1 2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112				
3					
4					
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com				
6	Counsel for Plaintiff				
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
8					
9					
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	COUNTY OF A	ALAMEDA			
12					
13	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:) Judicial Council Coordination			
14	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES) Proceeding			
15) Case No. 4765			
16	This Document Relates To:	 (PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO TOPCO 			
17	CEH v. Lake Consumer Products, Inc., et al., A.C.S.C. Case No. RG 13-693280	ASSOCIATES, LLC			
18	n.e.s.e. cuse no. no 15 075200))			
19		-			
20	1. INTRODUCTION				
21	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for				
22	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Topco Associates, LLC ("Settling Defendant").				
23	CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."				
24	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and				
25	that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps such as hand soap, body				
26	wash, and/or bubble bath that contain coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (cocamide				
27	diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the				
28 EPARED	-1				
		L -			

past.

1.3 On June 24, 2013, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under
Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
& Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney
General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo
manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

9 1.4 On August 27, 2013, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Lake Consumer*10 *Products, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 13-693280, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda
11 County. On September 4, 2013, CEH named Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action
12 pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §474. On December 4, 2013, the *Lake* action was
13 coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide*14 *DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court.

15 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
16 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint
17 applicable to Settling Defendant (the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling
18 Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) that venue is proper in the County of
19 Alameda; and (iii) that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

20 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by 21 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance 22 with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, 23 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 24 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any 25 other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and 26 is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in 27 this action.

28

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2 2.1 "Covered Products" means shampoo and liquid soaps such as hand soap, body
3 wash, and bubble bath.

4 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by
5 the Court.

6

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

7 3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling
8 Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that
9 contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For
10 purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an
11 intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.

3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than 30 days after the Effective Date,
 Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that
 Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use
 reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide
 basis.

17

4. ENFORCEMENT

18 4.1 The Parties may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before 19 the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this 20 Consent Judgment. Prior to CEH bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements 21 of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy 22 of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then 23 meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to 24 resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least 25 thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Similarly, Prior to any party brining any motion or 26 application to enforce any provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer 27 regarding the bases for the party's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it 28 informally, including providing the alleged violating party a reasonable opportunity of at least

thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should a party's attempt at informal resolution fail,
 the party may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be
 enforced by the Parties.

4 5. PAYMENTS

5 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within five (5) business days of the Effective
6 Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. The total
7 settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to
8 counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling
9 Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:

5.1.1 \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b),
such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12
(25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard
Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental
Health.

15 \$2,250 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & 5.1.2 Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use 16 17 such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic 18 chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent 19 Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In 20 addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four 21 percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to 22 educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such 23 groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to 24 this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees
and costs. A check for \$9,600 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check
for \$1,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

28

6.

MODIFICATION

6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to
time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of
this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall
attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to
modify the Consent Judgment.

8

7.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

9 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on 10 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, and 11 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys 12 ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell 13 Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, and retailers, 14 including, specifically, Save Mart Supermarkets, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, 15 and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or 16 could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and 17 Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide 18 DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective 19 Date.

7.2 CEH, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant
Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees from all claims for violations of Proposition 65
through the Effective Date based on unwarned exposures to cocamide DEA in the Covered
Products, as set forth in the Notice.

7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling
Defendant, its Defendant Releasees, and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to
any alleged failure to warn about cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed,
or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

-5-

1	,	7.4	Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an	
2	action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant			
3	Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.			
4	8.]	NOTICE		
5	:	8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
6	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:			
7			Mark Todzo	
8			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
9			San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
10		a a		
11		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
12	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:			
13			General Counsel Topco Associates, LLC	
14			150 Northwest Point Boulevard	
			Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-1015	
15	With a copy to:			
16			Edward P. Sangster	
17			Daniel W. Fox K&L Gates LLP	
18			Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200	
19			San Francisco, CA 94111 ed.sangster@klgates.com	
20			daniel.fox@klgates.com	
21	:	8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent	
22	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.			
23	9. COURT APPROVAL			
24	(9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH	
25	shall pro	epare and f	ile a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant	
26	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.			
27		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
28				
pared Paper		-6-		
			CONSENT JUDGMENT - TOPCO ASSOCIATES, LLC - CASE NO. JCCP 4765	

effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any

2 purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

3

10.

1

ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event any motion, application or other action is brought to enforce a
violation of this Consent Judgment, the party prevailing on such motion, application, or other
action shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such
motion, application, or other action.

8 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear
9 its own attorneys' fees and costs.

10 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of
11 sanctions pursuant to law.

12

11. OTHER TERMS

13 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State
14 of California.

15 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
16 Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or
17 assigns of any of them.

18 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and 19 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior 20 discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby 21 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between 22 the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or 23 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any 24 Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or 25 otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, 26 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 27 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 28 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof -71 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights
that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling
Defendant.

5 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
6 Consent Judgment.

7 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
8 and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be
9 deemed to constitute one document.

10 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
11 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
12 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that
13 Party.

14 11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. 15 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been 16 17 accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any 18 uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this 19 Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to 20 21 be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. 22

23 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Charlie Pizarro Associate Director

- 24
- **CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**

25

27

26

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

-8-

TOPCO ASSOCIATES, LLC Och Broccol Signature ANOKENV. BROCCOL Printed Name SRVP Title **IT IS SO ORDERED:** Dated: , 2014 Judge of the Superior Court DOCUMENT PREPARED -9-ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - TOPCO ASSOCIATES, LLC - CASE NO. JCCP 4765