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## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STEPHEN D. GILLETT, an individual, ) Case No. CGC-09-494987 Plaintiff,
v.

METAGENICS, INC., a corporation, Defendant.

This agreement ("Consent Judgment" or "agreement") is entered into by and between STEPHEN D. GILLETT ("PLAINTIFF") and METAGENICS, INC. ("METAGENICS" or "DEFENDANT") to resolve all claims raised in the Complaints filed against Defendant in the above-captioned action. This Consent Judgment shall be effective upon entry by this Court. PLAINTIFF and METAGENICS (collectively "the Parties" and each individually a "Party") agree to the terms and conditions set forth below.

WHEREAS, analysis of this general category of products, including but not limited to these Covered Products (as defined below), using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry reveals that there can be detectable lead in some production lots of such products, there can be variations in lead concentrations within a single lot of any particular product, there can be variation among different lots of the same product and, finally, there can be variation in lead levels among the
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Covered Products.
WHEREAS, even with the use of good manufacturing practices, the Covered Products can still have detectable concentrations of lead.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant dispute how exposure to the Covered Products is to be calculated, including the amount per eating occasion, how consumption episodes should be evaluated, and who the average users of the Covered Products may be.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant dispute whether the existing warning or other text on the Covered Product labels satisfy the warning obligations of Proposition 65 (defined below).

WHEREAS, Defendant contends that the lead, if any is detectable, contained in the Covered Products is "naturally occurring" within the meaning of California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff contends that none of the detectable lead Plaintiff alleges is in the Covered Products has been demonstrated to be "naturally occurring" within the meaning of California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant recognize and acknowledge that proving or disproving that any particular quantity of lead that may be contained in the Covered Products is naturally occurring would be extremely expensive and time-consuming, requiring the expenditure of resources out of proportion with any benefits to be derived from that process.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in Edgerton v. Canopco (dba Slim Fast foods Co.), Atkins Nutritionals, Inc., Metabolife International, Kashi Company, and Rexall Sundown, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC262906 (dated 12/19/03) allows, inter alia, certain dietary supplement products to be sold in California without a warning, regardless of the concentration of lead in those products, provided that each covered defendant uses certain "best practices" in manufacturing its products and otherwise complies with the Consent Judgment.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in As you Sow v. Nature's Way Products Inc., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-422848 (filed 5/24/05) allows, inter alia, dietary supplement products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms
per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant complies with Consent Judgment terms.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in As You Sow v. Irwin Naturals, et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-04-429279 (filed 6/30/05) allows, inter alia, similar dietary supplement products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant complies with the Consent Judgment terms.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in As You Sow v. Threshold Enterprises, Ltd. Et al., San Francisco Superior court Case No. CGC-03-422847 (filed 9/8/05) allows, inter alia, similar dietary supplement products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant complies with the Consent Judgment terms.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in As You Sow v. Botanical Laboratories, Inc. et al., San Francisco Superior court Case No. CGC-04-429563 (filed 5/23/05) allows, inter alia, similar dietary supplement products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant complies with the Consent Judgment terms.

WHEREAS, Defendant contends that it should be provided a naturally occurring allowance of up to one (1) part per million (1000 ppb) of lead for any cocoa powder found in Covered Products, pursuant to the letter dated September 28, 2001 from the California Office of the Attorney General to Roger Lane Carrick and Michele Corash.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in People v. Warner-Lambert Co. et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-00-984503 (filed 11/13/1998 and modified on 07/15/11), allows,
inter alia, a naturally occurring allowance of 0.8 micrograms of lead per 1000 milligrams of calcium, and naturally occurring allowances of $0.4 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ for ferrous fumarate, $8.0 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ for zinc oxide, $0.4 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ for magnesium oxide, $0.332 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ for magnesium carbonate, $0.4 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ magnesium hydroxide, $0.8 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ zinc gluconate, and $1.1 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{g}$ potassium chloride. In 2012 the People afforded the same naturally occurring allowances to dozens of defendants, including Defendant, in a series of consent judgments resolving a case styled People v. $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Healthcare, Inc. et al., Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG08426937; these allowances are not incorporated herein.

WHEREAS, Defendant contends that it is unfairly prejudicial to subject different businesses within the same competitive marketplace to different lead warning thresholds pursuant to Proposition 65.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff contends that marketplace uniformity does not exempt Defendant from compliance with Proposition 65 warning standards.

WHEREAS, while all Parties desire to achieve the lowest level of lead in the Covered Products that is reasonably feasible, Defendant asserts that some time is needed to evaluate, investigate, reformulate, re-label, alter manufacturing practices or take other measures to achieve such extremely low lead levels in the Covered Products.

WHEREAS, the Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any facts, violations of law, conclusions of law, the applicability of Proposition 65, or the applicability or violation of any other law or standard governing warnings or disclosures in connection with the manufacture, packaging, labeling, distribution and/or sale of the Products.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PLAINTIFF is an individual dedicated to, among other causes, the protection of the environment, the promotion of human health, the improvement of worker and consumer rights, environmental education, corporate accountability and reduction or elimination of lead in the food supply chain.
1.2 METAGENICS is a Delaware corporation that sells in California certain dietary supplements (defined below as the "COVERED PRODUCTS"), all of which Plaintiff alleges contain lead, a chemical regulated by the State of California as known to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), California Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.; Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25000 et seq. The COVERED PRODUCTS initially covered by this Consent Judgment are set forth on Exhibit A hereto. Products not appearing on Exhibit A are not covered by the injunctive provisions or the release of liability set forth herein, except predecessor, successor or future new COVERED PRODUCTS as defined in this Section 1.2 are covered by the terms of this agreement applicable to each such category. All forms, sizes, packaging, labeling of the COVERED PRODUCTS are included in this agreement. Predecessor and, in the future, successor products to the COVERED PRODUCTS are also COVERED PRODUCTS. ${ }^{1}$ In the future, new products which would have been Covered Products if they had been made today also will be deemed COVERED PRODUCTS, meaning all new dietary supplements manufactured directly by, or for, Defendant and sold in the form of tablets, pills, powders, liquids, capsules, gel caps; and which are branded with Defendant's label, or co-branded by Defendant and another party, or labeled by a third party but are the same product as a product branded or co-branded with Defendant's name or label; and which are either plant or herbal containing products, or vitamin or mineral based products, or enzyme products, or probiotics/prebiotics products, or amino acids/other dietary compound products. New covered products shall not include: (a) foods, if any, which are not dietary supplements; (b) meal replacement products or bars; (c) ready-to-drink liquids or ready-to-mix powders intended to

[^0]constitute beverages; (d) dietary supplements which are custom formulated for a customer of Defendant; (e) products subject to the consent judgment resolving People v. $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Healthcare, Inc. et al., Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG08426937; or (f) products marketed to and intended for consumption by children. None of the COVERED PRODUCTS listed on Exhibit A fall into any of the categories of products listed immediately above in (a)-(f).
1.3 If Plaintiff has a question whether a product is a COVERED PRODUCT, Plaintiff shall inquire in writing of Defendant and Defendant shall verify whether a product is a COVERED PRODUCT, promptly, and in no event later than two (2) weeks after the request.
1.4 The COVERED PRODUCTS have been imported, manufactured, distributed and/or sold by METAGENICS for use in California.
1.5 On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8.
1.6 On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8.
1.7 On August 31, 2009, PLAINTIFF served METAGENICS and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided METAGENICS and the public enforcement agencies with notice that METAGENICS was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn the purchasers and individuals using some of the COVERED PRODUCTS, and that use of these COVERED PRODUCTS exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity. A copy of this notice is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
1.8 PLAINTIFF filed a Complaint entitled Stephen D. Gillett v. Metagenics, Inc., Case Number CGC-09-494987 (the "Action") in San Francisco Superior Court alleging violations of Proposition 65.
1.9 On March 8, 2012, PLAINTIFF again served METAGENICS and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided

METAGENICS and the public enforcement agencies with notice that METAGENICS was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn the purchasers and individuals using some of the COVERED PRODUCTS that the use of these COVERED PRODUCTS exposed them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity. A copy of this notice is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
1.10 On March 27, 2013 PLAINTIFF served METAGENICS with another "60 Day Notice" that provided METAGENICS and the public enforcement agencies with notice that METAGENICS was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn the purchasers and individuals using some of the COVERED PRODUCTS that the use of these COVERED PRODUCTS exposed them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity. A copy of this notice is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
1.11 The First Amended Complaint in the Action was filed on October 15, 2010. The Second Amended Complaint was filed on May 14, 2012. The operative Third Amended Complaint was filed on September 28, 2012. Upon the entry of this Consent Judgment, the Third Amended Complaint will be amended, and the (proposed) Fourth Amended Complaint lodged concurrently with this Consent Judgment shall be filed, and shall be the operative complaint and Action with respect to METAGENICS. If a public prosecutor were to commence an action respecting the COVERED PRODUCTS listed in the March 27, 2013 "60 Day Notice" before this agreement is approved by the Court, then either Party, in its sole discretion, may declare this agreement null and void and it shall be deemed null and void $a b$ initio.
1.12 The Action was brought against METAGENICS by PLAINTIFF in the public interest at least sixty (60) days after PLAINTIFF provided notice of the Proposition 65 violations to METAGENICS and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. None of the public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against METAGENICS for such violations for any of the products listed in the August 31, 2009, March 8, 2012 or the March 27, 2013 "60 Day Notices."
1.13 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the Action.
1.14 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, METAGENICS does not admit any violations of Proposition 65, or any other law or standard applicable to warning or disclosure concerning the import, manufacture, distribution and/or sale of the COVERED PRODUCTS. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, issue of law, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, issue of law, conclusion of law, or violation of law.
1.15 Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE PROVISIONS

### 2.1 Provision of Clear and Reasonable Proposition 65 Warnings.

2.1.1. When required under Section 2.2, METAGENICS shall permanently cease sales of, and no longer ship for sale or use in California, any of the COVERED PRODUCTS (as defined in Sections 1.2 and 1.3, above) unless each individual unit of such COVERED PRODUCT bears the following warning statement on its label or attached to or affixed to the individual unit or the packaging (including a hang tag):

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Additionally, METAGENICS in its sole discretion may alter the warning text to provide for warnings for more than one listed chemical under Proposition 65, such that the text may read as follows:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical [chemicals] known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

METAGENICS may at its option use the phrase "a chemical" or the word "chemicals" and when warranted the phrase "cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm" may be substituted for "birth defects or other reproductive harm." The warning statement shall be prominent and displayed on the unit packaging of each COVERED PRODUCT with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual purchasing or using the COVERED PRODUCT.

### 2.1.2 Mail Order Sales.

For any mail order sales of COVERED PRODUCTS made by METAGENICS to a consumer in California, the clear and reasonable warning language required under Section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment shall apply; also, any transmission method for warnings authorized under Section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment shall apply.

### 2.1.3 Internet Sales.

For any internet sales of COVERED PRODUCTS made by METAGENICS to a consumer in California, the clear and reasonable warning language required under Section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment shall apply; however, METAGENICS may, at its option, transmit such warnings in any one or more of the following forms: (a) on the same page upon where the COVERED PRODUCT is displayed or referenced; or (b) on the same page as the order form for the COVERED PRODUCT; or (c) on the same page as the price for any COVERED PRODUCT; or (d) in a dialogue box which appears when a California address for delivery is provided by the consumer, so long as the dialogue box appears prior to the completion of the transaction; or (e) on the COVERED PRODUCT label or elsewhere directly affixed to or attached to the COVERED PRODUCT or its packaging (including a hang tag).

### 2.1.4 Any changes to the text or format of the warning language other than as set

 forth in Section 2.1.1 shall be made only after Court approval. METAGENICS shall first request any such change in the text or format of the warnings and if: (a) PLAINTIFF or the Attorney General objects, or (b) neither PLAINTIFF nor the Attorney General responds to that request within ninety (90) days, then METAGENICS may move the Court via noticed motion to modify theConsent Judgment. If the Attorney General approves the requested changed and PLAINTIFF does not respond to the requested change within ninety (90) days, the requested change shall be deemed to have been approved by both the Attorney General and PLAINTIFF.
2.2 When Warnings Are Required. Warnings shall be required under Section 2.1 for COVERED PRODUCTS beginning on January 1, 2014 only under the follow circumstances:
a) for COVERED PRODUCTS imported, or manufactured, or distributed or sold by METAGENICS after January 1, 2014; and
b) if exposure to lead in a daily dose of a COVERED PRODUCT exceeds $2.90 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{day}$, assuming consumer use of the Covered Product in accordance with the label dose recommendation; provided, however, if the label dose recommendation includes a range of doses, then the mid-point of the range shall be the assumed dose; provided, further, that if the label does not include a dose recommendation then the dose or amount set forth on the supplement facts panel shall be the assumed dose for purposes of this Consent Judgment.

### 2.3 Monitoring Program.

(a) METAGENICS shall undertake an ongoing lead monitoring program. The lead monitoring program shall include laboratory testing of each COVERED PRODUCT, including testing on or before December 1, 2013 for currently manufactured, distributed or sold COVERED PRODUCTS. Successor or new ${ }^{2}$ COVERED PRODUCTS shall be tested before being distributed or sold to California consumers. Measures undertaken to comply with state or federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act obligations, and obligations under other consent judgments under Proposition 65, may be included as part of the lead monitoring program under this Consent Judgment.
(b) A presumptive level of lead in any COVERED PRODUCT for any purpose under this Consent Judgment shall be established when METAGENICS conducts, or has conducted on its behalf, testing of a minimum of three (3) and a maximum of twelve (12) samples (at METAGENICS' discretion) from different lots or batches (if different lots or batches are available),

[^1]or a minimum of one sample which consists of a composite of at least three (3) test specimens if at least three (3) lots or batches are not available, which comprise the subject COVERED PRODUCT, using Method AOAC modified 993.14 (Elements by ICPMS) and calculates the arithmetic mean (average) of the samples so tested (the "Presumptive Level"). The initial Presumptive Levels for each COVERED PRODUCT shall be established by December 1, 2013. The test results which METAGENICS uses to establish the Presumptive Level shall be normalized when calculating the mean, so that each individual test result may not differ by more than three (3) times the standard deviation. Any test result which differs by more than three (3) times the standard deviation from the mean shall be deemed an "Outlier." Outlier test results shall not be used to set the initial Presumptive Levels, nor shall Outlier test results be used to calculate future Presumptive Levels. If a COVERED PRODUCT has an Outlier test result of $>2.90 \mathrm{ug} /$ day lead as calculated under this Section 2, then that specific lot or batch is subject to the applicable warning obligations of Sections 2.1 and 2.2 and is subject to the limitation on sales in Section 2.4. The initial Presumptive Levels under this Consent Judgment shall be based on test data obtained between September 1, 2012 and December 1, 2013. Subsequent Presumptive Levels for the COVERED PRODUCTS shall be set on an ongoing basis as new test data is obtained under the ongoing lead monitoring program.

METAGENICS shall be entitled to rely on the Presumptive Level of lead for all purposes of this Consent Judgment, except as provided in Section 2.3(d) below.
(c) METAGENICS shall deliver a summary table of the Presumptive Level lead test results to PLAINTIFF by December 20, 2013 based on data and information obtained between September 1, 2012 and December 1, 2013. The table shall include the Presumptive Level and the number of test samples on which the Presumptive Level is based. This table shall remain confidential, PLAINTIFF shall not disclose the results to any person without the prior written consent of DEFENDANT, and the results of the table shall be used solely for purposes of monitoring compliance under this Consent Judgment; provided, however, that in the event of a dispute over lead levels in a COVERED PRODUCT the Parties agree that the Presumptive Level of the product in dispute (but not the table itself) may be revealed to the Court to the extent necessary to resolve the
dispute and to enforce this Consent Judgment. In addition to PLAINTIFF'S review of test data, the Court and the Office of the Attorney General may request at any time that DEFENDANT provide the test data supporting any given Presumptive Level as either deems necessary to monitor or to enforce this Consent Judgment.
(d) PLAINTIFF shall not allege a violation of this Consent Judgment respecting a failure to warn unless PLAINTIFF has obtained at least three (3) valid test results, and the arithmetic mean (average) of PLAINTIFF'S results documents a failure to warn under this Consent Judgment. Then the Parties shall meet and confer. In the event of a dispute between the Parties as to whether there is a failure to warn under this Consent Judgment, at least three (3) additional samples shall be tested at a commercial laboratory acceptable to all Parties. The determinative level of lead shall be the arithmetic mean (average) of all of the samples tested, including the test results PLAINTIFF has obtained, DEFENDANT'S existing results obtained under the ongoing lead monitoring program, and any additional results obtained as a result of testing pursuant to the dispute resolution process of this Section 2.3(d), but excluding any Outlier test results (as defined in Section 2.3(c) (the "Determinative Level"). The Determinative Level of lead shall be the level evaluated to determine compliance with the obligations of this Consent Judgment from and after the date the Determinative Level is established and the Determinative Level then shall replace the Presumptive Level for the subject COVERED PRODUCT. The Determinative Level for a given COVERED PRODUCT may be established at any time and the Parties expressly contemplate that in the event of a dispute regarding the Determinative Level, METAGENICS shall be afforded an opportunity to supplement the existing test data and information on hand.

### 2.4 Limitation on Sales. METAGENICS shall not sell any COVERED PRODUCT,

 even with a warning under Sections 2.1.1. - 2.1.4., to a California consumer if the exposure to lead in a daily dose as determined under Sections 2.2 and 2.3 exceeds 12 ug/day.2.5 Quality Control Measures. METAGENICS shall continue employing good manufacturing practices, which may be adjusted from time to time, and shall continue employing quality control measures, which may be adjusted from time to time, intended to reduce natural
chemical contaminants to the "lowest level currently feasible," as this term is used in 21 C.F.R. Section 110.110(c) (2001).
2.6 Ongoing Compliance with Proposition 65. As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 respecting lead in any COVERED PRODUCT, regardless of when such COVERED PRODUCT is or was manufactured, distributed or sold by METAGENICS or by any other person.

## 3. CIVIL PENALTIES

3.1 Civil Penalty Assessment. METAGENICS shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of $\$ 65,000.00$, pursuant to Health \& Safety Code § 25249.7(b). PLAINTIFF shall remit $75 \%$ of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health \& Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1).

## 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

### 4.1 Reimbursement of PLAINTIFF'S Investigative, Expert and Legal Fees and

Costs. METAGENICS shall reimburse PLAINTIFF in the amount of $\$ 255,000.00$ for costs of suit, including attorneys' fees, incurred in the Action in full and complete satisfaction of PLAINTIFF'S claims and PLAINTIFF expressly waives the right, if any, to receive additional sums from METAGENICS.

## 5. PAYMENT OBLIGATIONS

5.1 METAGENICS shall pay the sums due under Sections 3.1 and 4.1 within fifteen (15) court days after the date on which this judgment is entered by the Court. Such sums shall be made payable to "Law Office of Andrew L. Packard Attorney-Client Trust Account." PLAINTIFF and its counsel shall cooperate by providing METAGENICS with any wiring instructions, taxpayer identification information, and other information required to facilitate the payment.
5.2 In the event that any payment owed to PLAINTIFF under this Consent Judgment is late, METAGENICS shall be deemed to be in default of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. PLAINTIFF shall provide written notice to METAGENICS of any default. If METAGENICS fails to remedy its default within two (2) business days of such notice, interest shall accrue on any unpaid balance at the prevailing federal funds rate.
5.3 If the Office of the Attorney General files one or more objections to this Consent Judgment with the Court, PLAINTIFF'S counsel shall retain all funds tendered under this Consent Judgment in a client trust account and not disburse them until the later of: a) the time period for an appeal by the Attorney General has lapsed or b) if the Attorney General files an appeal, until the appeal is concluded. If a higher court rules some or any part of this Consent Judgment is void, or otherwise invalidates the whole or any part, or remands the matter for further proceedings before this Court, then within 15 day after the date such determination is deemed final PLAINTIFF'S counsel shall refund from its client trust account all sums originally paid to METAGENICS.

## 6. RELEASE OF LIABILITY

6.1 Release of Liability of METAGENICS. PLAINTIFF, on his own behalf, and in the public interest, waives, releases, and discharges all rights to institute any form of legal action against METAGENICS, its officers, directors, employees, attorneys, representatives, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions and subdivisions, upstream suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or other sellers concerning the import, manufacture, distribution and/or sale of the COVERED PRODUCTS based upon METAGENICS', or any other person's, alleged failure to warn, within the meaning of Proposition 65, about exposure to lead and lead compounds contained in any of the COVERED PRODUCTS sold by METAGENICS or by any other person on or before the entry of this Consent Judgment.
6.2 Release of Liability of PLAINTIFF. METAGENICS releases and waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against PLAINTIFF, for all actions or statements made or undertaken by the PLAINTIFF in the course of this Action.

### 6.3 General Release of METAGENICS by PLAINTIFF. This Consent Judgment is a

 final and binding release between PLAINTIFF, on his own behalf, and METAGENICS, of any and all claims, actions, complaints, causes of action, rights, demands, defenses, liabilities, or latent deficiencies of any kind whatsoever, whether in law or in equity, whether contractual, common law, statutory, federal, state, or otherwise (the "Claims"), which PLAINTIFF has or could have, whether now or in the future, known or unknown, against METAGENICS or other such parties releasedunder Section 6.1 arising out of the Action or any violation of Proposition 65 up through the date of entry of this order by the Court. No Claim is reserved as between PLAINTIFF, on his own behalf, and METAGENICS, and PLANTIFF expressly waives any and all rights which either may have under the provisions of Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE THME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IS KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.
 Plaintiff
7. COVENANT NOT TOSUE
7.1 Excepting enforcement of this Consent Judgment; PLANTIFF hereby covenants not to sue METAGENICS under Proposition 65 or any other law or standard applicable to warning or disclosure concerning the import, manufacture, distribution and/or sale of any COVERED PRODUCT.

## 8. CONSENT JUDGMENT

8.1 Consent Judgment. Upon execution of this [Proposed] Consent Judgment, PLAINTIFF shall file a Motion for Approval \& Entry of Consent Judgment in the San Francisco Superior Court. Pursuant to Title 11, Cal. Code of Regs. 83003 , this motion shall be served upon all of the parties to the Action and upon the Califormit Attomey General's Office. The Court shall either approve or disapprove of this Consent Judgment in its entirety, without alteration, deletion or amendment, unless otherwise so stipulated by the Parties or their counsel. METAGENICS agrees to support the motion to approve this Consent Judgment in full.

## 9. ENFORCEMENT, MODIFICATION, DISPUTE RESOLUTION

9.1 Enforcement. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Consen Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer within twenty (20) days after either Party receives written notice of an alleged violation of this Agreement from the other Party. In the event the Parties cannot resolve the dispute, this Consent Judgment may be enforced pursuant to Code of
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Civil Procedure § 664.6 or any other valid provision of law. The prevailing party in any dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be awarded its reasonable fees and costs incurred, in addition to any other relief ordered by the Court.
9.2 Modification of Judgment - Procedure. This Consent Judgment may be modified only by a Court order approving a noticed Motion served on all Parties and the Attorney General.
9.3. Dispute Resolution. In the event of a dispute, the Parties shall first meet and confer in good faith and attempt to resolve the matter through negotiation. The Parties agree to meet and confer within thirty (30) days of the date a Party notifies the other Party in writing of a dispute hereunder. The Parties shall resolve the dispute within thirty (30) days of the date they meet and confer or, if they do not resolve the issue, then any Party may move the Court via a noticed motion (including service on the Office of the Attorney General) for such resolution as is authorized under this Consent Judgment or applicable law. The prevailing party in a dispute heard by the Court may request the Court award attorney's fees and costs, and the Court may award such fees and costs in its discretion.

## 10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 Governing Law. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

## 11. NOTICES

11.1 Notices. All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and shall be sent by an overnight courier service with a tracking system to verify delivery and addressed as follows:

All correspondence to PLAINTIFF shall be mailed to: With a copy to:
Mr. Stephen D. Gillett
P.O. Box 170142

San Francisco, CA 94117
Andrew L. Packard, Esq.
Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard 100 Petaluma Boulevard North Suite 301
Petaluma, CA 94952

| All correspondence to METAGENICS shall be mailed to: | With a copy to: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attn: General Counsel | Judith M. Praitis, Esq. |
| Metagenics, Inc. | Sidley Austin LLP |
| 25 Enterprise | S55 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 |
| Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 | Los Angeles, CA 90013 |

All correspondence to METAGENICS shall be mailed to:
Attn: General Counsel
Metagenics, Inc.
25 Enterprise

Courtesy notification by e-mail is allowed, but the date of receipt shall be the date of delivery.

## 12. INTEGRATION AND MODIFICATION

12.1 Integration \& Modification. This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibits hereto which are specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified by any procedure provided by law for the modification of judgments.
13. COUNTERPARTS
13.1 Counterparts. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 14. AUTHORIZATION

14.1 Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: $\qquad$ STEPHEN D. GULLET


DATED:
27 Sept. 13

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT METAGENICS, INC.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: $\qquad$
The Honorable John E. Munter Judge of the Superior Court

INDEX OF ATTACHED EXHIBITS
EXHIBIT A - Product List
EXHIBIT B - Notice of Proposition 65 Violation dated August 31, 2009
EXHIBIT C - Notice of Proposition 65 Violation dated March 8, 2012
EXHIBIT D - Notice of Proposition 65 Violation dated March 27, 2013

Exhibit A
All forms, sizes, packaging, labeling (e.g., tablets, pills, powders, liquids, capsules, gel caps) of: PRODUCTS

C-500 Methoxyflavone ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Adrenogen ${ }^{\circledR}$
Adreset ${ }^{\circledR}$
AdvaClear ${ }^{\circledR}$
Andrographis Plus ${ }^{\circledR}$
Azeo-Pangen ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Azeo-Pangen ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Extra Strength
Benesom ${ }^{\circledR}$
BifoViden ID®
BioSom $®$ (cherry flavored)
Black Cohosh Plus ${ }^{\circledR}$
CandiBactin-AR ${ }^{\circledR}$
CandiBactin-BR ${ }^{\circledR}$
Celapro ${ }^{\circledR}$
Chasteberry Plus® ${ }^{\circledR}$
Cholarest SC®
ChondroCare ${ }^{\circledR}$ with MSM
Chromium Picolinate
CogniSure ${ }^{\circledR}$
Concentrated Ultra Prostagen ${ }^{\circledR}$
Coratin ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
CoQ10 ST-100 ${ }^{\text {Tм }}$
CoQ10 ST-200 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Cortico-B5B6®
C-Ultratabs ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
$\mathrm{D}_{3} 1000^{\mathrm{Tm}}$
$\mathrm{D}_{3} 2000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Complex
$\mathrm{D}_{3} 5000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (formerly IsoD 3
2000)
$\mathrm{D}_{3}$ Liquid ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
E Complex 1:1 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
E-400 Selenium ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
EC Matrixx ${ }^{\circledR}$

| 1 | Echinacea SynergyTM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Endefen(8) |
| 3 | Energenics® |
|  | Essential Defense® |
| 4 | EstroFactors® |
| 5 | Estrovera ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ |
| 6 | Exhilarin® |
| 7 | Fem EstroPlex ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 7 | Fem Premenstrual ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ |
| 8 | Fenugreek Plus® |
| 9 | GinkgoRose ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ |
| 10 | Gluco Factors ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ |
|  | Glucorest® |
| 1 | Glucosamine Sulfate |
| 12 | Glucosamine Sulfate $750^{\mathrm{TM}}$ |
| 13 | GlutaClear ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ |
|  | Glutagenics( |
|  | Immucore® |
| 15 | Inflavonoid® |
| 16 | Inflavonoid Intensive Care® |
| 17 | Insinase® |
|  | Intesol® Enteric coated |
| 18 | Juicy Fruit-CTM |
| 19 | Kaprex® AI |
| 20 | Kaprex® |
|  | LactoViden ID® |
| 21 | L-Carnitine |
| 22 | Licorice Plus® |
| 23 | Lipotain( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 24 | Lumina® |
| 2425 | Meta I-3-CB |
|  | Meta Lipoate® 300 |
| 26 | Metagest(1) |
| 27 | Metalloclear® |
|  | Meta-SitosterolTM 2.0 |
| 28 |  |
|  | METAGENICS, INC.'S RESPO 2327914v. 1 |
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## Exhibit B

August 31, 2009

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Jeffrey J. Katke, Chief Executive Officer
Metagenics, Inc.
100 Avenida La Pata
San Clemente, CA 92673

## Re: Notice of Violations of California Health \& Safety Code $\$ 25249.5$, et seq.

Dear Sir;
This firm represents Stephen D. Gillett in connection with this notice of violations of California's Safe Drinking Water \& Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health \& Safety Code $\S 25249.5$ et seq. (also referred to as "Proposition $\left.65^{\prime \prime}\right)$. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies.

Pursuant to $\$ 25249.7$ (d) of the statute, Mr. Gillett intends to bring an enforcement action sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of the statute and its implementing regulations, which was prepared by the lead agency designated under the statute, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served upon the violator. The specific details of the violations that are the subject of this notice are provided below.

The name of the violator covered by this notice is Metagenics, Inc. (hereafter, the "Violator"). The Violator manufactures, markets, distributes and/or sells in California herbal dietary supplements. These ongoing violations arise out of exposures to lead and lead compounds from the following herbal dietary supplement product(s):

Nazanol
Exhilarin
Zinlori 75
On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a substance known to cause reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of

California officially listed lead and lead compounds as a substance known to cause cancer.

Route of exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, consumer exposures have occurred and continue to occur primarily through the ingestion route, but also may occur through the inhalation and/or and dermal contact routes of exposure.

Duration of violations. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since at least August 31, 2008, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

In keeping with the public interest goals of the statute and my client's objectives in issuing this notice, Mr . Gillett is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and additional fees and costs incurred by the parties. Mr. Gillett's address is Post Office Box 170142, San Francisco, California 94117. Tel. (415) 850-5233. However, he has retained this firm in the matter; all communications regarding this Notice of Violation may be directed to my attention at the above-listed firm address and telephone number.


Andrew L. Packard

## Attachments:

OEHHA Summary
Certificate of Merit (w/o Attorney General's attachments) Certificate of Service

## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

(Re: Stephen D. Gillett's August 31, 2009
Notice of Proposition 65 Violations
Issued to Metagenics, Inc.)
I, Andrew L. Packard, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party in the notice has violated Health \& Safety Code $\$ 25249.6$ by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code $\S 25249.7(\mathrm{~h})(2)$, i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: August 31, 2009


Attachments (for Attorney General Copy only)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 319 Pleasant Street, Petaluma, California 94952.

On August 31, 2009, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"
on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Mr. Jeffrey J. Katke, Chief Executive Officer
Metagenics, Inc.
100 Avenida La Pata
San Clemente, CA 92673
On August 31, 2009, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title $11 \mathrm{CCR} \$ 3102$ ) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Post Office Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On August 31, 2009, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelop, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on August 31; 2009, in Petaluma, California:

Andrew L Packard

Service List (Page 1 of 4)
The Honorable Tom Orloff District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900
Oakland, CA 94612
The Honorable William Richmond District Attorney, Alpine County
P.O. Box 248

Markleeville, CA 96120
The Honorable Todd Riebe
District Attorney, Amador County
708 Court Street, \#202
Jackson, CA 95642
The Honorable Michael Ramsey
District Attorney, Butte County.
25 County Center Drive
Oroville, CA 95965
The Honorable Jeffrey Tuttle District Attorney, Calaveras County
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249
The Honorable John Poyner
District Attorney, Colusa County
547 Market Street
Colusa, CA 95932
The Honorable Robert Kochly District Attorney, Contra Costa County
725 Court Street
Martinez, CA 94553
The Honorable Michael Riese
District Attorney, Del Norte County
450 H Street, Ste. 171
Crescent City, CA 95531
The Honorable Gary Lacy
District Attorney, El Dorado County
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667
The Honorable Elizabeth Egan
District Attorney, Fresno County
2220 Tulare Street, \#1000
Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Holzapfel
District Attorney, Glenn County
Post Office Box 430
Willows, CA 95988
The Honorable Paul Gallegos
District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street
Eureka, CA 95501
The Honorable Gilbert G. Otero
District Attorney, Imperial County
939 West Main Street
El Centro, CA 92243
The Honorable Arthur Maillet
District Attorney, Inyo County
Post Office Drawer D
Independence, CA 93526
The Honorable Edward R. Jagels
District Attorney, Kern County
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA. 93301
The Honorable Ronald Calhoun District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

The Honorable Gerhard Luck District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453
The Honorable Robert Burns District Attorney, Lassen County
220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8
Susanville, CA 96130
The Honorable Steve Cooley
District Attorney, Los Angeles County $\quad$ ana
210 West Temple Street, Rm 345
Los Angeles, CA 90012
The-Honorable Ernest LiCalsi :District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

## Service List (Page 2 of 4)

The Honorable Edward Berbarian
District Attorney, Marin County
3501 Civic Center, Room 183
San Rafael, CA 94903
The Honorable Robert Brown
District Attorney, Mariposa County
Post Office Box 730
Mariposa, CA 95338
The Honorable Norman Vroman
District Attorney, Mendocino County
Post Office Box 1000
Ukiah, CA 95482
The Honorable Gördon Spencer
District Attorney, Merced County
2222 "M" Street
Merced, CA 95340
The Honorable Jordan Funk
District Attorney, Modoc County
204 S Court Street
Alturas, CA 96101-4020
The Honorable George Booth
District Attorney, Mono County
Post Office Box 617
Bridgeport, CA 93517
The Honorable Dean Flippo
District Attorney, Monterey County
PO Box 1131
Salinas, CA 93.901
The Honorable Gary Lieberstein
District Attorney, Napa County
931 Parkway Mall
Napa, CA 94559
The Honorable Michael Ferguson
District Attorney, Nevada County
201 Church Street, Suite 8
Nevada City, CA 95959
The Honorable Tory Rackauckas
District Attorney, Orange County
401 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701

The Honorable Brad Fenocchio
District Attorney, Placer County 11562 "B" Avenue, Dewitt Center Auburn, CA 95603

The Honorable Jeff Cunan
District Attorney, Plumas County
520 Main Street, Room 404
Quincy, CA 95971
The Honorable Grover C. Trask, II District Attorney, Riverside County
4075 Main Street, 1st Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
The Honorable Jan Scully
District Attorney, Sacramento County
901 "G" Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
The Honorable John Sarsfield
District Attorney, San Benito County
419 Fourth Street, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor
Hollister, CA 95023
The Honorable Michael Ramos
District Attorney, San Bernardino County
316 N. Mountain View Avenue
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis District Attorney, San Diego County
330 West Broadway, Room 1320
San Diego, CA 92112
The Honorable Kamala Harris
District Attorney, San Francisco County
850 Bryant Street, Room 325.
San Francsico, CA 94103
The Honorable James Willett
District Attorney, San Joaquin County
Post Office Box 990
Stockiton, CA 95201
The Honorable Gerald Shea
District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County
1050 Monterey Street, Room 450
San Luis Obispo, CA. 93408

## Service List (Page 3 of 4)

The Honorable James P. Fox District Attorney, San Mateo County
400 County Ctr., $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063
The Honorable Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.
District Attorney, Santa Barbara County
1105 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
The Honorable George Kennedy
District Attorney, Santa Clara County
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, CA 95110
The Honorable Bob Lee
District Attorney, Santa Cruz County
701 Ocean Street, Room 200
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
The Honorable Gerald Benito
District Attorney, Shasta County
1525 Court Street, Third Floor
Redding, CA 96001-1632
The Honorable Lawrence Allen
District Attorney, Sierra County
Courthouse, Post Office Box 457
Downieville, CA 95936
The Honorable James Andrus
District Attorney, Siskiyou County
Post Office Box 986
Yreka, CA. 96097
The Honorable David Paulson
District Attorney, Solano County
600 Union Avenue
Fairfield, CA 94533
The Honorable Stephan Passalacqua
District Attorney, Sonoma County
600 Administration Drive, Room 212J
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Jim Brazelton District Attorney, Stanislaus County $80011^{\text {th }}$ Street, Room 200
Modesto, CA. 95353
The Honorable Carl V. Adams
District Attorney, Sutter County
446 Second Street
Yuba City, CA 95991
The Honorable Gregg Cohen
District Attorney, Tehama County
Post Office Box 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable David L. Cross
District Attorney, Trinity County
Post Office Box 310
Weaverville, CA 96093
The Honorable Phillip Cline
District Attorney, Tulare County
221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224
Visalia, CA 93291
The Honorable Donald Segerstrom, Jr. District Attorney, Tuolumne County 2 South Green
Sonora, CA 95370
The Honorable Gregory Totten
District Attorney, Ventura County
800 South Victoria Avenue
Ventura, CA 93009
The Honorable David C. Henderson
District Attorney, Yolo County
$3012^{\text {nd }}$ Street
Woodland, CA 95695
The Honorable Patrick McGrath
District Attorney, Yuba County
215 Fifth Street
Marysville, CA 95901

## Service List (Page 4 of 4)

The Honorable Rockard Delgadillo Los Angeles City Attorney's Office Room 1800, City Hall East
200 N. Main Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Michael Aguirre
San Diego City Attorney's Office
1200 3rd Avenue, $12^{\text {th }}$ Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
The Honorable Dennis Herrera
San Francisco City Attorney's Office
City Hall, Room 234
San Francisco, CA 94102
The Honorable Richard Doyle
San Jose City Attorney's Office
200 East Santa Clara Street
San Jose, CA 95113

## Exhibit C


100 Petaluma Blvd N, Ste 301, Petaluma, CA 94952
PHONE (707) 763-7227 FAX (707) 763-9227
INFO@PACKARDLAWOFFICES.COM

March 8, 2012

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Fred Howard, Chief Executive Officer
Metagenics, Inc.
100 Avenida La Pata
San Clemente, CA 92673

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health \& Safety Code §25249.5, et seq.
Dear Sir,

This firm represents Stephen D. Gillett in connection with this notice of violations of California's Safe Drinking Water \& Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health \& Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. (also referred to as "Proposition 65 "). This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies.

Pursuant to $\S 25249.7$ (d) of the statute, Mr. Gillett intends to bring an enforcement action sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of the statute and its implementing regulations, which was prepared by the lead agency designated under the statute, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served upon the violator. The specific details of the violations that are the subject of this notice are provided below.

The name of the violator covered by this notice is Metagenics, Inc. (hereafter, the "Violator"). The Violator manufactures, markets, distributes and/or . sells in California herbal dietary supplements. These ongoing violations arise out of exposures to lead and lead compounds from the following herbal dietary supplement product(s):

Andographis Plus, Herbal Immune Support<br>Essential Defense, Immune Support<br>Ulcinex, Stomach Formula.

Notice of Violations of California Health \& Safety Code $\S 25249.5$ et seq. March 8, 2012
Page 2
On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a substance known to cause reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as a substance known to cause cancer.

Route of exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, consumer exposures have occurred and continue to occur primarily through the ingestion route, but also may occur through the inhalation and/or and dermal contact routes of exposure.

Duration of violations. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since at least March 8, 2009, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

In keeping with the public interest goals of the statute and my client's objectives in issuing this notice, Mr . Gillett is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and additional fees and costs incurred by the parties. Mr. Gillett's address is Post Office Box 170142, San Francisco, California 94117. Tel. (415) 850-5233. However, he has retained this firm in the matter; all communications regarding this Notice of Violation may be directed to my attention at the above-listed firm address and telephone number.


Andrew L. Packard

Attachments:
OEHHA Summary
Certificate of Merit (w/o Attomey General's attachments)
Certificate of Service

## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

## (Re: Stephen D. Gillett's March 8, 2012 <br> Notice of Proposition 65 Violations <br> Issued to Metagenics, Inc.)

I, Andrew L. Packard, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party in the notice has violated Health \& Safety Code $\$ 25249.6$ by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code $\S 25249.7(\mathrm{~h})(2)$, i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: March 8, 2012


Attachments (for Attorney General Copy only)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 100 Petaluma Boulevard North, Suite 301, Petaluma, California 94952.

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"
on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Mr. Fred Howard, Chief Executive Officer
Metagenics, Inc.
100 Avenida La Pat
San Clemente, CA 92673
On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ: CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title 11 CCR §3102) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Post Office Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550
On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelop, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on March 8, 2012, in Petaluma, California.


Erin Wilkins

## Service List (Page 1 of 4)

The Honorable Tom Orloff
District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900
Oakland, CA 94612
The Honorable William Richmond
District Attomey, Alpine County
P.O. Box 248

Markleeville, CA 96120
The Honorable Todd Riebe
District Attorney, Amador County
708 Court Street, \#202
Jackson, CA 95642
The Honorable Michael Ramsey
District Attorney, Butte County
25 County Center Drive
Oroville, CA 95965
The Honorable Jeffrey Tuttle
District Attorney, Calaveras County
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249
The Honorable John Poyner
District Attomey, Colusa County
547 Market Street
Colusa, CA 95932
The Honorable Robert Kochly
District Attorney, Contra Costa County
725 Court Street
Martinez, CA 94553
The Honorable Michael Riese
District Attorney, Del Norte County
450 H Street, Ste. 171
Crescent City, CA 95531
The Honorable Gary Lacy
District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667
The Honorable Elizabeth Egan
District Attorney, Fresno County
2220 Tulare Street, \#1000
Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Holzapfel
District Attorney, Glenn County
Post Office Box 430
Willows, CA 95988
The Honorable Paul Gallegos
District Attorney, Humboldt County
825 5th Street
Eureka, CA 95501
The Honorable Gilbert G. Otero
District Attorney, Imperial County
939 West Main Street
El Centro, CA 92243
The Honorable Arthur Maillet
District Attorney, Inyo County
Post Office Drawer D
Independence, CA 93526
The Honorable Edward R. Jagels
District Attorney, Kern County
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301
The Honorable Ronald Calhoun
District Attorney, Kings County
1400 West Lacey Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230
The Honorable Gerhard Luck
District Attorney, Lake County
255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453
The Honorable Robert Burns
District Attorney, Lassen County
220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8
Susanville, CA 96130
The Honorable Steve Cooley
District Attorney, Los Angeles County
210 West Temple Street, Rm 345
Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Ernest LiCalsi
District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

The Honorable Edward Berbarian
District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 183
San Rafael, CA 94903
The Honorable Robert Brown
District Attorney, Mariposa County
Post Office Box 730
Mariposa, CA 95338
The Honorable Norman Vroman
District Attorney, Mendocino County
Post Office Box 1000
Ukiah, CA 95482
The Honorable Gordon Spencer
District Attorney, Merced County
2222 "M" Street
Merced, CA 95340
The Honorable Jordan Funk
District Attorney, Modoc County
204 S Court Street
Alturas, CA 96101-4020
The Honorable George Booth
District Attorney, Mono County
Post Office Box 617
Bridgeport, CA 93517
The Honorable Dean Flippo
District Attorney, Monterey County
PO Box 1131
Salinas, CA 93901
The Honorable Gary Lieberstein
District Attorney, Napa County
931 Parkway Mall
Napa, CA 94559
The Honorable Michael Ferguson
District Attorney, Nevada County
201 Church Street, Suite 8
Nevada City, CA 95959
The Honorable Tory Rackauckas
District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

The Honorable Brad Fenocchio District Attorney, Placer County 11562 "B". Avenue, Dewitt Center Auburn, CA 95603

The Honorable Jeff Cunan
District Attorney, Plumas County
520 Main Street, Room 404
Quincy, CA 95971
The Honorable Grover C. Trask, II District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

The Honorable Jan Scully
District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
The Honorable John Sarsfield
District Attorney, San Benito County
419 Fourth Street, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor
Hollister, CA 95023
The Honorable Michael Ramos
District Attorney, San Bernardino County
316 N. Mountain View Avenue
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis
District Attorney, San Diego County
330 West Broadway, Room 1320
San Diego, CA 92112
The Honorable Kamala Harris
District Attorney, San Francisco County
850 Bryant Street, Room 325
San Francsico, CA 94103
The Honorable James Willett
District Attorney, San Joaquin County
Post Office Box 990
Stockton, CA 95201
The Honorable Gerald Shea
District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County
1050 Monterey Street, Room 450
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The Honorable James P. Fox
District Attorney, San Mateo. County
400 County Ctr., $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063
The Honorable Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.
District Attorney, Santa Barbara County
1105 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
The Honorable George Kennedy
District Attorney, Santa Clara County
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, CA 95110
The Honorable Bob Lee
District Attorney, Santa Cruz County
701 Ocean Street, Room 200
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
The Honorable Gerald Benito
District Attorney, Shasta County
1525 Court Street, Third Floor
Redding, CA 96001-1632
The Honorable Lawrence Allen District Attorney, Sierra County Courthouse, Post Office Box 457
Downieville, CA 95936
The Honorable James Andrus
District Attorney, Siskiyou County
Post Office Box 986
Yreka, CA 96097
The Honorable David Paulson
District Attorney, Solano County
600 Union Avenue
Fairfield, C A 94533
The Honorable Stephan Passalacqua District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Jim Brazelton
District Attorney, Stanislaus County
$80011^{\text {th }}$ Street, Room 200
Modesto, CA 95353
The Honorable Carl V. Adams
District Attorney, Sutter County
446 Second Street
Yuba City, CA 95991
The Honorable Gregg Cohen
District Attorney, Tehama County
Post Office Box 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable David L. Cross
District Attorney, Trinity County
Post Office Box 310
Weaverville, CA 96093
The Honorable Phillip Cline
District Attorney, Tulare County
221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224
Visalia, CA 93291
The Honorable Donald Segerstrom, Jr.
District Attorney, Tuolumne County
2 South Green

- Sonora, CA 95370

The Honorable Gregory Totten District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

The Honorable David C. Henderson
District Attorney, Yolo County
$3012^{\text {nd }}$ Street
Woodland, CA 95695
The Honorable Patrick McGrath
District Attorney, Yuba County
215 Fifth Street
Marysville, CA 95901

## Service List (Page 4 of 4)

The Honorable Carmen A. Trutanich
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office
Room 1800, City Hall East
200 North Main Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Jan Goldsmith
San Diego City Attorney's Office
1200 3rd Avenue, $12^{\text {th }}$ Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
The Honorable Dennis J. Herrera
San Francisco City Attorney's Office
City Hall, Room 234
San Francisco, CA 94102
The Honorable Richard Doyle
San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street
San Jose, CA 95113

## Exhibit D

Law Offices Of<br><br>100 Petaluma Blud N, Ste 301, Petaluma, CA 94952<br>Phone (707) 763-7227 FAX (707) 763.9227<br>INFO@PACKARDLAWOFFICES.COM

March 27, 2013

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Fred Howard, Chief Executive Officer
Metagenics, Inc.
100 Avenida La Pata
San Clemente, CA 92673

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health \& Safety Code $\S 25249.5$, et seq.

Dear Sir,
This firm represents Stephen D. Gillett in connection with this notice of violations of California's Safe Drinking Water \& Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health \& Safety Code $\$ 25249.5$ et seq. (also referred to as "Proposition $65^{\prime \prime}$ ). This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies.

Pursuant to $\$ 25249.7$ (d) of the statute, Mr. Gillett intends to bring an enforcement action sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of the statute and its implementing regulations, which was prepared by the lead agency designated under the statute, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served upon the violator. The specific details of the violations that are the subject of this notice are provided below.

The name of the violator covered by this notice is Metagenics, Inc. (hereafter, the "Violator"). The Violator manufactures, markets, distributes and/or sells in California herbal dietary supplements. These ongoing violations arise out of exposures to lead and lead compounds from the herbal dietary supplement products set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a substance known to cause reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as a substance known to cause cancer.

Notice of Violations of California Health \& Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.
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Route of exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, consumer exposures have occurred and continue to occur primarily through the ingestion route, but also may occur through the inhalation and/or and dermal contact routes of exposure.

Duration of violations. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since at least March 27, 2010, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

In keeping with the public interest goals of the statute and my client's objectives in issuing this notice, Mr. Gillett is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and additional fees and costs incurred by the parties. Mr. Gillett's address is Post Office Box 170142, San Francisco, California 94117. Tel. (415) 850-5233. However, he has retained this firm in the matter; all communications regarding this Notice of Violation may be directed to my attention at the above-listed firm address and telephone number.


Andrew L. Packard

Attachments:
OEHHA Summary
Certificate of Merit (w/o Attorney General's attachments)
Certificate of Service

## Exhibit A

All forms, sizes, packaging, labeling (e.g., tablets, pills, powders, liquids, capsules, gel caps) of:

|  | BioSom ${ }^{(1)}$ (cherry flavored) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Black Cohosh Plus(8) |
| 12 | CandiBactin-AR® |
| 13 | CandiBactin-BR(B) |
| 14 | Celapro ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
|  | Chasteberry Plus ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | Cholarest SC® |
| 16 | ChondroCare( ${ }^{(1)}$ with MSM |
| 17 | Chromium Picolinate |
|  | CogniSure ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
|  | Concentrated Ultra Prostagen $(8)$ |

$19 \quad$ Coratin $^{\text {TM }}$
20 CoQ10 ST-100 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
CoQ10 ST -200 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Cortico-B5B6(8)
C-Ultratabs ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
$\mathrm{D}_{3} 1000^{\mathrm{TM}}$
$\mathrm{D}_{3} 2000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Complex
$\mathrm{D}_{3} 5000^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (formerly IsoD 3
2000)
$\mathrm{D}_{3}$ Liquid $^{\text {TM }}$
E Complex $1: 1^{\mathrm{TM}}$
E-400 Selenium ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
EC Matrixx ${ }^{(2)}$


## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

## (Re: Stephen D. Gillett's March 27, 2013 <br> Notice of Proposition 65 Violations Issued to Metagenics, Inc.)

I, Andrew L. Packard, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party in the notice has violated Health \& Safety Code $\$ 25249.6$ by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code $\S 25249.7(\mathrm{~h})(2)$, i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: March 27, 2013


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 100 Petaluma Boulevard North, Suite 301, Petaluma, California 94952.

On March 27, 2013, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE $\S 25249.5$ ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"
on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Mr. Fred Howard, Chief Executive Officer
Metagenics, Inc.
100 Avenida La Pata
San Clemente, CA. 92673
On March 27, 2013, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE $\$ 25249.5$ ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title $11 \mathrm{CCR} \S 3102$ ) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Post Office Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550
On March 27, 2013, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH \& SAFETY CODE $\S 25249.5$ ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelop, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on March 27, 2013, in Petaluma, California.


Andréw L. Packard

## Service List (Page 1 of 4)

The Honorable Tom Orloff
District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900
Oakland, CA 94612
The Honorable William Richmond
District Attorney, Alpine County
P.O. Box 248

Markleeville, CA 96120
The Honorable Todd Riebe
District Attomey, Amador County
708 Court Street, \#202
Jackson, CA 95642
The Honorable Michael Ramsey
District Attorney, Butte County
25 County Center Drive
Oroville, CA 95965
The Honorable Jeffrey Tuttle
District Attorney, Calaveras County
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249
The Honorable John Poyner
District Attorney, Colusa County
547 Market Street
Colusa, CA 95932
The Honorable Robert Kochly
District Attorney, Contra Costa County
725 Court Street
Martinez, CA 94553
The Honorable Michael Riese
District Attorney, Del Norte County
450 H Street, Ste. 171
Crescent City, CA 95531
The Honorable Gary Lacy
District Attorney, El Dorado County
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667
The Honorable Elizabeth Egan
District Attorney, Fresno County
2220 Tulare Street, \#1000
Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Robert Holzapfel
District Attorney, Glenn County
Post Office Box 430
Willows, CA 95988
The Honorable Paul Gallegos
District Attorney, Humboldt County
825 5th Street
Eureka, CA 95501
The Honorable Gilbert G. Otero
District Attorney, Imperial County
939 West Main Street
El Centro, CA 92243
The Honorable Arthur Maillet
District Attorney, Inyo County
Post Office Drawer D
Independence, CA 93526
The Honorable Edward R. Jagels
District Attorney, Kern County
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301
The Honorable Ronald Calhoun
District Attomey, Kings County
1400 West Lacey Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230
The Honorable Gerhard Luck
District Attorney, Lake County
255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453
The Honorable Robert Burns
District Attorney, Lassen County
220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8
Susanville, CA 96130
The Honorable Steve Cooley
District Attorney, Los Angeles County
210 West Temple Street, Rm 345
Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Ernest LiCalsi
District Attorney, Madera County
209 West Yosemite Avenue
Madera, CA 93637
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The Honorable Edward Berbarian
District Attomey, Marin County
3501 Civic Center, Room 183
San Rafael, CA 94903
The Honorable Robert Brown
District Attorney, Mariposa County
Post Office Box 730
Mariposa, CA 95338
The Honorable Norman Vroman
District Attomey, Mendocino County
Post Office Box 1000
Ukiah, CA 95482
The Honorable Gordon Spencer
District Attorney, Merced County
2222 "M" Street
Merced, CA 95340
The Honorable Jordan Funk
District Attorney, Modoc County
204 S Court Street
Alturas, CA 96101-4020
The Honorable George Booth
District Attorney, Mono County
Post Office Box 617
Bridgeport, CA 93517
The Honorable Dean Flippo
District Attorney, Monterey County
PO Box 1131
Salinas, CA 93901
The Honorable Gary Lieberstein
District Attorney, Napa County
931 Parkway Mall
Napa, CA 94559
The Honorable Michael Ferguson
District Attorney, Nevada County
201 Church Street, Suite 8
Nevada City, CA 95959
The Honorable Tory Rackauckas
District Attorney, Orange County
401 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701

The Honorable Brad Fenocchio District Attorney, Placer County 11562 "B" Avenue, Dewitt Center Auburn, CA 95603

The Honorable Jeff Cunan District Attorney, Plumas County
520 Main Street, Room 404
Quincy, CA 95971
The Honorable Grover C. Trask, II District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

The Honorable Jan Scully
District Attomey, Sacramento County
901 "G" Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
The Honorable John Sarsfield District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Floor Hollister, CA 95023

The Honorable Michael Ramos
District Attorney, San Bernardino County
316 N. Mountain View Avenue
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis
District Attorney, San Diego County
330 West Broadway, Room 1320
San Diego, CA 92112
The Honorable Kamala Harris
District Attorney, San Francisco County
850 Bryant Street, Room 325
San Francsico, CA 94103
The Honorable James Willett
District Attorney, San Joaquin County
Post Office Box 990
Stockton, CA 95201
The Honorable Gerald Shea
District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County
1050 Monterey Street, Room 450
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

The Honorable James P. Fox
District Attomey, San Mateo County
400 County Ctr., $3^{\text {rd }}$ Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063
The Honorable Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.
District Attorney, Santa Barbara County
1105 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
The Honorable George Kennedy
District Attorney, Santa Clara County
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, CA 95110
The Honorable Bob Lee
District Attorney, Santa Cruz County
701 Ocean Street, Room 200
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
The Honorable Gerald Benito
District Attorney, Shasta County
1525 Court Street, Third Floor
Redding, CA 96001-1632
The Honorable Lawrence Allen
District Attorney, Sierra County
Courthouse, Post Office Box 457
Downieville, CA 95936
The Honorable James Andrus
District Attorney, Siskiyou County
Post Office Box 986
Yreka, CA 96097
The Honorable David Paulson
District Attorney, Solano County
600 Union Avenue
Fairfield, CA 94533
The Honorable Stephan Passalacqua
District Attorney, Sonoma County
600 Administration Drive, Room 212J
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Jim Brazelton District Attorney, Stanislaus County
$80011^{\text {th }}$ Street, Room 200
Modesto, CA 95353
The Honorable Carl V. Adams
District Attomey, Sutter County
446 Second Street
Yuba City, CA 95991
The Honorable Gregg Cohen
District Attorney, Tehama County
Post Office Box 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable David L. Cross
District Attorney, Trinity County
Post Office Box 310
Weaverville, CA 96093
The Honorable Phillip Cline
District Attorney, Tulare County
221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224
Visalia, CA 93291
The Honorable Donald Segerstrom, Jr.
District Attomey, Tuolumne County
2 South Green
Sonora, CA 95370
The Honorable Gregory Totten
District Attomey, Ventura County
800 South Victoria Avenue
Ventura, CA 93009
The Honorable David C. Henderson
District Attorney, Yolo County
$3012^{\text {nd }}$ Street
Woodland, CA 95695
The Honorable Patrick McGrath
District Attorney, Yuba County
215 Fifth Street
Marysville, CA 95901

## Service List (Page 4 of 4)

The Honorable Carmen A. Trutanich
Los Angeles City Attomey's Office
Room 1800, City Hall East
200 North Main Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Jan Goldsmith
San Diego City Attorney's Office
1200 3rd Avenue, $12^{\text {th }}$ Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
The Honorable Dennis J. Herrera
San Francisco City Attorney's Office
City Hall, Room 234
San Francisco, CA 94102
The Honorable Richard Doyle
San Jose City Attomey's Office
200 East Santa Clara Street
San Jose, CA 95113


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The term "successor products" refers to Covered Products: (a) which may be re-labeled, or (b) which may have modified formulations but still sold under the same name, or (c) which may include new ingredients or substitute ingredients but still sold under the same name, or (d) which may be labeled as "new" or "improved" or "now with more [insert ingredient]" or words of similar import or effect, or (e) which may be manufactured by a different process or by a different supplier, or (f) which may be the same formulation but sold with a different label or a new name. The term "predecessor products" refers to the prior versions of current Covered Products: (a) which had different labels, or (b) which may have had modified formulations but still were sold under the same name, or (c) which may have included different ingredients or substitute ingredients but were still sold under the same name, or (d) which may have been labeled without the words "new" or "improved" or "now with more [insert ingredient]" or words of similar import or effect, or (e) which may have been manufactured by a different process or by a different supplier, or (f) which may have been the same formulation but sold with a different label or name.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Metagenics may, but is not obligated to, test or arrange for testing of predecessor Covered Products if samples or retains are available.

