1	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968		
2	503 Divisadero Street		
3	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800		
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
5	jmann@lexlawgroup.com		
6	Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970 Center for Environmental Health		
7	2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612		
8	Telephone: (510) 655-3900 Facsimile: (510) 655-9100		
9	rick@ceh.org		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
11			
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
15			
16	Center for Environmental	Case No. RG-13683725	
17	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
18	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT RE: PRIMO	
19	V.	BEDDING COMPANY, INC.	
19 20	BRITAX CHILD SAFETY, INC., et al.,		
	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23	1. INTRODUCTION		
24	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental		
25	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendant Primo Bedding Company, Inc.		
26	("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative		
27	Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Britax Child Safety, Inc., et al.,		
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-		
ļ	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PRIMO BEDDING COMPANY, INC CASE NO. RG-13683725		

Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-13683725 (the "Action"). CEH and Defendant are
 referred to collectively as the "Parties."

1.2. On May 16, 2013, CEH served a "Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") relating to
the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") on
Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of
California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater
than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of tris
(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") in foam-cushioned upholstered furniture
manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.

10 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that
11 manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of
12 California.

13 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
14 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint
15 and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is
16 proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent
17 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the
18 Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered
19 Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.

20 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all 21 claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct 22 related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to 23 comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, 24 nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by 25 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, 26 factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing 27 whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 28 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense either Party may have in this -2-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1 or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of 2 negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, 3 compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action. 4 **2. DEFINITIONS** 5 2.1. "Chemical Flame Retardant" means any halogenated or phosphorous-based 6 chemical compound used for the purpose of resisting or retarding the spread of fire. "Chemical 7 Flame Retardant" does not include any chemical that has been rated as a Benchmark 4 chemical 8 pursuant to Clean Production Action's GreenScreen (http://www.cleanproduction.org/ 9 Green.Greenscreen.php). 10 2.2. "Covered Products" means foam-cushioned upholstered furniture manufactured, 11 distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California. 12 2.3. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment. 13 2.4. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardants" means Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate 14 ("TDCPP"), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP"), and Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate 15 ("TDBPP"). "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and 16 2.5. 17 as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product. 18 2.6. "TB 117" means Technical Bulletin No. 117, entitled "Requirements, Test 19 Procedures and Apparatus for Testing the Flame Retardance of Filling Materials Used in 20 Upholstered Furniture," dated March 2000. 21 2.7. "TB 117-2013" means Technical Bulletin 117-2013, entitled "Requirements, Test 22 Procedures and Apparatus for Testing the Smolder Resistance of Materials Used in Upholstered 23 Furniture," approved on November 21, 2013 by the California Bureau of Electronic and

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

24

25

26

27

28

2.8.

Regulations.

"TB 117-2013 Effective Date" means the date on which filling materials and cover

2013 pursuant to the amendments to Section 1374 of Article 2 of Title 4 of the California Code of

fabrics in upholstered furniture are required to meet the fire retardant requirements in TB 117-

Appliance Repair, Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation.

1 2.9. "Treated" means the addition or application of any Chemical Flame Retardant to 2 any polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product. 3 2.10. "Untreated Foam" means polyure than foam that has not been Treated with any 4 Chemical Flame Retardant. 5 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 6 3.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Defendant shall comply with the following 7 requirements to reformulate the Covered Products to eliminate exposures to TDCPP and other 8 Listed Chemical Flame Retardants arising from the use of the Covered Products: 9 3.1.1. Listed Chemical Flame Retardants – All Covered Products. As of the 10 Effective Date, Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered 11 Product that has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant and which has a 12 Manufacture Date that is on or later than the Effective Date. 13 3.1.1.1. Specification To and Certification From Suppliers. To 14 ensure compliance with the reformulation provisions of Section 3.1.1, following the Effective 15 Date, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of 16 polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered Product 17 requiring that such components have not been Treated with Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in 18 accordance with the requirements of Section 3.1.1. Defendant shall obtain and maintain written 19 certification(s) from its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding confirming that 20 all such foam received by Defendant for distribution in California has not been Treated with 21 Listed Chemical Flame Retardants. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the 22 requirements of Section 3.1.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written 23 certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product or the polyurethane foam, 24 cushioning, or padding used as filling material in the Covered Product that such Covered Product, 25 foam, cushioning, or padding is made with only Untreated Foam, and/or, if such certification is 26 not relied on or has previously been demonstrated to be invalid, (b) it has obtained a test result 27 from an independent third party certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product's 28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material has been made with no Listed Chemical Flame Retardants. 2

3 3.1.2. Interim Compliance – All Covered Products. Any Covered Products in 4 which the polyurethane foam has been Treated with Listed Chemical Flame Retardants and which 5 is distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant in California after the Effective Date shall be 6 accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.1.4. 7 3.1.3. Warnings for Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to 8 ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 9 for Covered Products that have not been reformulated pursuant to Section 3.1.1 or labeled in 10 accordance with Section 3.1.2, within 30 days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall 11 provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to 12 whom Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Products that contained or may have 13 contained TDCPP on or after October 31, 2011. Such warning materials shall include a 14 reasonably sufficient number of hang tags in order to permit the retailer or distributor to place a 15 warning tag on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Defendant. The hang 16 tags shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.4. The warning materials shall 17 also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the hang tags, and a Notice and 18 Acknowledgment postcard. 19 3.1.4. Proposition 65 Warnings. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this 20Consent Judgment shall state: 21 WARNING: This product contains tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate 22 ("TDCPP") [and/or tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP") and/or tris(2,3-23 dibromopropyl) phosphate ("TDBPP")], a chemical[s] known to the State of 24 California to cause cancer. 25 A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any 26 additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The 27 warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the 28 Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or -5-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale.
 For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot
 see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product prior to
 purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to
 be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

3.2. **Optional Additional Reformulation – Use of Untreated Foam.** In order Defendant to be eligible for a waiver of the additional penalty/payment in lieu of penalty payments set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Defendant shall undertake the additional actions to reduce or eliminate the use of Chemical Flame Retardants set forth herein. As of the TB117-2013 Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture, or distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that has been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant. In order to avoid the additional payments, Defendant must provide written certification to CEH of its use of only Untreated Foam within 30 days following the TB 117-2013 Effective Date.

14 3.2.1. Specification To and Certification From Suppliers. To ensure 15 compliance with the reformulation provisions of Section 3.2, to the extent that Defendant opts for 16 additional reformulation, it shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its 17 suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in any Covered 18 Product requiring that such components shall use only Untreated Foam. Defendant shall not be 19 deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.2 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) 20 it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product or the 21 polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling material in the Covered Product is 22 made with only Untreated Foam, and/or (b) has obtained a test result from a certified laboratory 23 reporting that the Covered Product's polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding used as filling 24 material has been made with Untreated Foam. Defendant shall obtain and maintain written 25 certification(s) from its suppliers of polyurethane foam, cushioning, or padding confirming that 26 all such foam received by Defendant for distribution in California is Untreated Foam.

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 1 2

3

4. PENALTIES AND PAYMENT

4.1. Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of seventy-five thousand dollars(\$70,000), which shall be allocated as follows:

4 4.1.1. \$7,700 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code §
5 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code
6 § 25249.12.

7 4.1.2. \$10,500 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. 8 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to 9 continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals, 10 including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor 11 compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm 12 compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH 13 will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice 14 groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method 15 of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

4.1.3. \$51,800 shall constitute reimbursement of CEH's reasonable attorneys'
fees and costs.

4.1.4. The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three
separate checks, all to be delivered within 10 days following the Effective Date. The payments
required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable CEH. The payment
required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group. All checks
shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.
4.1.5. In the event that Defendant elects not to certify its compliance with Section

3.2 in accordance with that Section, within 30 days following the TB 117-2013 Effective Date,
Defendant must make an additional payment of \$28,000, which shall be paid in two separate
checks, each payable to CEH, to be allocated as follows:

1 4.1.5.1. \$11,200 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & 2 Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health 3 & Safety Code § 25249.12. 4 4.1.5.2. \$16,800 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty 5 pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such 6 funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic 7 chemicals, including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to 8 monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to 9 confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice 10 Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental 11 justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The 12 method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

13

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

14 5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior 15 Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. 16 Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH 17 shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which 18 purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding 19 the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, 20 including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any 21 alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement 22 motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment 23 shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or 24 application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

25

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and
Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

1

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

2 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting 3 in the public interest and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, 4 divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant 5 Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered 6 Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, 7 cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged 8 in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or 9 could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant 10 Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to TDCPP in the Covered Products 11 manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

7.2. CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged
in the Complaint against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any
violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to
warn about exposure to TDCPP in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed,
or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

17 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the
18 Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant
19 and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about TDCPP
20 in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

8.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:

8.1.1. Notices to Defendant. The persons for Defendant to receive notices
pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:
Nick Andria

Nick Andria Director of Compliance and Research & Development Primo International VQS

21

27

1	7000, Rue Hochelaga		
2	Montreal (Quebec) H1N 1Y7 Canada		
3	nand@PrimoInternational.com		
4	8.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to		
5	this Consent Judgment shall be:		
6	Rick Franco		
7	Center for Environmental Health		
8	2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612		
9	rick@ceh.org		
10	Mark Todzo		
11	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
12	San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
12			
13	8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by		
	sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.		
15	9. COURT APPROVAL		
16	9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided		
17	⁷ however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and		
18	Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.		
19	9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or		
20	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any		
21	purpose.		
22	10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION		
23	10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed		
24	and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.		
25	11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT		
26	11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding		
27	of CEH and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior		
28			
EPARED PAPER	-10-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PRIMO BEDDING COMPANY, INC CASE NO. RG-13683725		

discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby
 merged herein and therein.

11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and
Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any
Party hereto.

11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or
otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements
specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind
any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.

11 11.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent
12 Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

13 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or
14 shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall
15 such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

16

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

17 12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the18 Consent Judgment.

19

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

23

26

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and bymeans of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

-11-

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 2 Dated: 15 Jun CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 2014 3 4 Moteri E lizanno 5 6 Printed Name Siger AR Decom 7 8 9 Dated: ________, 2014 10 PRIMO BEDDING COMPANY, INC. 11 mangin 12 13 Mr Niaina Andria Printed Name 14 Director of Compliance 15 Title 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED: 18 19 Dated: _____, 2014 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of 20 California, County of Alameda 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED -12-ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PRIMO BEDDING COMPANY, INC. - CASE NO. RG-13683725