1 2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Victoria Hartanto, State Bar No. 259833 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP			
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117			
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112			
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com vhartanto@lexlawgroup.com			
6	Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970 Center for Environmental Health			
7	2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612			
8	Telephone: (510) 655-3900 Facsimile: (510) 655-9100			
9	rick@ceh.org			
10	Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
11				
12				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
14				
15				
16				
17	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:	<ul><li>) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding</li><li>)</li></ul>		
18	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	) Case No. 4765 )		
19		) 		
20	This Document Relates To:	JUDGMENT AS TO WALGREEN CO. AND DRUGSTORE.COM, INC.		
21	CEH v. Lake Consumer Products, Inc., et al., A.C.S.C. Case No. RG 13-693280	)		
22		) )		
23				
24	1. INTRODUCTION			
25	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Walgreen Co. and its wholly owned subsidiary,			
26				
27				
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	4			
ON RECYCLED PAPER	<u>-1-</u>			

Drugstore.com (collectively, "Settling Defendants"). CEH and Settling Defendants are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 Each Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- On June 24, 2013 and September 12, 2013, CEH served 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) (the "Notices") to Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and/or liquid soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 1.4 On August 27, 2013, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Lake Consumer Products, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 13-693280, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Walgreen Co. as a defendant. On December 4, 2013, the *Lake* action was coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court. On March 12, 2014, CEH named Drugstore.com. as a defendant in *Lake* pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §474.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendants (the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) that venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance

with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means shampoo and liquid soaps.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.
- 3.2 Grace Period for Products Manufactured Prior to the Effective Date.

  Liability for Covered Products that were manufactured and distributed for retail sale prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 7 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, sold to consumers.
- 3.3 **Specification to and Certification from Suppliers.** No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall directly or through their supply chain issue specifications to their suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis. Each Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certifications from its suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all Covered Products received by that Settling Defendant do not contain any cocamide DEA.

1

6

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A Settling Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of this Section 3 for any Covered Product to the extent it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product does not contain any cocamide DEA.

### **ENFORCEMENT**

- 4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendants with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendants a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.
- 4.2 If, in response to a Notice of Violation, a Settling Defendant demonstrates that it has relied on a written certification from its supplier that the Covered Product(s) identified in the Notice of Violation do not contain any cocamide DEA, or were manufactured and delivered for retail sale in California prior to the Effective Date, the Settling Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3. The Settling Defendant shall identify to CEH (by proper name, address of principal place of business and telephone number) the supplier of each Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation and provide a copy of the certification. Nothing in this Section 4 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any such supplier for any alleged violations of Proposition 65.

#### 5. **PAYMENTS**

5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendants.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment. The total

settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated between the following categories:

- 5.1.1 \$3,300 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.1.2 \$4,500 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendants' products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="www.ceh.org/justicefund">www.ceh.org/justicefund</a>. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.1.3 \$22,200 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. A check for \$19,200 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check for \$3,000 shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

# 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

# 7. 7.1 Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date. 7.2

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants, and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by
- Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants and their Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees.

#### 8. **NOTICE**

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

> Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2 When Settling Defendants are entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

1	Andrew J. Marks				
2	Senior Attorney Regulatory Law				
	Walgreen Co.				
3	104 Wilmot Road, MS #1434				
4	Deerfield, IL 60015 andrew.marks@walgreens.com				
5					
6	Renee D. Wasserman Walter S. Chen				
7	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell				
	311 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104				
8	rwasserman@rjo.com				
9	wchen@rjo.com				
10	8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent				
11	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.				
12	9. COURT APPROVAL				
13	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH				
14	shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants				
15	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.				
16	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or				
17	effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any				
18	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.				
19	10. ATTORNEYS' FEES				
20	10.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or				
21	other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its				
22	reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should				
23	Settling Defendants prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other				
24	proceeding, Settling Defendants may be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a				
25	result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the				
26	motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the				
27	term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of				
28					

1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

## 11. OTHER TERMS

- The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendants might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

- The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Cri

Charlie Pizarro Associate Director

1	WALGREEN CO.			
2				
. 3	Signature			
4	Signature			
5	Robert J. Gas			
6	Printed Name			
7	20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
8	Casualty + Property Insurance May.			
9	Title			
10				
11	DRUGSTORE.COM			
12				
13	Signature			
14	Signature			
15	01470			
16	Printed Name			
17				
18 19	Casualty + Property Insurance Map.			
20	Title			
21				
22				
23				
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:			
25				
26	Dated:, 2014	Judge of the Superior Court		
27		suage of the Superior Court		
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-10-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – WALGREEN AND DRUGSTORE.COM – JCCP CASE NO. 4765			