1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486)	
2	Shannon E. Royster (SBN 314126) YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI	
3	An Association of Independent Law Corporations 19100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W	
4	Beverly Hills, 90212	
5	Telephone: (310) 623-1926 Facsimile: (310) 623-1930	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	
7	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANCELES –	SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE
11	Coordination Proceeding	JUDICIAL COUNCIL
12	Special Title (Rule 3.550)	COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4816 In San Fran. Case No. CGC-13-536301
13	PROPOSITION 65 RICE PRODUCT CASES	in San Fran. Case No. Coc-13-330301
14	RICE PRODUCT CASES	Coordinated with: 34-2014-00165277, BC556594, BC549137, BC549139, BC553427,
15	This Document Relates To:	BC553852, BC554810, and BC571487.
16	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in	CONCENTE HIDOMENIT INDODOGEDI
17	the public interest,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]
18	Plaintiff,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
19	v.	[Assigned For All Purposes to Hon. Elihu M.
20	MERCADO LATINO, INC., dba FARAON	Berle in Dept. 6]
21 22	FOODS CORPORATION, a California Corporation; C & F FOODS, INC., a California	Complaint Filed: December 19, 2013
23	Corporation; RHEE BROS., INC., a Maryland Corporation; KOREAN FARM, INC., a	
24	California Corporation; and DOES 1-50;	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

m m

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and Defendant, MERCADO LATINO, INC., ("MERCADO" or "Defendant"), with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendant and Covered Products

1.2.1 CAG alleges that MERCADO is a California Corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, MERCADO is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2.2 CAG alleges that MERCADO manufactures, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes parboiled white rice in California.

1.3 Listed Chemical

1.3.1 Lead and lead compounds have been listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notice of Violation.

1.4.1 On or about September 10, 2013, CAG served MERCADO, and various public enforcement agencies with a document, dated September 9, 2013, titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("September 10, 2013 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for allegedly failing to warn individuals in California of exposure to Lead contained in certain rice currently known as: FARAON® LONG GRAIN "E-Z COOK_{TM} PARBOILED", "NET WT. 2 LBS", ENRICHED RICE UPC: 0 74734 39799 6, sold by MERCADO in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the September 10, 2013 Notice.

1.5 Complaint, Answer and Coordination

On December 19, 2013, CAG filed a Complaint against MERCADO for civil penalties and

mm

injunctive relief (the "Complaint") in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-13-536301, alleging that MERCADO violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Lead in certain rice named above in Section 1.4.1 that Defendants distributed and/or sold in California.

On March 24, 2014 MERCADO filed its general denial under CCP § 431.30(d) to the Complaint and setting forth various affirmative defenses.

On or about February 17, 2015 the Complaint was coordinated and assigned Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding number 4816 and transferred to the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against the Defendant contained in the Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notice of Violation (see, Section 1.4.1 herein), or the Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any

Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, shareholders, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means parboiled white rice, including parboiled rice, sold, distributed, or supplied by MERCADO.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
 - 2.4 "Listed Chemical" means Lead.
 - 2.6 "Notice" means Plaintiff's September 10, 2013 Notice.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship into California for sale any Covered Products unless the concentration level of the Listed Chemical does not exceed 56 parts per billion ("ppb"). For any Covered Products that exceed 56 ppb for the Listed Chemical that are sold as set forth above after the Effective Date, Defendant must provide a Proposition 65 compliant warning for the Covered Products as set forth below. Nothing is intended herein to provide for a permanent warning on MERCADO's Covered Products if the concentration levels do not exceed 56 ppb or a non-compliant Covered Product is thereafter brought into compliance (e.g. Defendant addresses non-compliance by removing/recalling any lot that is determined through the procedures set forth herein to not comply with the 56 ppb concentration level for lead).
- 3.2 Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and bu prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Any warning on the packaging

or label must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. Where a Covered Product's packaging or label used to provide a warning includes Consumer Information in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. "Consumer Information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer Information" does not include the brand name, product name, company name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. The Parties agree that the following warning language shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged Listed Chemical in the Covered Products distributed and/or sold by the Defendant after the Effective Date:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: After of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of three hundred and fifty-two thousand dollars and zero cents (\$352,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaint, as separated into partial payment as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling twelve thousand five hundred and eighty dollars (\$12,580.00) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of nine thousand four hundred and thirty-five dollars (\$9,435.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Defendant will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of three thousand one hundred and forty-five dollars (\$3,145.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$9,435.00. Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$3,145.00 and

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 26

2728

deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant shall pay nine thousand four hundred and twenty dollars (\$9,420.00) as an Additional Settlement Payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this Additional Settlement Payment as follows, eighty five percent (85%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; fifteen percent (15%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment. CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: After the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay three hundred and thirty thousand dollars (\$330,000.00) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Defendant's attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment. The

9

6

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16

m

\$330,000.00 shall be payable as follows: An initial payment of seventy eight thousand dollars (\$78,000.00) payable within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date with the remaining balance of two hundred and fifty two thousand dollars (\$252,000.00) to be payable on the 12th of each month thereafter in 18 monthly installments.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to Office of Er.vironmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Concurrently with its respective payments to OEHHA, Defendant shall provide CAG with written confirmation that the payment to OEHHA was delivered.

* No payment required to be made herein shall be due before the Effective Date.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, attorneys, experts, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including, but not limited to. downstream distributors, wholesalers, vendors, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members. licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, including but not limited to B & V Enterprises, Inc. DBA Super King Markets, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), and to Defendant's suppliers, including crossdefendant Riceland Foods, Inc., only as to Covered Products supplied by Riceland Foods to the Defendant ("Supplier Releasee") of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, packaged, or sold by Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Downstream Defendant Releasees, and/or Supplier Releasee up to the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, and inclusive of the Notice and Complaint. Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Downstream Defendant Releasee and Supplier

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Releasee's compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products sold by Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Downstream Defendant Releasees, and/or Supplier Releasee individually and/or collectively after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Downstream Defendant Releasees, or Supplier Releasee. Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Downstream Defendant Releasees, and Supplier Releasee are hereafter individually and/or collectively referred to as the "Released Parties".

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal or administrative action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, packaged, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date regarding any actions or inaction by the Released Parties whatsoever relating to the Covered Products regarding any actual or alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister entities, successors in interest, and all of their successors and assigns ("CAG Releasees"), hereby waives any and all r ghts and benefits which they now have, or in the future may have, conferred upon them with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, packaged, distributed or sold by the Released Parties up to the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law violation whatsoever relating to the Covered Products and/or regarding claims arising from the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil

Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG Releasees understand and acknowledge that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if the CAG Releasees suffer future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured, packaged, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products, CAG Releasees will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG Releasees acknowledge that they intend these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products as may exist as of the Effective Date of this release but which the CAG Releasees do not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party provides 90 days written notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
 - 6.2 Notice of Violation. Pricr to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendant. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: (a) the name of the Covered Products; (b) specific dates, name, barcode and lot number when the Covered Product was sold in California; (c) the store or other place at which the Covered Product was available for sale to consumers; (d) any other evidence or support for the allegations in the NOV which must include copies of complete CAG test results forming the basis for the NOV including, the testing laboratory. testing method utilized and all analytical results; (e) CAG shall not initiate an NOV without one sample of a Covered Product exceeding 5.6 ppb lead; and (f) in association with any NOV, CAG shall provide split samples of the tested product to MERCADO for its own testing together with a copy or copies of the packaging from which the Covered Product was sampled showing the packaging's barcode, and the sprayed inkjet writing on the packaging clearly showing the lot number, the listed reference number, and the expiration date.

6.2.1 Response to NOV.

- (a) CAG shall take no further action of any kind regarding the alleged violation if. within 90 days of receiving such NOV, Defendant:
- (1) Serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") not to contest the NOV that meets one of the following conditions:
- (i) A statement that the Covered Product was produced or shipped by Defendant for sale in California before the Effective Date; or
- A statement that since receiving the NOV, Defendant has taken (ii) corrective action by either: (i) taking all steps necessary to bring the sale of the product into compliance with this Consent Judgment; or (ii) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Product identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Product to Defendant or vendor, as applicable; or
- (2) Refutes the information provided in the NOV that reflect compliance. CAG shall provide Notice of its Acceptance ("NOA") or Notice of Rejection ("NOR") of Defendant's refutation within 30 days of the date such refutation data is provided to CAG by Defendant.
 - 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Within 60 days following CAG's NOR or 90 days following

the NOV, whichever is later, Defendant may serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV.

- (a) In its election, Defendant may request that the sample(s) of Covered Product tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program for the analysis of heavy metals; or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") for the analysis of heavy metals; and/or that uses methods that are in compliance with FDA regulations for the analysis of heavy metals.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain the Listed Chemicals in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendant may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1(a)(1).
- (c) If Defendant does not withdraw a NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 60 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing and trial on the allegations in the Notice, Complaint and Coordination Proceeding stated in the caption above.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval; or (b) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment except that the Parties shall petition the

MM

Court for a new trial date and new trial date deadlines; and (c) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.
- 9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNLY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CAG may then submit it to the Court for approval.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3, and 8.2, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expert fees in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

12. GOVERNING LAW

12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered
inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are
rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, o
rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may
provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations
pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so
affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any
obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation

12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

14. NOTICES

14.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First Class Mail. If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212

If to Defendant MERCADO:

1	Maria M. Rohaidy, Esq.	
2	TAUBMAN, SIMPSON, YOUNG & SULENTOR	
3	One World Trade Center, Suite 400	
4	Long Beach, CA 90831	
5		
6	15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE	
7	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by	
8	the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the	
9	Party represented and legally to bind that party.	
10		
11	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:	
12	Date: July 16, 2020 Date: July 16, 2020	
13	May & Mars.	
14	Name: Michael Marcus Name: Vorg Arking	
15		
16	Title: V. J. C. F. J. CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, MERCADO LATINO, INC.	
17	INC.	
18		
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	Date:	
22	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	8.	