

1 Daniel N. Greenbaum, State Bar No. 268104
Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
2 14752 Otsego Street
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403
3 Phone: (310) 200-2631
Facsimile: (424) 243-7689
4 Email: danielgreenbaumesq@gmail.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
SHEFA LMV, LLC
6

7 Rebecca Nittle, AZ State Bar No.010545
Law Office of Rebecca Nittle
8 221 E. Marconi Ave
9 Phoenix, AZ 85022
Phone: 602.564.1200
10 Email: saintlaw@aol.com

11 Attorneys for Defendant
Rich Brands, LLC
12

13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
15

16 SHEFA LMV, LLC,
17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 Rich Brands, LLC
20 Defendant.
21

) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION
) PROCEEDING NO: 4765
)

) [*Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, et al.*,
) Los Angeles County Superior Court
) No. BC521400]
)

) **[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS**
) **TO THE EMERSON GROUP, INC.**
)

22 Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.
23
24
25
26
27

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2 **1.1. Shefa LMV, LLC and Rich Brands, LLC**

3 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC
4 (“Plaintiff”) and RICH BRANDS, LLC (“Defendant”), collectively referred to as the “parties,” and
5 individually as a “party.” Plaintiff is an entity organized in the State of California, which has
6 asserted that it seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human
7 health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial
8 products. Plaintiff alleges that DEFENDANT is a “person” in the course of doing business for
9 purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health &
10 Safety Code § 25249.6, *et seq.* (“Proposition 65”).

11 **1.2. General Allegations**

12 Plaintiff alleges that DEFENDANT has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold
13 moisturizing bath and shower gelee products that contain cocamide diethanolamine (“Cocamide
14 DEA”) without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA is on the Proposition 65 list
15 as known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

16 **1.3. Product Description**

17 As used in this Consent Judgment, “Products” shall mean products containing Cocamide
18 DEA including, but not limited to, *Vitabath* Moisturizing Bath and Shower Gelee, that are
19 manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by DEFENDANT for sale in the State of
20 California. It is understood and agreed that “Products” shall not mean: (a) in the event
21 California’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”) establishes a “safe
22 harbor” limit for Cocamide DEA, any products thereafter manufactured, imported, distributed
23 and/or sold by DEFENDANT for sale in the State of California which fall within such “safe
24 harbor” limits so established; and (b) in the event California’s OEHHA removes Cocamide DEA
25 from its list of Proposition 65 chemicals, any products thereafter manufactured, imported,
26 distributed and/or sold by DEFENDANT for sale in the State of California which contain
27 Cocamide DEA.

28

1 **1.4. Notice of Violation**

2 On July 1, 2013, Plaintiff served DEFENDANT and various public enforcement agencies
3 with a document entitled “60-Day Notice of Violation” (the “Notice”) that provided recipients with
4 notice alleging that DEFENDANT was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn
5 consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to Cocamide DEA. No
6 public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

7 **1.5. No Admission**

8 DEFENDANT denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff’s
9 Notice and maintains that all its products have at all times been in compliance with all laws,
10 including Proposition 65, that it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California,
11 including the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by
12 DEFENDANT of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this
13 Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by DEFENDANT of any fact,
14 finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, such being specifically denied by
15 DEFENDANT. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect DEFENDANT’s
16 obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

17 **1.6. Consent to Jurisdiction**

18 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has
19 jurisdiction over DEFENDANT as to the allegations contained in the Notice, that venue is proper
20 in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the
21 provisions of this Consent Judgment.

22 **1.7. Execution Date**

23 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term “Execution Date” shall mean the date this
24 Consent Judgment is signed by both parties.

25 **1.8. Effective Date**

26 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term “Effective Date” shall mean the date the
27 Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.
28

1 **2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNING OR REFORMULATION**

2 **2.1. Warning Obligation For Cocamide DEA-Containing Products**

3 Commencing on December 1, 2013, DEFENDANT shall sell, distribute or otherwise deliver, or
4 cause to be sold, distributed or otherwise delivered into California, only Products reformulated to
5 contain no Cocamide DEA, unless such Products are sold or shipped with *a* clear and reasonable
6 warning as required by Proposition 65.

7 **3. MONETARY PAYMENTS**

8 **3.1. Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)**

9 DEFENDANT shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$4,150.00 within thirty (30) days
10 of the Effective Date, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with
11 California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the
12 State of California’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”) and the
13 remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Plaintiff, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in
14 Section 3.3.

15 **3.2. Reimbursement of Plaintiff’s Fees and Costs**

16 The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and its counsel under
17 general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of
18 Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter. Notwithstanding the work
19 performed by Plaintiff’s counsel, should grounds arise for an appeal to be brought or defended
20 against by Plaintiff or Defendant, any fees or costs incurred for working on such an appeal are not
21 included in the fees stated herein. Moreover, before bringing or defending any such appellate
22 actions, Plaintiff’s counsel shall discuss the matter with Defendant’s counsel and will secure the
23 advance written approval of Defendant before incurring any fees and costs that can be charged to
24 Defendant.

25 Under these legal principles, DEFENDANT shall pay the amount of \$4,850.00 for fees
26 and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs
27 incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court’s approval of this
28 Consent Judgment in the public interest.

1 **3.3. Payment Procedures**

2 All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall be within thirty (30) days of the
3 Effective Date, in three checks made payable as follows:

- 4 (a) one check to “OEHHA” in the amount of \$3,112.50;
5 (b) one check to “Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Plaintiff, LLC” in the
6 amount of \$1,037.50;
7 (c) one check to “Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum” in the amount of \$4,850.00.

8 **3.4. Issuance of 1099 Forms**

9 After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Plaintiff’s counsel, DEFENDANT shall
10 issue separate 1099 forms, as follows:

- 11 (a) one 1099 form to the “Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment” (EIN:
12 68-0284486) in the amount of \$3,112.50;
13 (b) a second 1099 form to “Shefa LMV, LLC” in the amount of \$1,037.50, whose
14 address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;
15 (c) a third 1099 to “Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum” (EIN: 46-4580172) in the
16 amount of \$4,850.00;

17 **3.5. Issuance of Payments.**

18 **3.5.1.** All payments owed to Plaintiff, pursuant to Section 3.1, shall be delivered to
19 the following payment address:

20 Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.
21 Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
22 14752 Otsego Street
23 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

24 **3.5.2.** All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Section 3.1,
25 shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line “Prop 65 Penalties”) at the following addresses:

26 Mike Gyrics
27 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
28 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
 P.O. Box 4010
 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
at the address set forth above in 3.5.1, as proof of payment to OEHHA.

1 **4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

2 **4.1. Plaintiff's Release of DEFENDANT**

3 Plaintiff, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, including
4 without limitation, Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq., successors and assigns, and in the public interest,
5 hereby releases, effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, DEFENDANT, its parents,
6 subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, and each entity to whom
7 DEFENDANT directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including, but not limited to,
8 downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, including specifically, but not limited to
9 TJ Maxx, Ross Stores, Inc., franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees, and any
10 other person or entity in the course of doing business, and the successor and assigns of any of
11 them, who may use, maintain, distribute, advertise, market or sell Products, and the directors,
12 officers, employees, managers, members, agents, and representatives of each of the foregoing
13 ("Releasees"), from all claims, including, without limitation, all actions and causes of actions in
14 law or equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, losses, fines, penalties or
15 expenses (including but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any
16 nature, character or kind whatsoever, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed
17 or contingent that were brought or could have been brought against DEFENDANT or any
18 Releasees based on or arising out of alleged or actual exposure to Cocamide DEA from the
19 Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with
20 Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Cocamide DEA from the Products.

21 **4.2. DEFENDANT's Release of Plaintiff**

22 DEFENDANT on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys,
23 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Plaintiff, its attorneys and
24 other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have
25 been taken or made) by Plaintiff and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course
26 of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with
27 respect to the Products.
28

1 **5. COURT APPROVAL**

2 This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and
3 shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year
4 after it has been fully executed by all parties

5 **6. SEVERABILITY**

6 If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this
7 Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable
8 provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9 **7. GOVERNING LAW**

10 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California
11 and the obligations of DEFENDANT hereunder as to the Products apply only within the State of
12 California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered
13 inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are
14 rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or
15 rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, including, without limitation,
16 the removal of Cocamide DEA from OEHHA’s list of Proposition 65 chemicals, then
17 DEFENDANT shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to,
18 and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

19 **8. NOTICES**

20 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to
21 this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class,
22 (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party
23 from the other party at the following addresses:

24
25 To DEFENDANT:

26 Richard Neill
27 Rich Brands, LLC
1819 E. Morten Ave
Ste 110
28 Phoenix, Arizona 85020

To Plaintiff:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.
Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
14752 Otsego Street
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

1 With a copy to:

2 Rebecca Nittle, Esq.
3 Law Office of Rebecca Nittle
4 221 E. Marconi Ave
5 Phoenix, AZ 85022

6 Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to
7 which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

8 **9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES**

9 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature,
10 each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute
11 one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

12 **10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)**

13 Plaintiff and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced
14 in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

15 **11. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES**

16 Plaintiff and DEFENDANT agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's, best efforts
17 to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent
18 Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California
19 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this
20 Consent Judgment, which Plaintiff shall draft and file, and DEFENDANT shall not oppose. If any
21 third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Plaintiff and DEFENDANT shall work together
22 to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear at any hearing before the
23 Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as
24 such in the event of a breach. If the Court does not grant the motion to approve this Consent
25 Judgment, and if the parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days
26 after the Court's denial of the motion to approve, then, payments, if any, made pursuant to Section
27 3 of this Consent Judgment will be returned to DEFENDANT.

28 **12. MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and
upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion

1 of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

2 **13. AUTHORIZATION**

3 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read,
4 understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

5 **14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF**
6 **CONSENT JUDGMENT**

7 This Consent Judgment came before this Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties
8 request the Court to review this Consent Judgment and to make the following findings pursuant to
9 Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4):

- 10 1. The injunctive relief required by the Consent Judgment complies with Cal. Health
- 11 & Safety Code § 25249.7;
- 12 2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Consent Judgment is
- 13 reasonable under California law; and
- 14 3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Consent Judgment is reasonable.

17 AGREED TO:

17 AGREED TO:

18 Date: 2/18/14

18 Date: February 14, 2014

19 Plaintiff,
20 Shefa LMV, LLC

19 Defendant,
20 Rich Brands, LLC

21 By: 

21 By: 

22 Print: Alisa Fried

22 Print: Richard Neill

23 Its: Managing Member

23 Its: President

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Rich Brands, LLC, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Dated: _____

Judge of the Superior Court