1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Daniel N. Greenbaum, State Bar No. 268104 Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 1467 South Holt Avenue #2 Los Angeles, CA 90035 Phone: (310) 200-2631 Facsimile: (818) 788-3847 Email: danielgreenbaumesq@gmail.com Attorneys for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC Attorneys for Defendant (non-appointed) HOUSE OF PAWS, LTD. A.P.K. Bates (Non-Legal) Director for The House of Paws Ltd					
10						
11						
12						
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT					
16						
17	SHEFA LMV, LLC,) Case No.: BC521400				
18	Plaintiff,) Unlimited Jurisdiction				
19	V.	Honorable Suzanne G. Bruguera Department 71				
20	ROSS STORES, INC., et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT				
21	Defendant.					
22)				
23		<u> </u>				
24						
25						
26						
27						

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1. Shefa LMV, LLC and HOUSE OF PAWS, LTD..

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Plaintiff") and HOUSE OF PAWS, LTD. ("Defendant"), collectively referred to as the "parties," and individually as a "party." Plaintiff is an entity organized in the State of California, which has asserted that it seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Plaintiff alleges that DEFENDANT is a "person" in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2. General Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that DEFENDANT has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold shampoo and shower gel products that contain cocamide diethanolamine ("cocamide DEA") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA is on the Proposition 65 list as known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.3. Product Description

As used in this Consent Judgment, "Products" shall mean products containing cocamide DEA including, but not limited to, [names of shampoos and soaps], that are manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by DEFENDANT for sale in the State of California.

1.4. Notice of Violation

On July 1, 2013, Plaintiff served DEFENDANT and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided recipients with notice alleging that DEFENDANT was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to cocamide DEA. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.5. No Admission

DEFENDANT denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's

Notice and maintains that it has at all times been in compliance with all laws and all products that

it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California, including the Products.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by DEFENDANT of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by DEFENDANT of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect DEFENDANT's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.6. Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANT as to the allegations contained in the Notice, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.7. Execution Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties.

1.8. Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNING OR REFORMULATION

2.1. Reformulation of Covered Products

As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains Cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.

2.2. Suppliers

No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA,

1

3

2.3. Sell Through Period

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 3.3. Payment Procedures

and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

Notwithstanding the restrictions of Section 2.1, any of Defendants's downstream customers that have in inventory any of the Covered Products that contain Cocamide DEA that exceed the Cocamide DEA Limits shall have six (6) months from the Effective Date without penalty or cost to sell such Covered Products or otherwise display a warning pursuant to Proposition 65.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1. Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

DEFENDANT shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$3000.00 within ten (10) days of the Execution Date, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Plaintiff, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 3.3.

3.2. Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. DEFENDANT expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been agreed. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, DEFENDANT shall pay the amount of \$5000.00 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

1	All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall be within ten (10) days of the			
2	Execution Date, in three checks made payable as follows:			
3	(a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$ 2250.00;			
4	(b)	one che	eck to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Plaintiff, LLC" in the	
5		amoun	of \$750.00;	
6	(c)	one che	eck to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$5000.00.	
7	3.4. Issuance of 1099 Forms			
8	After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel, DEFENDANT shall			
9	issue separate 1099 forms, as follows:			
10	(a) one 1099 form to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN:			
11		68-02	284486) in the amount of \$2250.00;	
12	(b)	a sec	and 1099 form to "Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$750.00, whose	
13		addre	ess and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;	
14	(c)	a thir	d 1099 to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" (EIN: 45-3084082) in the	
15		amou	nt of \$5000.00;	
16	3.5. Issuance of Payments.			
17	3	3.5.1.	All payments owed to Plaintiff, pursuant to Section 3.1, shall be delivered to	
18	the following payment address:			
19			Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.	
20			Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 1467 South Holt Avenue #2	
21	3	3.5.2.	Los Angeles, CA 90035 All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Section 3.1,	
22	shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses			
23	shall be den	ivered di		
24			Mike Gyrics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
25			Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010	
26			Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
27	With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum			
28	at the address set forth above in 3.5.1, as proof of payment to OEHHA.			

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1. Plaintiff's Release of DEFENDANT

Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases DEFENDANT, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom DEFENDANT directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, including specifically, but not limited to Ross Stores, Inc., franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the date on which this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties based on exposure to cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice.

Plaintiff, also, in its individual capacity only and *not* in its representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to the cocamide DEA in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by DEFENDANT.

4.2. DEFENDANT's Release of Plaintiff

DEFENDANT on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Plaintiff, its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties. In the event the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment within one year, the funds paid pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment shall be returned to DEFENDANT within ten (10) days after the expiration of one year.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and the obligations of DEFENDANT hereunder as to the Products apply only within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, including, without limitation, the removal of cocamide DEA from OEHHA's list of Proposition 65 chemicals, then DEFENDANT shall notify Plaintiff and its counsel and may have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party from the other party at the following addresses:

24

25 To DEFENDANT:

To Plaintiff:

26 27

28

The House of Paws Ltd Oakham Road, Somerby Melton Mowbray. Leics. LE14 2QF

United Kingdom

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 1467 South Holt Avenue #2 Los Angeles, CA 90035

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

13. AUTHORIZATION

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Plaintiff and DEFENDANT agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's, best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Plaintiff shall draft and file, and DEFENDANT shall not oppose. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Plaintiff and DEFENDANT shall work together to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach. If the Court does not grant the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and if the parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days after the Court's denial of the motion to approve, then, upon remittitur, any and all payments made pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment will be returned to DEFENDANT.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

	Í				
1	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read,				
2	understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.				
3					
4					
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:			
6		LIKRAE			
7	Date:3/10/14				
8	By: alas	Date: 10 TH MARCH 2014			
9	Plaintiff, Shefa LMV, LLC	By: A.P.K. Bates			
10	Print: Alisa Fried Its: Managing Member				
11		Defendant, HOUSE OF PAWS, LTD.			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					