1	1 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389		
	Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968		
2	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
3	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112		
4	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com jmann@lexlawgroup.com		
5	Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970 Center for Environmental Health 2201 Broadway, Suite 302		
6			
7	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 655-3900		
8	Facsimile: (510) 655-9100 rick@ceh.org		
9			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
13			
14			
15			
16	Center for Environmental	Case No. RG-13707315	
17	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
18	V.	JUDGMENT RE: PARTS EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL,	
19		INC.	
20	ACOUSTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	1. INTRODUCTION		
24	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental		
25	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendant Parts Express International, Inc.		
26	("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative		
27	Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Acoustical Solutions, Inc., et al.,		
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-1-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PARTS EXPRESS IN	NTERNATIONAL, INC CASE NO. RG-13707315	

Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-13707315 (the "Action"). CEH and Defendant are
 referred to collectively as the "Parties."

1.2. On September 27, 2013, CEH served a "Notice of Violation" (the "Notice")
relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition
65") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the
State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population
greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence
of tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") in acoustic and soundproofing foam
manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.

10 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that
11 manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of
12 California.

13 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
14 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint
15 and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is
16 proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent
17 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the
18 Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered
19 Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.

20 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all 21 claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct 22 related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to 23 comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, 24 nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by 25 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, 26 factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing 27 whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 28 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense either Party may have in this -2-

1	or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of		
2	negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling,		
3	compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.		
4	2. DEFINITIONS		
5	2.1. "Chemical Flame Retardant" means any halogenated or phosphorous-based		
6	chemical compound used for the purpose of resisting or retarding the spread of fire. "Chemical		
7	Flame Retardant" does not include (a) any chemical that has been rated as a Benchmark 4		
8	chemical pursuant to Clean Production Action's GreenScreen ( <u>http://www.cleanproduction.org/</u>		
9	Green.Greenscreen.php); or (b) ammonium polyphosphate.		
10	2.2. "Covered Products" means acoustic and/or soundproofing foam manufactured,		
11	distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California.		
12	2.3. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.		
13	2.4. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardants" means Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate		
14	("TDCPP"), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP"), and Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate		
15	("TDBPP").		
16	2.5. "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and		
17	as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.		
18	2.6. "Treated" means the addition or application of any Chemical Flame Retardant to		
19	any polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product.		
20	2.7. "Untreated Foam" means polyurethane foam that has not been Treated with any		
21	Chemical Flame Retardant.		
22	3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
23	3.1. <b>Reformulation of Covered Products.</b> Defendant shall comply with the following		
24	requirements to reformulate the Covered Products to eliminate exposures to TDCPP arising from		
25	the use of the Covered Products:		
26	3.1.1. Listed Chemical Flame Retardants – All Covered Products. As of the		
27	Effective Date, Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered		
28			
PARED PAPER	-3-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PARTS EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13707315		

Product that has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant and which has a
 Manufacture Date that is on or later than the Effective Date.

3 3.1.1.1. To ensure compliance with the reformulation provisions of 4 this Section, following the Effective Date, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain 5 issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products and/or polyurethane foam used in any 6 Covered Product requiring that such products and/or foam has not been any Treated with Listed 7 Chemical Flame Retardant in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.1.1. Defendant shall 8 obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers confirming that all such Covered 9 Products and/or foam received by Defendant for distribution in California have not been Treated 10 with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the 11 requirements of Section 3.1.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written 12 certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product is made 13 with only Untreated Foam, and/or, if such certification is not relied on or has previously been 14 demonstrated to be invalid, (b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third party 15 certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product has been made with no Listed Chemical 16 Flame Retardants.

17 3.1.2. Interim Compliance – All Covered Products. Any Covered Products in
18 which the polyurethane foam has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant and
19 which is distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant in California after the Effective Date
20 shall be accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.1.4.

21 3.1.3. Warnings for Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to 22 ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 23 for Covered Products that have not been reformulated pursuant to Section 3.1.1 or labeled in 24 accordance with Section 3.1.2, within 30 days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall 25 provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to 26 whom Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Products that contained or may have 27 contained TDCPP on or after October 31, 2011. Such warning materials shall include a 28 reasonably sufficient number of stickers and/or labels in order to permit the retailer or distributor -4-

1 to place a warning sticker or label on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from 2 Defendant. The stickers and/or labels shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3 3.1.4. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the 4 stickers and/or labels, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard. 5 3.1.4. **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this 6 Consent Judgment shall state: 7 WARNING: This product contains tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate 8 ("TDCPP") [and/or TCEP and/or TDBPP], a chemical[s] known to the State of 9 California to cause cancer. 10 A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any 11 additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The 12 warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the 13 Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or 14 designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. 15 For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot 16 see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product prior to 17 purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to 18 be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment. 19 3.2. Optional Additional Reformulation – Use of Untreated Foam. In order for 20 Defendant to be eligible for a waiver of the additional penalty/payment in lieu of penalty 21 payments set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Defendant shall undertake the additional actions to 22 reduce or eliminate the use of Chemical Flame Retardants set forth herein. As of 90 days 23 following the Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture or distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that has been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant. In 24 25 order to avoid the additional payments, Defendant must provide written certification to CEH of its 26 use of only Untreated Foam in Covered Products for sale in California within 120 days following 27 the Effective Date. 28

1	3.2.1. Specification To and Certification From Suppliers. To ensure			
2	compliance with the provisions of Section 3.2, to the extent that Defendant opts for additional			
3	reformulation, it shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of			
4	Covered Products and/or polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product requiring that such			
5	products and/or foam shall use only Untreated Foam. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation			
6	of the requirements of Section 3.2 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a			
7	written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product and/or the polyurethane			
8	foam used in a Covered Product that such product is made with only Untreated Foam, and/or (b)			
9	has obtained a test result from a certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product's			
10	polyurethane foam has been made with Untreated Foam. Defendant shall obtain and maintain			
11	written certification(s) from its suppliers confirming that all such Covered Products and/or foam			
12	received by Defendant for distribution in California is Untreated Foam.			
13	4. PENALTIES AND PAYMENT			
14	4.1. Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of thirty thousand dollars			
15	(\$30,000), which shall be allocated as follows:			
16	4.1.1. \$3,300 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code §			
17	25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code			
18	§ 25249.12.			
19	4.1.2. \$4,500 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal.			
20	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to			
21	continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals,			
22	including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor			
23	compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm			
24	compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH			
25	will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice			
26	groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method			
27	of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at <u>www.ceh.org/justicefund</u> .			
28				
EPARED	-6-			

1	4.1.3. \$22,200 shall constitute reimbursement of CEH's reasonable attorneys'		
2	fees and costs.		
3	4.1.4. The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three		
4	separate checks, all to be delivered within 10 days following the Effective Date. The payments		
5	required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable CEH. The payment		
6	required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group. All checks		
7	shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.		
8	4.1.5. In the event that Defendant elects not to certify its compliance with Section		
9	3.2 in accordance with that Section, within 120 days following the Effective Date, Defendant		
10	must make an additional payment of \$12,000, which shall be paid in two separate checks, each		
11	payable to CEH, to be allocated as follows:		
12	4.1.5.1. \$4,800 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health &		
13	Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health		
14	& Safety Code § 25249.12.		
15	4.1.5.2. \$7,200 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty		
16	pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such		
17	funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic		
18	chemicals, including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to		
19	monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to		
20	confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice		
21	Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental		
22	justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The		
23	method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at <u>www.ceh.org/justicefund</u> .		
24	5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		
25	5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior		
26	Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.		
27	Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH		
28	shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which		
PARED PAPER	-1-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PARTS EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13707315		

purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

8

## 6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

9 6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and
10 Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

11

## 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

12 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting 13 in the public interest and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, 14 divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant 15 Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, 16 17 cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged 18 in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or 19 could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant 20Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in 21 the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. 22 7.2. CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged 23 in the Complaint against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any 24 violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to 25 warn about exposure to Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in connection with Covered Products 26 manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. 27 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

28

-8-

Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant

1	and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about any		
2			
3			
	Defendant after the Effective Date.		
4	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
5	8.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
6	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:		
7	7 8.1.1. Notices to Defendant. The persons for Defendant to receive notices		
8	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:		
9			
10	Jeffrey Stahl, President Porto Express International Inc.		
	Parts Express International, Inc. 725 Pleasant Valley Drive		
11	Springboro, OH 45066-1158		
12	jeffs@parts-express.com		
13	Daniel J. Gentry		
14	Coolidge Wall Co., L.P.A.		
14	33 West First Street, Suite 600		
15	Dayton, OH 45402-1289		
16	gentry@coollaw.com		
17	8.1.2. <b>Notices to Plaintiff.</b> The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to		
	this Consent Judgment shall be:		
18			
19	Rick Franco Center for Environmental Health		
20	2201 Broadway, Suite 302		
20	Oakland, CA 94612		
21	rick@ceh.org		
22	Mark Todzo		
23	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
24			
24	San Francisco, CA 94117		
25	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
26	8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by		
27	sending the other Parties notice by both first class and electronic mail.		
28			
Document Prepared	-9-		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-9- CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PARTS EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13707315		
	Consent juduwent as to farts earness international, inc. – case no. R0-13/0/313		

1

#### 9. COURT APPROVAL

9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided
however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.

9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
purpose.

8

### **10.** GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9 10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed
10 and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11

# **11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding
of CEH and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior
discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby
merged herein and therein.

16 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and
17 Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
18 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any
19 Party hereto.

11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or
otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements
specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind
any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.

24 11.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent
25 Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or
shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall
such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -10-

1	12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
2	12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the	
3	Consent Judgment.	
4	13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT	
5	13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized	
6	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and	
7	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.	
8	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
9	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
10	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.	
11	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
12	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
13	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
14	· · ·	
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
16	Dated: <u>APRIL 9</u> , 2014 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
17		
18		
19	CHARLIS PIZARAD	
20	Printed Name	
21	Associate Dineuron	
22	Title	
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27		
Document Prepared	-11-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PARTS EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13707315	

1	Dated: <u>April 9</u> , 2014	PARTS EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
. 2		
3		
4		JEFFRCY STAIL
5		Printed Name
6		PRESIDENT
7		Title
8		
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
11		
12	Dated:, 2014	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of
13		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-12-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PARTS EXI	PRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13707315