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding confirming that all such foam having a Manufacture Date after the Effective Date and received

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

by Defendant for distribution in California after the Effective Date has not been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product or the polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in the Covered Product that such Covered Product, foam, cushioning or padding is made with only Untreated Foam, and/or, if such certification is not relied on or has previously been demonstrated to be invalid, (b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third party certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product's polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material has been made with no Listed Chemical Flame Retardants.

### 4. PENALTIES AND PAYMENTS

- 4.1. **Payments to CEH.** Defendant shall make an initial payment to CEH in the total sum of fifty-five thousand dollars (\$55,000), which shall be allocated as follows:
- 4.1.1. \$6,050 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- 4.1.2. \$8,250 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b) and 11 Cal. Code Regs \$ 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals, including Chemical Flame Retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG-13667688 & RG-13688520

ON RECYCLED PAPER

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

\$15,000 to Englander no later than October 1, 2014. This additional civil penalty payment shall be allocated in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.

4.2.4. The payments required under Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 shall be made in three separate checks. All of the payments shall be sent within 10 days of the Effective Date to the address provided in Section 9.1.2(b). The checks shall be made payable to: "The Chanler Group in Trust for Peter Englander" in the amount of \$3,250; (b) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$9,750; (c) and "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$42,000.

## 5. OPTIONAL PENALTY REDUCTION CREDITS AND WAIVERS

- 5.1. Accelerated Reformulation Waiver -- TDCPP. The additional payment to Englander set forth in Section 4.2.3 in the amount of \$15,000 shall be waived, if Defendant agrees that, as of October 1, 2014, and continuing thereafter, Defendant will only manufacture, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California, Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.9 above. To qualify for this waiver of additional payment to Englander, an officer of Defendant's organization must provide Englander with a written certification confirming timely compliance with the above reformulation standards no later than September 15, 2014. The option to certify early reformulation in lieu of making an additional payment to Englander constitutes a material term of this Consent Judgment, and with regard to such term, time is of the essence.
- 5.2. Additional Reformulation Waiver Use of Untreated Foam. The additional payment to CEH set forth in Section 4.1.5 in the amount of \$22,000 shall be waived, if Defendant agrees that, as of 30 days following the TB117-2013 Effective Date, Defendant will not manufacture, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California any Covered Product that has been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant. To qualify for this waiver of additional payment to CEH, Defendant must provide written certification to CEH of its use of only Untreated Foam within 60 days following the TB 117-2013 Effective Date.
- 5.2.1. **Specification To and Certification From Suppliers**. To ensure compliance with the provisions of Section 5.2, to the extent that Defendant opts for additional reformulation, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its

suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product requiring that such components shall use only Untreated Foam. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 5.2 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product, or the polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in the Covered Product, that such Covered Product, foam, cushioning, or padding is made with only Untreated Foam, and/or (b) it has obtained a test result from a certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product's polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material has been made with Untreated Foam. Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding confirming that all such foam received by Defendant for distribution in California is Untreated Foam.

### 6. Enforcement of Consent Judgment

6.1. CEH and/or Englander may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Sections 3 or 5 above, CEH and/or Englander shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's and/or Englander's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's and/or Englander's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH and/or Englander may file its/his enforcement motion or application. The prevailing Party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its/his reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

### 7. Modification of Consent Judgment

7.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written agreement of the

Parties and the subsequent entry of an order by the Court approving such modification, or upon motion brought by CEH, Englander, or Defendant, as provided by law, and the subsequent entry of a modified judgment by the Court thereon. The Parties agree and understand that the Office of the Attorney General of the State of California shall receive notice of any effort by any Party or the Parties to seek any modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment.

## 8. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 8.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Englander acting in the public interest, and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and its successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom it distributes or sells Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of all claims alleged in the Actions, or either complaint filed therein, arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposures to TDCPP in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 8.2. CEH and Englander, for themselves, release, waive, and forever discharge any and all claims alleged in the in the Actions, or either complaint filed therein, against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposures to TDCPP in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 8.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about TDCPP in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.

1	8.4. Defendant, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents,
2	representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against
3	CEH and Englander and their attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or
4	statements made by CEH and Englander and their attorneys and other representatives, whether in
5	the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in the
6	Actions, or with respect to the Covered Products.
7	9. Provision of Notice
8	9.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
9	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
10	9.1.1. <b>Notices to Defendant.</b> The persons for Defendant to receive notices
11	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:
12	John Kwok
13	Chief Financial Officer
14	Bexco Enterprises, Inc. 855 Washington Blvd.
15	Montebello, CA 90640 john@mdbmail.com
16	
17	with a copy to:
18	Eugene F. McMenamin Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo
19	12800 Center Court Drive, Suite 300
20	Cerritos, CA 90703 EMcmenamin@aalrr.com
21	and
22	Edward C. Ho
23	Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo
24	12800 Center Court Drive, Suite 300 Cerritos, CA 90703
25	EHo@aalrr.com
26	9.1.2. <b>Notices to Plaintiffs.</b> The persons for CEH and Englander to receive
27	notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG-13667688 & RG-13688520

1	9.1.2(a). For CEH:
	Rick Franco
2	Center for Environmental Health
3	2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, CA 94612
4	rick@ceh.org
5	with a copy to:
6	Mark Todzo
7	Lexington Law Group
0	503 Divisadero Street
8	San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
9	mtouzo e remun groupreom
10	9.1.2(b). For Englander:
11	The Chanler Group
12	Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street
13	Parker Plaza, Suite 214
	Berkeley, CA 94710
14 15	9.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
	sending the other parties notice by first class and electronic mail.
16 17	10. COURT APPROVAL
	10.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided
18	however, that CEH and Englander shall cooperate on the preparation and filing of a Motion for
19	Approval of this Consent Judgment, and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion,
20	including appearing at the hearing on the motion if so requested.
21	10.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
22	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
23	purpose.
24	11. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
25	11.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed
26	and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.
27	
28	14

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

## 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 12.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH, Englander, and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 12.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH, Englander, and Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 12.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 12.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 12.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

# 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

### 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

2.8

# 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS 15.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH and/or Englander from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment. 16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS 16.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: 10 Juje, 2014 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2014 PETER ENGLANDER Signature DOCUMENT PREPARED -16-ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG-13667688 & RG-13688520

# 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS 1 15.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH and/or Englander from 2 resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this 3 4 Consent Judgment. 16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS 5 16.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by 6 means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. 7 8 9 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 10 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2014 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 11 12 Signature 13 14 Printed Name 15 Title 16 17 18 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2014 PETER ENGLANDE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 -16-DOCUMENT PREPARED

CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG-13667688 & RG-13688520

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	Dated: June 16 m, 2014 BEXCO ENTERPRISES, INC.
1	Dated: <u>June 16</u> , 2014 BEXCO ENTERPRISES, INC.
2	2
3	Signature John Kw. K
4 5	Printed Name
6	efo
7	Title
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:
10	
11	Dated:, 2014  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda
12	California, County of Alameda
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28  DOCUMENT PREPARED  ON RECYCLED PAPER	-17-

CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG-13667688 & RG-13688520