1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)				
2	Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)				
3	YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W				
4					
	Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926				
5	Facsimile: 310.623.1930				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,				
7	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.				
8	GLIDEDIOD COLUDE OF T				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES			
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. BC538139			
12	in the interest of the Public,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]			
13	Plaintiff,				
14		Complaint filed: March 3, 2014			
15	V.	Trial Date: None			
16	KAM KUO TRADING CORP., a New York				
17	Corporation; KAM KUO TRADING INC., a New York Corporation; HONG KONG				
18	SUPERMARKET, INC., a California Corporation; HONG KONG				
19	SUPERMARKET OF MONTEREY PARK,				
20	LTD., a California Corporation; and DOES 1-20;				
21	Defendants.				
22	2 010110m105.				
23	1. INTRODUCTION				
24	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Consumer				
25	Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG") acting on bel	nalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and			
26	defendants KAM KUO TRADING CORP., KAM KUO TRADING, INC. (collectively referred to				
27	as "KAM KUO") and HONG KONG SUPERMARKET OF MONTEREY PARK, LTD. ("HONG				
28					
	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]				

KONG") (all defendants are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants") with each a "Party" to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 It is alleged that Defendants named in the Complaint employ ten or more persons, are persons in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and manufactured, distributed, and/or sold Steel Strainers, including, but not limited to, (1) "Myland® STAINLESS STEEL, 'High quality stainless steel kitchen tools', Steel Strainer with Black Rubber Handle, UPC: 7 15470 43295 3", (2) "Myland® STAINLESS STEEL, "High quality stainless steel kitchen tools", "ITEM NO.: KSMS0065", "6.5CM DELUX HOTPOT STRAINER", Steel Strainer with Black Rubber Handle, UPC: 7 15470 43296 0" before the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

1.3 Notice of Violation.

- 1.3.1 On or about October 9, 2013, CAG served the Defendants named in the Complaint and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notices") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in the Covered Products.
- 1.3.2 No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notices.

1.4 Complaint.

On March 3, 2014, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC538139. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the named Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from Covered Products.

1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

While otherwise disputed, for purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties consent that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and

1.6 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendants denies including jurisdiction, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Defendants.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1** "Covered Products" means Steel Strainers sold by KAM KUO or which there is a trademark registered only by KAM KUO.
- **2.2** "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
- **2.3** "DEHP" means Di (2-ethylhexy) phthalate), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell, offer for sale in California, or ship Covered Products for sale in California unless Defendants have reformulated the Covered Products to the point where the level of DEHP does not exceed more than 0.1 % by weight or 100 ppm (parts per million).

3.2 Defendants agree, promise, and represent that, as of the Effective Date, to the extent it ships or sells any Covered Products for sale to California customers from its existing inventory that do not comply with Section 3.1, it will provide warnings on such Covered Products that comply with Proposition 65. "Existing inventory" excludes Covered Products shipped, sold and/or otherwise distributed on or prior to the Effective Date, even if sold by Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date. The warnings shall be provided in such a conspicuously and prominent manner that will assure the message is made available and likely to be read, seen, or heard by the consumer prior to or at the time of the sale or purchase. The Parties agree that product labeling stating that:

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects, or other reproductive harm"

Shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the Listed Chemical in the Covered Products for any Covered Products in existing inventory that do not comply with Section 3.1 and were distributed and/or sold by Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

Total Payment: Defendants shall mail via certified mail, payments totaling sixty-five thousand dollars (\$65,000.00) as follows:

- **4.1 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs:** Defendants shall pay sixty-two thousand dollars (\$62,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.
- **4.2 Civil Penalties.** Defendants shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of two-thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of one-thousand and five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00) representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the

amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$1,500.00. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$500.00 to CAG and delivered to: Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.3 Payments in Lieu of Civil Penalties

Defendants also shall separately pay one-thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) to CAG as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 \$ 3203(b). CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs incurred during the litigation, in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action.

4.4 Payments pursuant to 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212 within the time agreed upon by the Parties.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister

8

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Releasees"), including but not limited to each of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all conduct of the named Defendants prior to the Effective Date based on alleged exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice. Defendants and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP from Covered Products. 5.2

CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any allegations of violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants and Defendant Releasees. furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP from Covered Products, CAG hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendants or the Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. Except as otherwise agreed by the Parties, the Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, City and County of Los Angeles, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and provide 60 days in which the Parties shall attempt to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- **6.2 Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce any alleged violation of Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendants. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the

Covered Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that were tested. Before any destructive testing of any Covered Products is conducted by or on behalf of CAG, CAG shall give Defendants an opportunity to inspect and verify at reasonable times and places the authenticity of any Covered Product in violation of this Consent Judgment.

- 6.2.1 **Non-Contested NOV.** CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendants serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
- (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendants for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
- (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendants have taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers in California remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendants, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
- 6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** Defendants may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 60 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Defendants may request that the sample(s) Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to additional confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the level allowed in Section 3.1, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, Defendants may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

- (c) If Defendants do not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- **6.3** In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- **7.1** CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT AND RIGHTS THEREUNDER

- **8.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. Any Party may waive in writing any right it may have under this Consent Judgment.
- **8.2** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9	
CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]	

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by all parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

13. GOVERNING LAW

- 13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 13.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against

10			
CONSENT	JUDGMENT	[PROPOSED]	

1	the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in			
2	this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.			
3	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS			
4	14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile			
5	or portable document format (PDF), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one			
6	document.			
7	15. NOTICES			
8	15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery or Firs			
9	Class Mail.			
10	If to CAG:			
11	Reuben Yeroushalmi			
12	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212			
13	(310) 623-1926			
14	If to Kam Kuo Trading, Inc. and Kam Kuo Trading Corp.			
15	Joshua Shayne, Esq.			
16	Richard W. Park, Esq. KAUFMAN BORGEEST & RYAN LLP			
17	23975 Park Sorrento, Suite 370			
18	Calabasas, CA 91302 Tel: 818-880-0992; Fax: 818-880-0993			
19	If to Hong Kong Supermarket of Monterey Park, LTD.			
20				
21	Yaw-Jiun (Gene) Wu, Esq. Robert A Bailey, Esq.			
22	ANGLIN, FLEWELLING, RASMUSSEN, CAMPBELL & TRYTTEN LLP 199 South Los Robles Avenue, Suite 600			
23	Pasadena, California 91101-2459			
24	Telephone: (626) 535-1900; Fascimile: (626) 577-7764			
25				
26				
27				
28				
	11			

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

The state of the s	16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE		
2	16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
3	by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf		
4	of the party represented and legally to bind that party.		
5			
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
7	Date: 3-4-15, 2015	Date: Feb. 23, 2015	
8	*		
9	6	Xely he - C	
10	By:	By:	
11	Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY	Defendant, KAM KUO TRADING CORP.	
12	GROUP, INC.		
13			
14			
15	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
16	Date: 1-66. 23 .2015	Date: 16025, 2015	
17			
18			
19	By: X h/ 12. C.	Ву:	
20	Defendant, KAM KUO TRADING, INC.	Defendant, HONG KONG SUPERMARKET	
21		OF MONTEREY PARK, LTD.	
22			
23			
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25			
26	Date:		

28

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]