1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12		
13	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:	) Judicial Council Coordination
14	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	) Proceeding
15		) Case No. 4765
16	This Document Relates To:	PROPOSED CONSENT   JUDGMENT AS TO ROSS STORES,
17	CEH v. Fantasia Industries Corporation, et al.,	INC.
18	A.C.S.C. Case No. RG 13-696756	) )
19	Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, Inc., et al., L.A.C.S.C. Case No. BC-521400	) )
20		
21		
22	1. INTRODUCTION	
23	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for	
24	Environmental Health ("CEH"), Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa"), and defendant Ross Stores, Inc.	
25	("Settling Defendant"). CEH, Shefa, and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the	
26	"Parties." CEH and Shefa are referred to collectively as "Plaintiffs."	
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT HIDOMENT DOSS STO	-1-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – ROSS STORES, INC. – CASE NO. JCCP 4765

- 1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- On July 1, 2013 and July 19, 2013, Shefa and CEH served 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.*) (the "Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and liquid soaps that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- On September 16, 2013, Shefa filed the action entitled *Shefa LMV*, *LLC v*.

  \*Ross Stores, Inc., et al., Case No. BC-521400, in the Superior Court of California for Los Angeles County, naming Settling Defendant as a party to that action. The \*Ross Stores\* action has been coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases, Case No. JCCP 4765, which is currently pending before this Court. On September 24, 2013, CEH filed the action entitled \*CEH v. Fantasia Industries Corporation, et al., Case No. RG 13-696756, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Settling Defendant as a party to that action. The \*Fantasia\* action has also been coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the \*Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaints applicable to Settling Defendant (the "Complaints") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaints; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance

with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means soap and shampoo products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Bio Creative Enterprises and Jakenna Cosmetics, Inc.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

## 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.
- 3.2 **Specification to Suppliers.** No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

# 3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.

3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the following products: (i) the Petal Fresh Botanicals Stimulating Aloe & Peppermint Facial Cleanser, SKU No. 7-13708-70101-7; and (ii) the Organic Home Lemon Hand Wash, SKU Nos. 4-00088-63318-1, 4-00088-63324-2, and 4-00096-97430-6 (the "Section 3.3 Products"). On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Products to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Products.

- 3.3.2 Any destruction of the Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- 3.3.3 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendants confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH or Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH or Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH or Shefa's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH or Shefa's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH or Shefa may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

## 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. Each settlement payment from Settling Defendant shall be paid in four (4) separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The check for this civil penalty shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

- 5.1.2 \$2,250 as payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at <a href="https://www.ceh.org/justicefund">www.ceh.org/justicefund</a>. The check for this payment in lieu of civil penalty shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. A check for \$9,600 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check for \$1,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

## 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest; (ii) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (iii) Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), of any

1	violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaints against	
2	Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to	
3	cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the	
4	Effective Date.	
5	7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant	
6	and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant	
7	and its Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about cocamide DEA in	
8	Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective	
9	Date.	
10	7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH or Shefa's right to commence or	
11	prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant and	
12	Defendant Releasees.	
13	8. NOTICE	
14	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
15	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
16	Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group	
17	503 Divisadero Street	
18	San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
19		
20	8.2 When Shefa is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
21	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
22	Daniel N. Greenbaum  Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum	
23	14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403	
24	danielgreenbaumesq@gmail.com	
25	8.3 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
26	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-6- CONSENT JUDGMENT – ROSS STORES, INC. – CASE NO. JCCP 4765	
l	COLUMN TO SOME ALL TO SOME STORES, INC. CAME TO SOME THOSE	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Will Troutman
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
william.troutman@nortonrosefulbright.com

8.4 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

### 9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

#### 10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- Should CEH or Shefa prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH or Shefa shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH or Shefa's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure § 2016, et seq.
- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 11. **OTHER TERMS**

3

of California.

4 5

6 7

> 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State

- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH, Shefa, and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a settling defendant.
- 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into

1	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that	
2	Party.	
3	11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of	
4	this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.	
5	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been	
6	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any	
7	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any	
8	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this	
9	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to	
10	be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent	
11	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.	
12		
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
14	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
15		
16		
17	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director	
18		
19	ROSS STORES, INC.	
20		
21		
22	Signature	
23		
24	Printed Name	
25		
26	Title	
27		
28  DOCUMENT PREPARED		
ON RECYCLED PAPER		

CONSENT JUDGMENT - ROSS STORES, INC. - CASE NO. JCCP 4765

1	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that
2	Party.
3	11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of
4	this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.
5	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been
6	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
7	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
8	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
9	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to
10	be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
11	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.
12	
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
14	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
15	
16	
17	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
18	Associate Director
19	ROSS STORES, INC.
20	1/1/1/1
21	
22	Signature
23	
24	<u>KEUIU AUDREUU CLUIUIS</u> Printed Name
25	
26	LICE PRESIDENT-LEGAL
27	Title
28	

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SHEFA LMV, LLC  Alisa Fried Managing Member  IT IS SO ORDERED:
9	
10	
11	Dated:, 2015  Judge of the Superior Court
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-10-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – ROSS STORES, INC. – CASE NO. JCCP 4765