```
DANIEL N. GREENBAUM, State Bar No. 268104
LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM
14752 Otsego Street
Sleerman Oaks, CA 91403
Phone: (310) 200-2631
Facsimile: (424) 243-7689
Email: danielgreenbaumesq@gmail.com
Atterneys for Plaintiff
SHEFA LMV, LLC
 12
 13
AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137)
GARTENBERG GELFAND HAYTON
&1SELDEN LLP
80/7 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2170
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 542-2100
Fagsimile: (213) 542-2101
21
Attorneys for Defendant
RŲDY PROFUMI SRL
 24
 25
                   SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 26
                                COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
 27
 28
 Coordination Proceeding
                                            JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION
 Special Title (Rule 3.350)
                                            PROCEEDING NO: 4765
 PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA
 CASES
                                            [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, et al.,
                                            Los Angeles County Superior Court
                                            No. BC521400
                                            [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT
                                            JUDGMENT AS TO RUDY PROFUMI
                                            S.R.L.
                                            Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.
                                            Action filed: October 11, 2013
```

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. SHEFA LMV, LLC and RUDY PROFUMI SRL.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa LMV") and RUDY PROFUMI SRL ("RUDY PROFUMI"), with Shefa LMV and RUDY PROFUMI collectively referred to as the "parties," and individually as a "party." Shefa LMV is an entity organized in the State of California, which has asserted that it seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa LMV alleges that RUDY PROFUMI employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2. General Allegations

Shefa LMV alleges that RUDY PROFUMI has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold shampoo and shower gel products that contain cocamide diethanolamine ("cocamide DEA") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA is on the Proposition 65 list as known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.3. Product Description

As used in this Consent Judgment, "Products" shall mean products containing cocamide DEA including, but not limited to, Curly Hair Shampoo, Pineapple & Kiwi Shower Gel, Nourishing Shampoo and New Generation Shampoo, that are manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by RUDY PROFUMI in the State of California.

1.4. Notice of Violation

On July 1, 2013, Shefa LMV served RUDY PROFUMI and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided recipients with notice alleging that RUDY PROFUMI was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to cocamide DEA. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.5. No Admission

RUDY PROFUMI denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Shefa LMV's Notice and maintains that it has at all times been in compliance with all laws and all products that it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California, including the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by RUDY PROFUMI of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by RUDY PROFUMI of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect RUDY PROFUMI's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.6. Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over RUDY PROFUMI as to the allegations contained in the Notice, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.7. Execution Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties.

1.8. Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1. Reformulation of Covered Products

As of the Effective Date, RUDY PROFUMI shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains Cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product does not containcocamide DEA if cocamide DEA is not an intentionally added ingredient in the product, is not part of the product formulation or the amount of cocamide DEA poses no significant risk.

2.2. Suppliers

No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, RUDY PROFUMI shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products that contain cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1. Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

RUDY PROFUMI shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$14,480. The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Shefa LMV, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 3.3.

3.2. Reimbursement of Shefa LMV's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Shefa LMV and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. RUDY PROFUMI expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been agreed. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Shefa LMV and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all legal work ever performed in this matter. Under these legal principles, RUDY PROFUMI shall pay the amount of \$6,000 for all fees and costs, including fees and costs incurred and to be incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, and in negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

3.3. Payment Procedures

All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall be made within ten (10) days of the later of (1) the expiration of the time for filing a notice of appeal of this Consent Judgment; and (2) if this Consent Judgment is appealed, the date that a remittitur is issued affirming this Consent

1	Judgment. Payment shall be made in three checks made payable as follows:		
2	(a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$10,860;		
3	(b) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Shefa LMV, LLC" in		
4	the amount of \$3;620;		
5	(c) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$6,000.		
6	3.4. Issuance of 1099 Forms		
7	After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Shefa LMV's counsel, and within the		
8	time frame required by law, RUDY PROFUMI or its attorneys shall issue separate 1099 forms,		
9	as follows:		
10	(a) one 1099 form to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN:		
11	68-0284486) in the amount of \$10,860;		
12	(b) a second 1099 form to "Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$3,620, whose address		
13	and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;		
14	(c) a third 1099 to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" (EIN: 46-4580172) in the		
15	amount of \$6,000;		
16	3.5. Issuance of Payments.		
17	3.5.1. All payments owed to Shefa LMV, pursuant to Section 3.1, shall be		
18	delivered to the following payment address:		
19	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum		
20	14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403		
21	3.5.2. All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Section		
22	3.1, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following		
23	addresses:		
24			
25	Mike Gyrics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
26	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010		
27	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
28	With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum		
	3		

at the address set forth above in 3.5.1, as proof of payment to OEHHA.

2

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

3 4

4.1. Release of RUDY PROFUMI

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases RUDY PROFUMI, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom RUDY PROFUMI directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, including specifically, but not limited to Ross Stores, Inc., franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the date on which this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties based on exposure to cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice.

Shefa LMV and its owners and managers and any entity under common ownership of 4.2. Plaintiff, in their individual capacities only and not in its representative capacities, hereby release RUDY PROFUMI, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom RUDY PROFUMI directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, including specifically, but not limited to Ross Stores, Inc., franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the date on which this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties, including, without limitation, any claims based on exposure to cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice.

4.3. RUDY PROFUMI's Release of Shefa LMV

RUDY PROFUMI on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Shefa LMV, its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Shefa LMV and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the

course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

4.3. Section 1542 Waiver.

The forgoing releases include releases of unknown claims. All Parties acknowledge that they have read, considered, and understand the provisions and significance of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

The Parties voluntarily, and with full knowledge of its significance, waive and relinquish any and all rights that they have under Section 1542, as well as under the provisions of all comparable, equivalent or similar state and federal statutes and principles of common and decisional law.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and the obligations of RUDY PROFUMI hereunder as to the Products apply only within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or

1	rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, including, without limitation,		
2	the removal of cocamide DEA from OEHHA's list of Proposition 65 chemicals, then RUDY		
3	PROFUMI shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and		
4	to the extent that, the Products are so affected.		
5	8. NOTICES		
6	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to		
7	this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class,		
8	(registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party		
9	from the other party at the following addresses:		
10	To RUDY PROFUMI: To Shefa LMV:		
11	Attn: Lorenzo Calabrese Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.		
12	Via Einstein, 2/4 Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 20090 Assago (MI) 14752 Otsego Street		
13	Italy Sherman Oaks, CA 91403		
14	With a copy to:		
15	Gartenberg Gelfand Hayton & Selden LLP, 801 S. Figueroa St., Ste. 2170		
16			
17			
18	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
19	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
20	9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES		
21	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature,		
22	each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute		
23	one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.		
24	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)		
25	Shefa LMV and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements		
26	referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).		
27	11. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES		
28	By this Consent Judgment and upon its approval, the Parties waive their right to trial		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	l
16	
17	
18	
19	

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

on the merits, and waive their rights to seek appellate review of this Consent Judgment and any and all interim rulings, including any pleading, procedural, and discovery orders, only, however, as they relate to RUDY PROFUMI. Shefa LMV and RUDY PROFUMI agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's, best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Shefa LMV shall draft and file, and RUDY PROFUMI shall not oppose. RUDY PROFUMI may, however, file a statement in response to Shefa LMV's motion. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Shefa LMV and RUDY PROFUMI shall work together to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGEMT

JCCP No. 4765

[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO RUDY PROFUMI S.R.L.

JCCP No. 4765