1	WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927				
2	WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250				
3	Irvine, California 92618 Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978				
4	Attorney for Plaintiff				
5	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTEI	₹			
6	S. Wayne Rosenbaum SBN 182456 Opper & Varco LLP				
7	225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101				
8	Phone: (619) 231-5858 Fax: (619) 231-5853				
9	SWR@Envirolawyer.com				
10	Attorney for Defendant RAW INDULGENCE, LTD.				
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER				
13					
14	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit	CASE NO. 30-2014-00709007-CU-MC-CJC			
15	corporation,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER			
16	Plaintiff,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.			
17	v.	and the second code of models to or dod.			
18	RAW INDULGENCE, LTD., and DOES 1-25, Inclusive,	Action Filed: March 5, 2014 Trial Date: None set			
19	1-23, metusive,	11			
20	Defendants.				
21					
22	1. INTRODUCTION				
23	1.1 On March 5, 2014, Plaintiff	Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-			
24	profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing				
25	a Complaint for Injunctive and Declarator	ry Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint")			
26	pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq.				
27	("Proposition 65"), against Raw Indulgence, LTD., and DOES 1-25 (collectively "Raw				
28	Indulgence").				

28

- 1.2 ERC filed its First Amended Complaint on August 12, 2014. ERC alleges that the products listed below ("Covered Products") manufactured, distributed or sold by Raw Indulgence contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and that such products expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning.
 - a. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate & Coconut
 - Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina & Cashew
 - c. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Lemon

 Dew
 - d. Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Apple Cinnamon
 - e. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Cherry Chocolate Chunk
 - f. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Life Food Bar Heavenly Hazelnut Chocolate
 - g. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate Coconut Bliss
 - h. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Food Bar Chocolate Raspberry
 Truffle
 - i. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina Dream
 - j. Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Golden Cashew.
- 1.3 Raw Indulgence represents that the following bars are discontinued and are no longer sold in the United States or elsewhere:

Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate & Coconut

Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Apple Cinnamon

Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Lemon Dew

Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina & Cashew.

- 1.4 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.5 Raw Indulgence is a New York Domestic Business Corporation. For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, at all relevant times, Raw Indulgence employed ten or more persons and is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
- 1.6 ERC and Raw Indulgence are referred to hereinafter individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.7 The First Amended Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notices of Violation, dated March 8, 2012 and December 13, 2013, that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Raw Indulgence ("Notices"). True and correct copies of the Notices are attached as Exhibit A and B respectively and are hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days passed after the Notices were mailed and uploaded onto the Attorney General's website before filing the First Amended Complaint, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Raw Indulgence with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.8 ERC's Notices and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Raw Indulgence denies all material allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint.
 - 1.9 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle,

compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, lawyers, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose.

- 1.10 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.11 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court. The Compliance Date for purposes of injunctive relief shall be eight (8) months from the Effective Date.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and for any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Raw Indulgence as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Orange County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Compliance Date, Raw Indulgence shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a daily dose of lead more than 0.5 micrograms per day (as calculated in accordance

with Sections 3.2 and 3.5) when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Covered Product's label, unless such Covered Product complies with the warning requirements under Section 3.3. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Maximum Suggested Dose" is one bar per day unless otherwise stated on a Covered Product's label. A warning shall not be required if Raw Indulgence elects to re-formulate a Covered Product resulting in a Reformulated Covered Product as defined in Section 3.4 below.

As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor when Raw Indulgence knows such distributor intends to or will sell the Covered Product in California.

3.2 Calculation of Lead Levels

As used in this Consent Judgment, lead levels are calculated pursuant to the testing protocol described in Section 3.5. For purposes of measuring lead, the result of one randomly selected sample of the Covered Products will be controlling less the naturally occurring allowances described in Section 3.5 below. However, if the one sample yields a test result that is greater than the 0.50 ug/day threshold, then Raw Indulgence shall randomly select five (5) new samples of the Covered Product, and the lead level will be calculated by taking the average results of these fivesamples less the naturally occurring allowance described in Section 3.5 below.

3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For the Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, Raw Indulgence shall provide the following warning:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Raw Indulgence shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.5.

The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed upon the label of the Covered

Product so as to be clearly conspicuous, as compared with other statements or designs on the label, and to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the Covered Product. If the warning is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the Covered Product and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No other statements about Proposition 65 or lead may accompany the warning.

For any Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1 and sold via any and all websites owned or operated by Raw Indulgence, the warning shall appear on all of the checkout pages on the websites for California consumers relating to any of the Covered Products being sold. The website warning shall be conspicuous, as compared with other statements or designs on the webpage, so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the product.

3.4 Calculation of Lead Levels; Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the Maximum Suggested Dose on the Covered Products' label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day less the naturally occurring allowances described in Section 3.5 below as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.5. For products that cause exposures in excess of 0.5 micrograms of lead per day even after reformulation, Raw Indulgence shall provide the warning set forth in Section 3.3.

3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.5.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per one serving of product, multiplied by the Maximum Suggested Dose of the product per day, which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, less amounts of naturally occurring lead in the ingredients listed in the table below in accordance with the Attorney General's Stipulation Modifying Consent Judgments in *People v Warner Lambert, et al* San Fran. Sup. Ct. Case no 984503 as well the Cocoa powder, allowances listed in the table below.

If at any time after the Compliance Date, ERC tests a Covered Product and the test results indicate that the daily exposure level is greater than 0.5 micrograms per gram, Raw Indulgence Agrees to Confidentially supply ERC with a list of ingredients, including the percentage of each ingredient ("Ingredient List"), of that particular Covered Product so that ERC may be able to calculate the daily exposure based on the allowances contained in the table below. If at any time Raw Indulgence refuses to provide said Ingredient List to ERC following a test result of greater than 0.5 micrograms per gram, then Raw Indulgence shall not receive the allowances for that particular Covered Product.

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY OCCURING AMOUNT OF LEAD
Elemental Calcium	0.8 micrograms/gram
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 micrograms/gram
Zinc Oxide	8.0 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 micrograms/gram
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 micrograms/gram
Potassium Chloride	1.1 micrograms/gram
Cocoa-powder	1.0 micrograms/gram

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment as to any contribution from naturally occurring lead levels under the Section, the Parties shall employ good faith efforts to seek entry of a protective order that governs access to and disclosure of the Ingredient List provided. Should a dispute arise, this Section is subject to the meet and confer requirements and attorney's fees provisions set forth in Section 15 below.

3.5.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that

meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties.

- 3.5.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Raw Indulgence's ability to conduct additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.5.4 Raw Indulgence shall arrange, for at least four consecutive years and at least once per year, for the lead testing as described in Section 3.2 except that the testing requirements of Section 3 do not apply to discontinued products unless they are reintroduced in the California marketplace within 4 years from the Compliance Date of the Consent Judgment nor do they apply to bars that are not sold in California. Each randomly selected sample shall consist of randomly selected exemplars of the Covered Product in the form intended for sale to the end-user to be distributed or sold to California. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. The testing requirements discussed in Section 3.5 are not applicable to any Covered Product for which Raw Indulgence has provided the warning as specified in Section 3.3.
- 3.5.5 Beginning on the Effective Date and continuing for a period of four (4) years thereafter, Raw Indulgence shall arrange for copies of all laboratory reports with results of testing for lead content under Section 3.5 to be automatically sent by the testing laboratory directly to ERC within ten working days after completion of that testing. These reports shall be deemed and treated by ERC as confidential information under the terms of the confidentiality agreement entered into by the Parties. Raw Indulgence shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Raw Indulgence shall make a total payment of \$64,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") in settlement payments to ERC on the following schedule: An initial payment of \$6,000.00 shall be made to ERC within (10) days of the Effective Date followed by nine (9) consecutive equal monthly payments in the amount of \$6,000.00 followed by one (1) monthly payment in the amount of \$4,000.00 due and owing no later than the 15th of each month. Raw Indulgence shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Raw Indulgence the necessary account information. Said payment shall be for the following:
- 4.2 As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$16,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$12,000.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$4,000.00) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$2,027.77 shall be distributed to Environmental Research Center as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action; and \$8,622.07 shall be distributed to Environmental Research Center in lieu of further civil penalties, for the day-to-day business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes work, analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) giving a donation of \$431.00 (which is part of the \$8,622.07) to the Environmental Working Group to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.
- **4.4** \$15,775.00 shall be distributed to William F. Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$21,575.16 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by a written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Raw Indulgence seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Raw Indulgence must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Raw Indulgence within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Raw Indulgence in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Raw Indulgence a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that Raw Indulgence initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, Raw Indulgence shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Only after it complies with Section 15 below may any Party, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Raw Indulgence in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Raw Indulgence to identify the Covered Products at issue. Raw Indulgence shall, within thirty days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.5.2 and 3.5.3, demonstrating Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which are not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Raw Indulgence, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including

11

14 15 16

18 19 20

17

21 22 23

24

25 26

27

28

the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Raw Indulgence and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Raw Indulgence), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead.

- 8.2 The Parties further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notices or Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. The Parties acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

10 11

12

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

2021

22

22

23

24

2.0

25

26 |

27

28

WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927

WRAITH LAW

With a copy to:

16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250

8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Raw Indulgence's products other than the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, or certified mail; (b) overnight courier; or (c) personal delivery. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER:

- Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center
- 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
- San Diego, CA 92108
- Tel: (619) 500-3090
- Email: chris erc501c3@yahoo.com

1	Irvine, California 92618	
2	Tel: (949) 251-9977	
3	Fax: (949) 251-9978	
4		
5	FOR RAW INDULGENCE, LTD.	
6	Dave Friedman or Current CEO	
7	Raw Indulgence, Ltd.	
8	P.O. Box 359	
9	Hawthorne, NY 10532	
10		
11	With a copy to:	
12	S. Wayne Rosenbaum	
13	Opper & Varco LLP	
14	225 Broadway, Suite 1900	
15	San Diego, CA 92101	
16	Phone: (619) 231-5858	
17	Fax: (619) 231-5853	
18	SWR@Envirolawyer.com	
19	12. COURT APPROVAL	
20	12.1 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be	
21	void and have no force or effect.	
22	12.2 Following Court Approval of the Consent Judgment, ERC shall comply with	
23	California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and with Title II of the California Code	
24	Regulations, Section 3003.	
25	13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS	
26	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be	
27	deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as	

the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms with counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment entered thereon, the terms and provisions shall not be construed against any Party.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 16.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The

Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to: (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

1	1.	
2	2	
3	FE IO CO CTIDIT ATEN.	
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	
4	1 State VIIII	
5	By William	***
6	Chris Flephinghi, Executive Director	
7	Dated: 1/20, 2015 RAW INDULGENCE, LTD.	
8	Sleber	
9	By: Raw Indulgence Ltd. Its: President	-
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
11		
12	Dated:, 2015 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	
13	CENTER	
14	By:	
15	WILLIAM F. WKAITH	
16		
	Dated: \ W. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
17	S. Legre Kosy	
18	S. Wayne Rosenbaum	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		e displacement de la companya de la
26	Annual An	- in the same
27		
28		
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 30-2014-00709007-CU-MC-C	

CASE NO. 30-2014-00709007-CU-MC-CJC

	1		
	2		
	3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
	4	Dated:	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
	5		R. M. T.
	6		Chris Heptanson, Executive Director
	7	Dated:, 2015	RAW INDULGENCE, LTD.
	8		Alebon
	9		By: Its:
1	0	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	us.
1	1	. /	
t.	2	Dated: 1/19 , 2015	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
1.	3		CENTER
14			By: WILLIAM F. WRAITH
15	-		WRAITH LAW
16	$\parallel_{ m I}$	Dated: 10, 2015	DAW BUNKII CONTON
17		()	RAW INDULGENCE, LTD
18			S. Wayne Rosenbann
19			Opper & Varco LLP
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
70			
	STI	PULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	CASE NO. 30-2014-00709007-CU-MC-CJC

JUDGMENT Decord among the Portion's Stimulation and another services in this Country I.
Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
Dated:, 2015
Judge of the Superior Court



March 8, 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*, with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

<u>General Information about Proposition 65</u>. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

<u>Alleged Violator</u>. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Raw Indulgence, LTD

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate & Coconut - Lead Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina & Cashew - Lead Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Lemon Dew - Lead Raw Indulgence LTD Raw Revolution Organic Greens Super Food Bar Apple Cinnamon – Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least March 6, 2010, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

Bryan Theis

Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Raw Indulgence, LTD and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Raw Indulgence, LTD

I, Bryan Theis, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Byan D

Dated: March 8, 2012

Bryan Theis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**; "THE SAFE DRINKING **WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY**" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Alice Benedetto, President Raw Indulgence, LTD P. O. Box 359 Hawthorne, NY 10532

Alice Benedetto, President 923 Saw Mill River Road Ardsley, NY 10502 David Gordon, Esq. (Raw Indulgence, LTD Registered Agent for Service of Process) Lower Level 200 East Post Road White Plains, NY 10601

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties **on the Service List attached hereto**, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on March 8, 2012, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Amber Schaub

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

WRAITH LAW

16485 LAGUNA CANYON ROAD SUITE 250 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618 Tel (949) 251-9977 Fax (949) 251-9978

December 13, 2013

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

<u>General Information about Proposition 65.</u> A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

<u>Alleged Violator</u>. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

Raw Indulgence, LTD

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

1) Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Cherry Chocolate Chunk – Lead

- 2) Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Heavenly Hazelnut Chocolate Lead
- 3) Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Golden Cashew Lead
- 4) Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate Coconut Bliss - Lead
- 5) Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Chocolate Raspberry Truffle – Lead
- 6) Raw Indulgence Ltd. Raw Revolution Organic Live Food Bar Spirulina Dream Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least December 13, 2010, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

William Falaith

William F. Wraith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Raw Indulgence, LTD and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Raw Indulgence, LTD

I, William F. Wraith, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 13, 2013

William F Wraith

William Falaith

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On December 13, 2013, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE **DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Raw Indulgence, LTD P. O. Box 359

Hawthorne, NY 10532

Current President or CEO Raw Indulgence, LTD 923 Saw Mill River Road Ardsley, NY 10502 David Gordon, Esq. (Raw Indulgence, LTD Registered Agent for Service of Process) Lower Level 200 East Post Road White Plains, NY 10601

On December 13, 2013, I electronically served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)** on the following party by uploading a true and correct copy thereof on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On December 13, 2013, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on December 13, 2013, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Tiffany Capehart

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq*. December 13, 2013

Page 6

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville. CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113