1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com  Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	COUNTY OF	ALAMEDA
12		
13	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:	) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding
14	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	) Case No. 4765
15		PROPOSED] CONSENT
16	This Document Relates To:	) JUDGMENT AS TO STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL LLC
17	CEH v. Lake Consumer Products Company, et al., A.C.S.C. Case No. RG 13-696756	) ) )
18 19		
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for	
23	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Strength of Nature Global LLC ("Settling	
24	Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
25	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and	
26	that manufactures, distributes and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil	
27	diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.	
28	State of Camorina of has done so in the past.	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-1-

- 1.3 On July 15, 2013, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) (the "Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On August 27, 2013, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Lake Consumer Products Company, et al.*, Case No. RG 13-696756, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action. On November 25, 2013, the *Lake* action was coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant (the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) that venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Covered Products" means shampoo and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.
- 3.2 **Specification to Suppliers.** No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

# 3.3 **Action Regarding Specific Products.**

- 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling units of the Parvenu for Extra-Dry Hair Salon Shampoo, SKU No. 7-94258-00200-7 that contain cocamide DEA (the "Section 3.3 Product") in California. On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Product to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California, and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Product to Settling Defendant for destruction; or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Product.
- 3.3.2 Any destruction of Section 3.3 Product shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- 3.3.3 Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.1.2 \$2,250 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four

percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="www.ceh.org/justicefund">www.ceh.org/justicefund</a>. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. A check for \$9,600 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check for \$1,500 shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

## 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to

-5-

-6-

other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 11. OTHER TERMS

- The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

-7-

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.
2	value cannot man, in the training necess water cannot mar civil code § 1054.
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
4	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5	
6	Chi
7	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
8	Associate Director
9	
10	STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL LLC
11	STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL LLC
12	
13 !	Signature
14	
15	Printed Name
16 <sub>i</sub>	
17	
18	Title
19	
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED:
22       23	
23	Dated:, 2014
. 25	Judge of the Superior Court
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-9-

1	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.	
2		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
4	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
5		
6		
7	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director	
8		
9		
10	STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL LLC	
11	A same of the same	
12	Hot Wille	
13	Signature	
14	Dat - 1 2 10000	
15	Printed Name	
16		
17	Executive Vice President	
18	Title	
19		
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
22		
23	Dated:, 2014	
24	Judge of the Superior Court	
25		
26		
27 28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED	-9-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-7-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT – STRENGTH OF NATURE GLOBAL LLC – CASE NO. JCCP 4765