1	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389		
2	Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP		
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112		
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
6	Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
7			
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF	ALAMEDA	
11			
12) Ladial Committee in December	
13	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding)	
14	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES) Case No. 4765	
15		PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	This Document Relates To:) AS TO G.S. COSMECEUTICAL USA, INC.	
17	<i>CEH v. Biopelle, Inc., et al.</i> , A.C.S.C. Case No. RG 14-726964)	
18		_)	
19			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21	1.1 The parties to this Consent Jud	gment ("Parties") are the Center for	
22	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant G.S. Cosmeceutical USA, Inc. ("Settling		
23	Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."		
24	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and		
25	that manufactures, distributes and/or sells bulk quantities of materials that contain coconut oil		
26	diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") which are		
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1- CONSENT HIDGMENT CASE NO ICCD 4765		

CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. JCCP 4765

used to make liquid soaps such as bath gels containing cocamide DEA in the State of California or has done so in the past.

- On November 8, 2013, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) (the "Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On August 12, 2014, CEH amended the action entitled *CEH v. Biopelle, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 14-726964, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, adding Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action. On July 7, 2014, the *Biopelle* action was coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant (the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) that venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. **PAYMENTS**

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:
- \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- \$2,250 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use

such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The check for \$11,100 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and its Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about

1	cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant			
2	after the Effective Date.			
3		7.3	Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an	
4	action	action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant and Defendant		
5	Relea	sees.		
6	8.	NOTIC	E	
7		8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
8	notice	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
9			Mark Todzo	
10			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
11			San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
12		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
13	Indor		otice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
14	Judgi	nent, the n	·	
15			Christopher G. Foster Morris Polich & Purdy LLP 1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 2400	
1617			Los Angeles, CA 90017 cfoster@mpplaw.com	
18		8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent	
19	by sea	nding the o	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.	
20	9.	COURT	T APPROVAL	
21		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH	
22	shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant			
23	shall	support en	try of this Consent Judgment.	
24		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
25	effect	and shall	never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any	
26	purpo	se other th	nan to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.	
27	10.	ATTOR	RNEYS' FEES	
28		10.1	Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or	
PARED			-6-	

other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	
4	Chi
5	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
6	Associate Director
7	G.S. COSMECEUTICAL USA, INC.
8	
9	M
10	Signature
11	
12	Printed Name
13	
14	Title
15	THE
16	
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED:
19	
20	Dated:, 2015
21 22	Judge of the Superior Court
23	
24	-1
25	
26	
27	-
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. JCCP 4765

•	
1	
2	
3	
4	G.S. COSMECEUTICAL USA, INC.
5	Empreed Snight Santo
6	Signature
7	
8	GURPRET SWEN SANGHA
9	Printed Name
10	no l nacsenaet
11	CEO & PRESIDENT Title
12	
13	
14	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED:
16	
17	Dated:, 2015 Judge of the Superior Court
18	vadge of the Superior Count
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	· ·
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	_9-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. JCCP 4765