1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com jmann@lexlawgroup.com Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970 Center for Environmental Health 2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 655-3900 Facsimile: (510) 655-9100 rick@ceh.org Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14		
15		
16	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. RG-13667688
17	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	
	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT
18 19	V.	JUDGMENT RE: VICTORY LAND GROUP, INC.
20	A BABY, INC., et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	1. Introduction	
24	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental	
25	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendant Victory Land Group, Inc.	
26	("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative	
	Complaint in the matter <i>Center for Environmental Health v. A Baby, Inc., et al.</i> , Alameda County	
27	Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. A Buby, Inc., et al., Alameda County	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED		1
ON RECYCLED PAPER		-1-

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VICTORY LAND GROUP, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13667688

Superior Court Case No. RG-13667688 (the "Action"). CEH and Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties".

- 1.2. On February 1, 2013, CEH served a "Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") in foam-cushioned upholstered furniture manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense either Party may have in this

or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1. "Chemical Flame Retardant" means any halogenated or phosphorous-based chemical compound used for the purpose of resisting or retarding the spread of fire. "Chemical Flame Retardant" does not include any chemical that has been rated as a Benchmark 4 chemical pursuant to Clean Production Action's GreenScreen (http://www.cleanproduction.org/ Green.Greenscreen.php).
- 2.2. "Covered Products" means foam-cushioned upholstered furniture manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California.
 - 2.3. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- 2.4. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardants" means Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP"), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP"), and Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate ("TDBPP").
- 2.5. "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.
- 2.6. "TB 117" means Technical Bulletin No. 117, entitled "Requirements, Test Procedures and Apparatus for Testing the Flame Retardance of Filling Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture," dated March 2000.
- 2.7. "TB 117-2013" means the proposed Technical Bulletin 117-2013, entitled "Requirements, Test Procedures and Apparatus for Testing the Smolder Resistance of Materials Used in Upholstered Furniture," released for review and public comment on February 8, 2013 (and re-released on August 19, 2013) by the California Bureau of Electronic and Appliance Repair, Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation.
- 2.8. "TB 117-2013 Effective Date" means the date on which filling materials and cover fabrics in upholstered furniture are required to meet the fire retardant requirements in TB 117-

2013 pursuant to the proposed amendments to Section 1374 of Article 2 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations.

- 2.9. "Treated" means the addition or application of any Chemical Flame Retardant to any polyurethane foam used as filling material in any Covered Product.
- 2.10. "Untreated Foam" means polyurethane foam that has not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant.

3. Injunctive Relief

- 3.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Defendant shall comply with the following requirements to reformulate the Covered Products to eliminate exposures to TDCPP arising from the use of the Covered Products:
- 3.1.1. **Listed Chemical Flame Retardants All Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, a Settling Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant and which has a Manufacture Date that is on or later than the Effective Date.
- 3.1.1.1. To ensure compliance with the reformulation provisions of this Section, following the Effective Date, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product requiring that such components have not been Treated with Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in accordance with the requirements of this Section 3.1.1. Each Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding confirming that all such foam received by that Settling Defendant for distribution in California has not been Treated with Listed Chemical Flame Retardants.
- 3.1.2. **Interim Compliance TDCPP.** Any Covered Products in which the polyurethane foam has been Treated with TDCPP and which is distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant in California after the Effective Date shall be accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.1.4.

3.1.3. Warnings for Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to
ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65
for Covered Products that have not been reformulated pursuant to Section 3.1.1 or labeled in
accordance with Section 3.1.2, within 30 days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall
provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to
whom Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Products that contained or may have
contained TDCPP on or after October 31, 2011. Such warning materials shall include a
reasonably sufficient number of hang tags in order to permit the retailer or distributor to place a
warning tag on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Defendant. The hang
tags shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.4. The warning materials shall
also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the hang tags, and a Notice and
Acknowledgment postcard.

3.1.4. **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: This product contains tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

3.2. **Optional Additional Reformulation – Use of Untreated Foam.** In order Defendant to be eligible for a waiver of the additional penalty/payment in lieu of penalty payments set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Defendant shall undertake the additional actions to

ON RECYCLED PAPER

3.2.1. **Specification To and Certification From Suppliers**. To ensure

6 7 compliance with the provisions of this Section 3.2, to the extent that Defendant opts for additional 8 reformulation, it shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of 9 polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product 10 requiring that such components shall use only Untreated Foam. Defendant shall not be deemed in 11 violation of the requirements of this Section 3.2 for any Covered Product to the extent that: (a) 12 Defendant has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product or 13 the polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in the Covered Product is 14 made with only Untreated Foam, and/or (b) Defendant has obtained a test result from a certified 15 laboratory reporting that the Covered Product's polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material has been made with Untreated Foam. Defendant shall obtain and maintain 16 17 written certification(s) from its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding 18 confirming that all such foam received by Defendant for distribution in California is Untreated

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Foam.

4. PENALTIES AND PAYMENT

4.1. Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of Forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000), which shall be allocated as follows:

\$4,950 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.

4.1.2. \$6,750 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals,

including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

- 4.1.3. \$33,300 shall constitute reimbursement of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4.1.4. The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three separate checks, all to be delivered within 10 days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable CEH. The payment required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8. For any such payments made by Defendant prior to the Effective Date, these funds shall be held in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment or returned if the Court issues a final Order denying CEH's motion for entry of the Consent Judgment.
- 4.1.5. In the event that Defendant elects not to certify its compliance with Section 3.2 in accordance with that Section, within 30 days following the TB 117-2013 Effective Date, Defendant must make an additional payment of \$17,500, which shall be paid in two separate checks, each payable to CEH, to be allocated as follows:
- 4.1.5.1. \$7,000 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- 4.1.5.2. \$10,500 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals, including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to

confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

5. Enforcement of Consent Judgment

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliated companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products, including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VICTORY LAND GROUP, INC. - CASE NO. RG-13667688

San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.

1	Dated: <u>Nov.</u> / , 2013	VICTORY LAND GROUP, INC.
2		Lilla
3		
4		Toff You
5		Printed Name
6		Jeff Yao Printed Name President
7		Title
8		
9		
10	It Is So Ordered, Adjudged,	
11	AND DECREED:	
12	Dated:, 2013	
13		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda
14		cumicum, county or runnious
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	. NS	-12-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VICTO	DRY LAND GROUP, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13667688