UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STACY SCIORTINO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

PEPSICO, INC.,

V.

Defendant.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Case No. 14-CV-478-EMC, consolidated for pretrial purposes with Case Nos. 14-713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2023

CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") is made and entered into by and between Plaintiff Mary Hall ("Plaintiff") on behalf of herself and the Settlement Class defined herein, and Defendant PepsiCo, Inc. ("PepsiCo") to settle, resolve, and discharge the Released Claims, as defined below, in consideration for and subject to the promises, terms, and conditions contained herein, subject to Court approval pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2014, the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") filed the first lawsuit on behalf of all California consumers that raised Proposition 65 challenges to the levels of 4-methylimidazole ("4-MEI") in certain PepsiCo beverages and sought civil penalties as well as injunctive relief. *Ctr. for Envtl. Health v. Pepsi Beverages Co.*, No. RG14-11020 (Alameda Super. Ct. Jan. 23, 2014) (hereinafter the "*CEH* action").

WHEREAS, several plaintiffs filed nine separate putative class action lawsuits in federal courts throughout California, each alleging that certain PepsiCo beverages contained 4-MEI at levels that violated California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") and that PepsiCo should have warned consumers about the presence of 4-MEI in its products.

WHEREAS, eight of the putative class actions were consolidated into one action and assigned to Judge Edward M. Chen, and the ninth (*Riva v. PepsiCo, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-2020-EMC) was dismissed with prejudice.

WHEREAS, the plaintiffs in these consolidated actions, captioned *Sciortino v. PepsiCo, Inc.*, Case No. 14-cv-00478-EMC (N.D. Cal.), filed a Consolidated Amended Complaint ("CAC") in the Northern District of California on August 25, 2014, alleging claims on behalf of a putative statewide class of California consumers who purchased PepsiCo beverages after January 23, 2010, based on violations of Proposition 65, the Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.*; "UCL"), and the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 *et seq.*; "CLRA"), and seeking civil penalties, damages, restitution, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREAS, on October 24, 2014, PepsiCo filed a motion to dismiss, which plaintiffs

opposed, and which was granted in part and denied in part by the Court on June 5, 2015.

WHEREAS, on September 17, 2015, CEH and PepsiCo finalized a binding consent judgment, resolving the *CEH* action, in which PepsiCo, while maintaining that it fully complied with Proposition 65 at all relevant times, agreed to the following injunctive relief, effective on or after January 1, 2016: (1) ensuring its caramel coloring suppliers meet certain 4-MEI levels in products shipped for sale in California; (2) ensuring the 4-MEI levels in PepsiCo products shipped for sale in California will not exceed the level of 100 parts per billion; and (3) conducting testing of its products pursuant to an agreed protocol.

WHEREAS, following the Court's order on PepsiCo's Motion to Dismiss and preliminary discovery, the parties met in person to discuss PepsiCo's compliance with Proposition 65, including data that PepsiCo maintains establishes its compliance throughout the relevant time period. The parties were assisted in these discussions by their respective experts, and had several follow-up settlement discussions.

WHEREAS, following these substantive in-person discussions, the parties engaged in armslength settlement negotiations supervised by Judge Ronald M. Sabraw (Ret.) serving as mediator.

WHEREAS, throughout their settlement discussions, the parties engaged in an extensive evaluation of the relevant facts and law, including PepsiCo's stated compliance with Proposition 65, the issues surrounding consumers' consumption of 4-MEI, as well as the settlement of the *CEH* action, and the parties carefully considered the risks and uncertainties of continued litigation and all factors bearing on the merits of settlement.

NOW THEREFORE, subject to the final approval of the Court as required herein and by applicable law and rules, the parties hereby agree, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, that any and all claims for injunctive and/or declaratory relief of any kind or character, at law or in equity, based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any PepsiCo product shall be settled, compromised and forever released upon the following terms and conditions.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SETTLEMENT

2

1. **DEFINITIONS**

4

3

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

1.1 "Action" means the consolidated action Sciortino v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 3:14-cv-

00478-EMC (N.D. Cal.), which includes and encompasses the following lawsuits:

As used herein, the following terms have the meanings set forth below:

- Cortina v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-00168-H-JMA (S.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2014);
- Langley v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-00713-EMC (N.D. Cal. Feb. 14, 2014);
- Aourout v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-01289-RGK (C.D. Cal. Feb. 20, 2014);
- Ree v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-00328-DOC-AN (C.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2014);
- Hall v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-01099-EMC (N.D. Cal. Mar. 7, 2014);
- Ibusuki v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-01724-MWF-PJW (C.D. Cal. Mar. 7, 2014); and
- Granados v. PepsiCo, Inc., No. 14-cv-01917-BRO (C.D. Cal. Mar. 13, 2014).
- 1.2 "Attorneys' Fees and Expenses" means such funds as may be awarded by the Court to Class Counsel to compensate Class Counsel for their fees and expenses in connection with the Action, as described in Paragraphs 11.1-11.6 of this Settlement Agreement.
- 1.3 "Class Counsel" means Plaintiff's Interim Co-Lead Counsel in the Action, the law firms of Pearson, Simon & Warshaw, LLP, and Glancy, Prongay & Murray LLP.
- 1.4 "Class Period" means January 23, 2010, until the Effective Date defined in Paragraph 1.7.
- 1.5 "Court" means the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Judge Edward M. Chen.
- 1.6 "Defense Counsel" means PepsiCo's counsel of record, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP and Arnold & Porter LLP.
- 1.7 "Effective Date" means the date by which the Judgment entered pursuant to this Settlement Agreement becomes Final.
- 1.8 "Fairness Hearing" means a hearing scheduled by the Court to determine the final fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement embodied in this Settlement Agreement,

provided that it grants preliminary approval.

- 1.9 "Final" means, with respect to any judicial ruling or order, that: (1) if no appeal, motion for reargument, motion for rehearing, petition for writ of certiorari, or other writ has been filed, the time has expired to file such an appeal, motion for reargument, motion for rehearing, petition for writ of certiorari, or other writ; or (2) if an appeal, motion for reargument, motion for rehearing, petition for a writ of certiorari, or other writ has been filed, the judicial ruling or order has been affirmed with no further right of review, or such appeal, motion, petition, or writ has been denied or dismissed with no further right of review. Any proceeding or order, or any appeal or petition for a writ of certiorari pertaining solely to any application for attorneys' fees or expenses will not in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming Final.
- 1.10 "Final Judgment and Order" means the order finally approving the terms of this Settlement Agreement and a separate judgment to be entered by the Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a), dismissing the Action with prejudice, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
 - 1.11 "Parties" means Plaintiff and Defendant PepsiCo.
 - 1.12 "Plaintiff" means the named plaintiff in this Action, Mary Hall.
- 1.13 "Preliminary Approval Order" means an order, providing for, among other things, preliminary approval of the Settlement.
- 1.14 "Products" or "Covered Products" means carbonated soft drinks containing caramel coloring manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by PepsiCo and its subsidiaries (including, but not limited to, Pepsi, Pepsi One, and Diet Pepsi) both prior to and following the Effective Date. Each flavor or variety of carbonated soft drink containing caramel color shall be considered an Individual Covered Product. Group I shall mean those Individual Covered Products that are not reduced calorie, while Group II shall mean those Individual Covered Products that are reduced calorie.
- 1.15 "Release" means the release and waiver set forth in Section 8 of this Settlement Agreement.
- 1.16 "Released Claims" means any and all claims for injunctive and/or declaratory relief of any kind or character—whether matured or unmatured, now known or unknown, liquidated or

unliquidated, preliminary or final, at law or in equity, whether before a local, state, or federal court, or state or federal administrative agency, commission, arbitrator(s) or otherwise—that the Settlement Class Members now have or may have, from the beginning of the Class Period up until and including the Effective Date, based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any Products.

- 1.17 "Released Persons" means PepsiCo, its parents, divisions, affiliates, subsidiary companies, joint venturers, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys, and each entity to which they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees.
- 1.18 "Sampling Methodology" means the testing of representative samples of each of the ten units of Individual Covered Products for purposes of demonstrating compliance with the Target Level as set forth in Paragraph 1.26. This testing must be taken daily over no less than a ten-day (10) period from such Covered Products produced at locations that supply such Covered Products in the United States or purchased from ten (10) different locations spread over at least five different zip codes nationwide.
- 1.19 "Settlement" means the settlement set forth and reflected in this Settlement Agreement.
- 1.20 "Settlement Agreement" means this agreement and its Exhibit, attached hereto and incorporated herein, including all subsequent amendments agreed to in writing by the Parties and any exhibits to such amendments.
- 1.21 "Settlement Class" has the meaning set forth in Paragraph 4.3 of this Settlement Agreement.
- 1.22 "Settlement Class Member(s)" means any person who falls within the definition of the Settlement Class set forth in Paragraph 4.3.
- 1.23 "Settling Parties" means, collectively, PepsiCo, Plaintiff, and all Settlement Class Members and Released Persons.
- 1.24 "Shipped for sale in the United States" means Covered Products that PepsiCo manufactures and either directly ships in the United States for sale in the United States, or sells to a

distributor who PepsiCo knows will sell the Covered Products to consumers in the United States.

- 1.25 "Target Date" means one-hundred and eighty (180) days from the Effective Date. Upon the Target Date, the level of 4-MEI in the Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States will be no more than the level of 100 parts per billion, measured by the weighted average pursuant to the protocol described in Paragraph 5.1.3.
- 1.26 "Target Level" means 100 parts per billion, measured by the weighted average pursuant to the protocol described in Paragraph 5.1.3.
- 1.27 The plural of any defined term includes the singular, and the singular of any defined term includes the plural, as the case may be.

2. <u>DENIAL OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY</u>

- 2.1 PepsiCo denies the material factual allegations and legal claims asserted by the Plaintiff in the Action, including any and all charges of wrongdoing or liability arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts or omissions alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the Action. Similarly, this Settlement Agreement provides for no admission of wrongdoing or liability by any of the Released Persons. This Settlement is entered into solely to eliminate the uncertainties, burdens, and expenses of protracted litigation.
 - 2.2 The Parties further recognize that:
- 2.2.1 4-MEI is formed as a byproduct when certain foods, beverages, and ingredients, such as the caramel color used as an ingredient in the Products, are heated or otherwise processed; and
- 2.2.2 Variations in levels of 4-MEI formation are due to a wide variety of factors in the raw material and may vary significantly from batch to batch.
 - 2.3 PepsiCo further notes that:
- 2.3.1 As of the date of this Settlement Agreement, the U.S. Food & Drug Administration's current position on 4-MEI is as follows: "Based on the available information, FDA has no reason to believe that there is any immediate or short-term danger presented by 4-MEI at the levels expected in food from the use of caramel coloring."; and
 - 2.3.2 As of the date of this Settlement Agreement, the European Food Safety

Authority (EFSA) has concluded that it has no concerns about Europeans being exposed to 4-MEI from the use of caramel coloring in food.

3. THE BENEFITS OF SETTLEMENT

- 3.1 Class Counsel believes that the proposed settlement set forth in this Settlement Agreement confers substantial benefits upon the Settlement Class.
- 3.2 Class Counsel and Plaintiff recognize and acknowledge the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the Action against Defendant through trial and appeals.
- 3.3 Class Counsel also has taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any litigation, especially in complex actions such as this Action, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. Class Counsel is mindful of possible defenses related to the claims asserted in the Action under both Rule 23(b)(2) and Rule 23(b)(3). Based on their evaluation of all of these factors, Plaintiff and Class Counsel have determined that the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of Plaintiff and the Settlement Class.
- 3.4 PepsiCo further asserts that: (1) provisional approval of a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class would not be appropriate given the likely difficulty in maintaining a damages class under Rule 23(b)(3); (2) were Plaintiff to seek certification of a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class, she would face challenges that include, but are not limited to, the difficulties caused by the fact that individual consumption of PepsiCo products varies, the difficulty in establishing a violation of Proposition 65, the difficulty of proving economic injury on a class-wide basis, and the difficulties created by the absence of any affirmative statements by PepsiCo on the Products containing 4-MEI during the Class Period; and (3) Plaintiff cannot establish any statutory violation because PepsiCo complies with Proposition 65.

4. <u>SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION</u>

- 4.1 For purposes of settlement only, the Parties agree to seek provisional certification of the Settlement Class, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2).
- 4.2 The Parties further agree that the Court should make preliminary findings and enter the Preliminary Approval Order granting provisional certification of the Settlement Class subject to the final findings and approval in the Final Judgment and Order, and appointing Plaintiff as the

representative of the Settlement Class and Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class.

4.3 For purposes of the provisional certification, and consistent with the Amended Consolidated Complaint Plaintiff shall seek leave to file concurrently with her motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Class shall be defined as follows:

All individuals in the United States and all U.S. territories (including, but not limited to, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the other territories and possessions of the United States), who purchased one or more of the Products from January 23, 2010, until the date of the preliminary approval of the settlement of this litigation.

- 4.4 Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (a) persons or entities who purchased the Products for the purpose of resale or distribution; (b) persons who are employees, directors, officers, and agents of Defendant or its parent or subsidiary companies; (c) governmental entities; and (d) any judicial officer hearing this Action, as well as their immediate family members and employees.
- 4.5 PepsiCo does not consent to certification of the Settlement Class (or to the propriety of class treatment) for any purpose other than to effectuate the settlement of this Action. PepsiCo's agreement to provisional certification does not constitute an admission of wrongdoing, fault, liability, or damage of any kind to Plaintiff or any of the provisional Settlement Class Members.
- 4.6 If this Settlement Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms, disapproved by any court (including any appellate court), and/or not consummated for any reason, or the Effective Date for any reason does not occur, the order certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of effectuating the Settlement, and all preliminary and/or final findings regarding that class certification order, shall be automatically vacated upon notice of the same to the Court, the Action shall proceed as though the Settlement Class had never been certified pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and such findings had never been made, and the Action shall return to the procedural posture on December 21, 2015, in accordance with this paragraph. Neither party nor counsel shall refer to or invoke the vacated findings and/or order relating to class settlement or Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure if this Settlement Agreement is not consummated and the Action is later litigated and contested by Defendant under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. <u>SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

- 5.1 PepsiCo will provide the Settlement Class with mandatory, non-opt-out, nationwide injunctive relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) by way of modification of the ingredients for the Products as set forth in this Settlement Agreement. In consideration for the releases provided in this Settlement Agreement, PepsiCo will implement the following injunctive relief:
- 5.1.1 Specification Levels: On or before May 5, 2016, PepsiCo shall ensure that the specifications it provides to its supplier(s) of caramel coloring require that, in order for any individual shipment to be accepted by PepsiCo for use in the Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States on or after July 5, 2016, the level of 4-MEI in the caramel coloring must fall within a minimum and maximum range such that the midpoint of that range shall be a level of 4-MEI that—taking into account the caramel color content in the formulation of each Individual Covered Product—results in a 4-MEI concentration of no more than 81 parts per billion for all Covered Products, measured by the weighted average pursuant to the protocol described below in Paragraph 5.1.3. PepsiCo shall continue its program of research, development, and implementation of technologies and methods intended to reduce the presence of 4-MEI in the Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States.
- 5.1.2 <u>Target Level and Target Date</u>: PepsiCo shall ensure that the level of 4-MEI in its Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States on or after the Target Date is no more than the level of 100 parts per billion, measured by the weighted average pursuant to the protocol described below in Paragraph 5.1.3. PepsiCo shall not be considered to have achieved the Target Level if, as of the Target Date:
 - a) The weighted average (pursuant to the protocol described in Paragraph 5.1.3(e)) of the 4-MEI in Group I of the Covered Products exceeds the Target Level; or
 - b) The weighted average (pursuant to the protocol described in Paragraph 5.1.3(e)) of the 4-MEI in Group II of the Covered Products exceeds the Target Level; or

- c) The average of the 4-MEI concentration in any Individual Covered Product, as determined in accordance with the protocol described in Paragraph 5.1.3, exceeds the Target Level by more than 15 percent; or
- d) The 4-MEI concentration in any single unit of any Individual Covered Product exceeds the Target Level by more than 50 percent.

5.1.3 Testing:

- a) Testing for 4-MEI shall be performed using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). To compensate for matrix effects, the test method shall use deuterated 4-MEI surrogate, solid phase extraction (SPE) to isolate 4-MEI and the deuterated surrogate from the carbonated soft drink matrix, and standard addition calibration. The parties agree that the test methodology described in "Simultaneous Quantitation of 2-Acetyl-4-tetrahydroxybutylimidazole, 2- and 4-Methylimidazoles, and 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural in Beverages by Ultrahigh-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry" by Jinyuan Wang and William C. Schnute (60 J. Agric. Food Chem. 917-921 (2012)) is satisfactory under this Settlement Agreement.
- b) Representative samples of each of the ten units of Individual Covered Products to be tested for purposes of demonstrating compliance with the Target Level must be taken pursuant to the Sampling Methodology.
- c) The weighted average for all Covered Products is to be calculated by the following formula: Multiply the unweighted average of the 4-MEI concentration (established by the Sampling Methodology) of all Individual Covered Products within a Group by that Group's fraction of total sales volume (net of returns) for both Groups to be included in the weighted average of the Covered Products, and thereafter sum the two adjusted concentrations for both.

- d) The weighted average for a Group of Covered Products is to be calculated by the following formula: Multiply the average of the 4-MEI concentration (established by the Sampling Methodology) of each Individual Covered Product within a Group by that Individual Covered Product's fraction of the total sales volume (net of returns) for all Individual Covered Products within the Group, and thereafter sum the adjusted concentrations for each Individual Covered Product.
- e) The average for an Individual Covered Product is to be calculated by the following formula: Sum the 4-MEI concentration (established by the Sampling Methodology) of each sample of the Individual Covered Product and divide by the number of samples.
- Individual Covered Product, the testing protocol set forth in Paragraph 5.1.3 shall be used on one single-size can or bottle in a case containing 24 such units, with the remaining 23 units in such case retained for no less than 60 days following communication of the test result to the opposing Party so that, should a dispute arise concerning the validity of the testing, the opposing Party, on request, may test up to 12 of such units at its own expense.
- g) For the purposes of computing weighted averages, sales volume for each Group and for total sales volume for the Covered Products shall be based upon the most current 52-week IRI InfoScan data (in dollars, net of returns) for the United States available to PepsiCo as of the date of sampling.
- h) All specifications, formulations, and test results of 4-MEI concentrations, including sales volumes of any or all of the Covered Products, shall be considered confidential information that is proprietary to PepsiCo and not subject to public disclosure.

- i) Testing of Covered Products to demonstrate compliance with this

 Paragraph shall be conducted and/or supervised by either (i) a third

 party under contract to and paid by PepsiCo, or (ii) PepsiCo itself under
 a protocol previously agreed upon by the Parties.
- j) A weighted average of the samples that is at or below the Target Level with a 95% confidence level, i.e., p<0.05, using stratified random sampling, shall be deemed in compliance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement.
- 5.1.4 Extension of Target Date: PepsiCo shall endeavor in good faith, using commercially and technologically reasonable efforts to achieve the Target Level in the Products shipped for sale in the United States by the Target Date. However, at least 60 days prior to the Target Date, PepsiCo may initiate a meet and confer session with Class Counsel and Plaintiff regarding a possible extension of the Target Date. Upon timely application to the Court prior to the passing of the Target Date, and for good cause shown based on PepsiCo's diligence and good faith efforts as well as reported progress to date, this Settlement Agreement shall be then modified to extend the Target Date by no more than two (2) months.
- 5.2 Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall prevent PepsiCo from implementing the changes referenced in Paragraph 5.1 (or other product changes) prior to the Effective Date. The terms and requirements of the injunctive relief described in Paragraph 5.1 shall expire on the earliest of the following dates: (a) five (5) years after the Effective Date; or (b) the date upon which there are changes to any state and/or federal statute, regulation, policy, and/or code in the future that would impose other, further, different and/or conflicting obligations or duties on PepsiCo with respect to the Products.
- 5.3 Plaintiff and Class Counsel agree, on behalf of themselves and all Settlement Class Members, that this Settlement Agreement does not preclude PepsiCo from making further changes to its products: (a) that PepsiCo reasonably believes are necessary to comply with any statute, regulation, or other law of any kind; and/or (b) that are necessitated by product and/or ingredient changes, and/or that are necessary to ensure that PepsiCo provides accurate descriptions of its

products.

5.4 Within 60 days following the Target Date, PepsiCo shall provide Class Counsel with a verification that PepsiCo has achieved the Target Level for the Products by the Target Date. During

the remaining term of this Settlement Agreement (as provided in Paragraph 5.2), Class Counsel shall have the right to request two (2) additional verifications that PepsiCo has complied with its

obligations to meet the Target Level for the Products.

6. **JURISDICTION**

6.1 The Parties consent to exclusive jurisdiction and venue for any claim relating to this Settlement Agreement (including all claims for enforcement of this Settlement Agreement and/or all claims arising out of a breach of this Settlement Agreement) as well as any future claims by any Settlement Class Member relating in any way to the Released Claims, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, before the Hon. Edward M. Chen.

7. <u>SUBMISSION OF THE SETTLEMENT TO THE COURT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL</u>

- 7.1 As soon as practicable but no later than fourteen (14) days following the signing of this Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel shall apply to the Court for entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. The Preliminary Approval Order shall, among other things:
- 7.1.1 Find that the requirements for provisional certification of the Settlement Class have been satisfied, appointing Plaintiff as the representative of the provisional Settlement Class and Class Counsel as counsel for the provisional Settlement Class;
- 7.1.2 Find that the Court will retain jurisdiction over all claims based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any PepsiCo product, including those not covered under the Settlement Agreement;
- 7.1.3 Schedule the Fairness Hearing on a date ordered by the Court, provided in the Preliminary Approval Order, and in compliance with applicable law, to determine whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and to determine whether a Final Judgment and Order should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice;
 - 7.1.4 Provide that all Settlement Class Members will be bound by the Final

Judgment and Order dismissing the Action with prejudice;

- 7.1.5 Establish dates by which the Parties shall file and serve all papers in support of the application for final approval of the Settlement; and
- 7.1.6 Pending the Fairness Hearing, stay all proceedings in the Action, other than the proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order.
- 7.2 At the Fairness Hearing, the Parties shall seek to obtain from the Court the Final Judgment and Order in the form substantially similar to Exhibit "A." The Final Judgment and Order shall, among other things:
- 7.2.1 Find that the Court has personal jurisdiction over all Settlement Class Members, that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted in the Action, and that the venue is proper;
- 7.2.2 Finally approve this Settlement Agreement and the Settlement pursuant to Rule23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 7.2.3 Certify the Settlement Class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) for purposes of settlement only;
 - 7.2.4 Find that notice to the Rule 23(b)(2) class is not necessary;
- 7.2.5 Incorporate the Releases set forth in this Settlement Agreement and make the Releases effective as of the Effective Date;
- 7.2.6 Issue the injunctive relief described in Paragraph 5 of this Settlement Agreement;
 - 7.2.7 Authorize the Parties to implement the terms of the Settlement;
- 7.2.8 Dismiss the Action with prejudice and enter a separate judgment pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 7.2.9 Retain exclusive jurisdiction over the Parties and anyone giving or receiving a release under the Settlement for all matters relating to the Settlement, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Settlement. The Parties and Settlement Class Members and their counsel submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for the purposes of implementing

and enforcing the Settlement, as well as for consideration of any future claims not covered under the Settlement Agreement as provided in Paragraph 6.1; and

7.2.10 Find that there are inherent risks in certifying a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class and that were Plaintiff to seek certification of a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class, she would face challenges that include, but are not limited to, the difficulties caused by individual variances in the consumption of PepsiCo products, proving a violation of Proposition 65 on a class-wide basis, proving economic injury on a class-wide basis, and the absence of any affirmative statements by PepsiCo on the Product labels about 4-MEI during the Class Period.

8. RELEASES AND DISMISSAL OF ACTION

- Releases are a material part of the settlement for PepsiCo. The Releases will be in favor of PepsiCo and its parents, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys, and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (the "Released Persons"). The Released Claims shall be construed as broadly as possible to effect complete finality over this Action involving claims based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any Products.
- 8.2 Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff will be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment and Order will have fully, finally, and forever released any and all claims, including personal injury and damages, known and unknown, as well as provided a waiver under California Civil Code Section 1542. Plaintiff is forever enjoined from taking any action seeking injunctive and/or declaratory relief against PepsiCo based on the Released Claims.
- 8.3 Upon the Effective Date, the Settlement Class Members will be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment and Order will have fully, finally, and forever released any and all claims for injunctive and/or declaratory relief of any kind or character, at law or equity, known or unknown, preliminary or final, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) or any other federal or state law or rule of procedure, from the beginning of the Class Period until and including the Effective Date, based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any Products.

Gibson, Dunn &

Crutcher LLP

- 8.3.1 Settlement Class Members will not release claims for personal injury, wrongful death, or damages, and for that reason no notice or opt-out right is required.
- 8.3.2 Settlement Class Members are forever enjoined from taking any action seeking injunctive and/or declaratory relief against PepsiCo based on the Released Claims.
- 8.4 PepsiCo will release, waive, and discharge, on the Effective Date, all legal claims, causes of action, cross-claims, or counter-claims against Plaintiff, the Settlement Class Members, Class Counsel, and the attorneys of record in any of the actions consolidated in the Action, arising from or related to the Products and claims at issue in the Action, or in any of the actions consolidated into the Action.
- 8.5 After entering into this Settlement Agreement, the Parties may discover facts other than, different from, or in addition to, those that they know or believe to be true with respect to the claims released by this Settlement Agreement, but they intend to release fully, finally and forever the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the Releases will remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. With respect to the Released Claims, Plaintiff (on behalf of herself and the Settlement Class Members), through her counsel, expressly, knowingly, and voluntarily waives any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by California Civil Code Section 1542 and any statute, rule, and legal doctrine similar, comparable, or equivalent to California Civil Code Section 1542, which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

- 8.6 Notwithstanding the preceding paragraph, Settlement Class Members are not releasing any known or unknown claims for personal injury, wrongful death, or damages. The Parties acknowledge, and by operation of law shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the waiver of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (and any similar State laws) with respect to the claims released by this Settlement Agreement was separately bargained for and was a key element of the Settlement.
 - 8.7 For the avoidance of doubt, the mutual releases above in this section include only

claims related to the Products.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11 12

14 15 16

13

17 18

19

20

21 22

24

23

25 26

28

27

8.8 By operation of the Final Judgment and Order, the Action will be dismissed with prejudice.

8.9 Upon the Effective Date: (a) this Settlement Agreement shall be the exclusive remedy for any and all Released Claims of Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members; and (b) Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members stipulate to be and shall be permanently barred and enjoined by Court order from initiating, asserting, or prosecuting against Released Persons in any federal or state court or tribunal any and all Released Claims.

9. <u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND STATEMENT OF NO PENDING CLAIMS</u>

9.1 As inducement to PepsiCo to enter into this Agreement, Class Counsel, including the undersigned law firms of Pearson, Simon & Warshaw, LLP, and Glancy, Prongay & Murray LLP, represent and warrant that they do not presently have clients who are intending to advance any other charges, lawsuits, or claims of any kind against the Released Persons based on the same or similar issues as those presented in the Action, or that otherwise relate to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any PepsiCo product. Further, Class Counsel represent and warrant that they are aware of no person or entity (other than Plaintiff) who has currently expressed intent to assert or file claims based on the Released Claims or that otherwise relate to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any PepsiCo product.

10. **NOTICE**

10.1 The Parties also agree that notice would be cost prohibitive. Further, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2), notice is discretionary for a settlement class certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) that provides for injunctive relief only and includes no damages release. See, e.g., Lilly v. Jamba Juice Co., No. 13-cv-02998-JST, 2015 WL 1248027, at *9 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2015); Kim v. Space Pencil, Inc., No. 11-cv-03796-LB, 2012 WL 5948951, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 28, 2012).

In the event that there is any order requiring notice (either by the Court or by any other court of competent jurisdiction), each Party shall have the unilateral option to withdraw from this Settlement Agreement, without prejudice, within thirty (30) days of such order. Upon withdrawal,

the Settlement proposed herein shall become null and void and shall have no force or effect, the Parties shall not be bound by this Settlement Agreement, and the Parties will be returned to their respective positions existing on December 21, 2015.

10.3 PepsiCo shall serve notice of the Settlement Agreement that meets the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1715, on the appropriate federal and state officials no later than ten (10) days following the filing of this Settlement Agreement with the Court.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

- 11.1 In accord with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) and relevant case law, Plaintiff will petition the Court for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses in the amount of \$500,000. PepsiCo agrees to pay the amount of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (if any) determined by the Court. The amount ordered by the Court (if any) shall be the sole monetary obligation paid by PepsiCo pursuant to this Settlement Agreement.
- 11.2 Upon a Court order so providing, any attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel by the Court shall be paid by PepsiCo within the later of (a) thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date, or (b) ten (10) business days after Class Counsel, following the Effective Date, has transmitted to PepsiCo instructions for payment.
 - 11.3 PepsiCo shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.4 Class Counsel shall have the sole and absolute discretion to allocate the Attorneys' Fees and Expenses amongst Class Counsel and any other attorneys for Plaintiff. PepsiCo shall have no liability or other responsibility for allocation of any such Attorneys' Fees and Expenses awarded, and, in the event that any dispute arises amongst Class Counsel and any other attorneys for Plaintiff relating to the allocation of fees, Class Counsel agree to hold PepsiCo harmless from any and all such liabilities, costs, and expenses of such dispute.
- 11.5 The Parties agree that Plaintiff may apply to the Court for a monetary payment (not to exceed \$4,000) for Plaintiff's services as class representative, and the Parties agree that the decision whether or not to award any such payment, and the amount of that payment, rests in the exclusive discretion of the Court. PepsiCo agrees to pay the amount (if any) determined by the Court. Plaintiff understands and acknowledges that she may receive no monetary payment, and her agreement to the

20 21

22

23

19

24

25 26

27

28

Settlement is not conditioned on the possibility of receiving monetary payment. Any payment ordered by the Court shall be payable by PepsiCo to Class Counsel by delivery of check(s) or by ACH wire transfer(s) within the later of (a) ten (10) business days after the Effective Date, or (b) ten (10) business days after Class Counsel, following the Effective Date, has transmitted to PepsiCo instructions for payment.

11.6 The procedure for and the allowance or disallowance by the Court of any application for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and/or reimbursement to be paid to Class Counsel, and the procedure for any payment to Plaintiff, are not part of the settlement of the Released Claims as set forth in this Settlement Agreement, and are to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement of the Released Claims as set forth in this Settlement Agreement. Any such separate order, finding, ruling, holding, or proceeding relating to any such applications for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and/or payment to Plaintiff, or any separate appeal from any separate order, finding, ruling, holding, or proceeding relating to them or reversal or modification of them, shall not operate to terminate or cancel this Settlement Agreement or otherwise affect or delay the finality of the Final Judgment and Order approving the Settlement. The Parties did not negotiate the Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to be sought by Class Counsel and/or the procedure for requesting a payment to Plaintiff until after reaching an agreement upon the relief provided to the Settlement Class.

12. MODIFICATION OR TERMINATION OF THE SETTLEMENT

- 12.1 This Settlement Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument signed by or on behalf of all Parties or their respective successors-in-interest and approval of the Court; provided, however that, after entry of the Final Judgment and Order, the Parties may by written agreement effect such amendments, modifications, or expansions of this Settlement Agreement and its implementing documents (including all exhibits hereto) without further notice to the Settlement Class or approval by the Court if such changes are consistent with the Court's Final Judgment and Order and do not materially alter, reduce, or limit the rights of Settlement Class Members under this Settlement Agreement.
 - 12.2 This Settlement Agreement and any Exhibits attached hereto constitute the entire

agreement among the Parties, and no representations, warranties, or inducements have been made to any Party concerning this Settlement Agreement or its Exhibits other than the representations, warranties, and covenants covered and memorialized in such documents. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Parties will bear their own respective fees and costs.

- 12.3 In the event the terms or conditions of this Settlement Agreement are modified by any court, either party in its sole discretion to be exercised within thirty (30) days after such modification may declare this Settlement Agreement null and void. For purposes of this paragraph, modifications include but are not limited to any modifications to the definitions of the Settlement Class, Settlement Class Members, Released Persons, or Released Claims, any modifications to the terms of the settlement consideration described in Paragraphs 5.1-5.4, and/or any requirement of notice to the Settlement Class.
- 12.4 In the event that a party exercises his/her/its option to withdraw from and terminate this Settlement Agreement, then the Settlement proposed herein shall become null and void and shall have no force or effect, the Parties shall not be bound by this Settlement Agreement, and the Parties will be returned to their respective positions existing on December 21, 2015.
- Agreement is terminated or fails to become effective in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties will be restored to their respective positions in the Action on December 21, 2015. In such event, the terms and provisions of this Settlement Agreement and the preliminary term sheet will have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and will not be used in this Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any Judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement will be treated as vacated.
- 12.6 Any application by Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses is to be considered by the Court separately and apart from its consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement, and any order or proceeding relating to the award of fees and expenses, or any appeal of any order or proceeding relating to the award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, or any appeal of any order relating thereto, shall not be grounds, or operate, to terminate or cancel this Settlement Agreement.

13. <u>MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS</u>

- 13.1 The Parties acknowledge that it is their intent to consummate this Settlement Agreement, and they agree to cooperate to the extent reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement all terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement and to exercise their best efforts to accomplish the foregoing terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement.
- 13.2 The Parties intend the Settlement Agreement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes between them with respect to the Action. The Settlement Agreement compromises claims that are contested and will not be deemed an admission by PepsiCo or Plaintiff as to the merits of any claim or defense.
- 13.3 The Parties agree that the consideration provided to the Settlement Class and the other terms of the Settlement Agreement were negotiated at arm's length, in good faith by the Parties, and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily, after consultation with competent legal counsel, and with the assistance of an independent, neutral mediator, former California state court Judge Ronald M. Sabraw. This Settlement Agreement is entered into solely to eliminate the uncertainties, burdens, and expenses of protracted litigation.
- 13.4 Neither this Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Settlement Agreement is, or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, an admission or evidence of the validity of any Released Claims, or of any wrongdoing or liability of PepsiCo or any other Released Person; or is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission or evidence of, any presumption, concession, or admission by a Party of the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiff or defense asserted by PepsiCo, or any fault or omission of PepsiCo or any other Released Person in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal; or is or may be deemed to be or may be offered or received by or against any Party as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to a decision by any court regarding the certification of a class, or construed as an admission or concession by Plaintiff, the Settlement Class, or PepsiCo that the consideration to be given in this Settlement Agreement represents the relief that could or would have been obtained through trial in the Action.
 - 13.5 Any party to this Action or any other Released Person may file this Settlement

Agreement in any action that may be brought against it in order to support any defense or counterclaim, including without limitation those based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

- 13.6 All agreements made and orders entered during the course of the Action relating to the confidentiality of information will survive this Settlement Agreement.
- 13.7 Any and all Exhibits to this Settlement Agreement, which are identified in the Settlement Agreement and attached hereto, are material and integral parts hereof and are fully incorporated herein by this reference.
- 13.8 This Settlement Agreement and its accompanying Exhibits set forth the entire understanding of the Parties. No change or termination of this Settlement Agreement shall be effective unless in writing and signed by Class Counsel and Defense Counsel. No extrinsic evidence or parol evidence shall be used to interpret this Settlement Agreement. Any and all previous agreements and understandings between or among the Parties regarding the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement, whether written or oral, are superseded and hereby revoked by this Settlement Agreement. The Parties expressly agree that the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement will control over any other written or oral agreements.
- Exhibits on behalf of any Party hereby warrants that such person has the full authority to do so. Class Counsel, on behalf of the Settlement Class, is expressly authorized by Plaintiff to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by the Settlement Class pursuant to this Settlement Agreement to effectuate its terms, and is expressly authorized to enter into any modifications or amendments to this Settlement Agreement on behalf of the Settlement Class that Class Counsel deems appropriate.
- 13.10 All of the Parties warrant and represent that they are agreeing to the terms of this Settlement Agreement based upon the legal advice of their respective attorneys, that they have been afforded the opportunity to discuss the contents of this Settlement Agreement with their attorneys and that the terms and conditions of this document are fully understood and voluntarily accepted.

- 13.11 This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument. A complete set of original counterparts will be filed with the Court.
- 13.12 This Settlement Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Parties.
- 13.13 None of the Parties, or their respective counsel, shall be deemed the drafter of this Settlement Agreement or its Exhibits for purposes of construing the provisions thereof. The language in all parts of this Settlement Agreement and its Exhibits will be interpreted according to its fair meaning and will not be interpreted for or against any of the Parties as the drafter thereof.
- 13.14 Except in connection with any legal proceeding or court filing, Plaintiff and Class Counsel will not issue any press release or communicate with the media regarding the Settlement or the Action without the prior approval of PepsiCo. However, if Plaintiff or Class Counsel receive an inquiry from any third party (excluding Settlement Class Members who identify themselves as such), they may decline to comment, refer to the complaint, make accurate statements regarding the status of the settlement approval process, or defer to the Court file.
- 13.15 The provisions of the confidentiality agreement entered into with respect to the mediation process concerning this matter are waived for the limited purpose of permitting the Parties to confirm that they participated in the mediation, the identity of the mediator, and that the mediation process was successful.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed and caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed, dated as of April 19, 2016.

By: Mary Hall Plaintiff Mary Hall

py, _____

On Behalf of Defendant PepsiCo, Inc.

- 13.11 This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument. A complete set of original counterparts will be filed with the Court.
- 13.12 This Settlement Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Parties.
- 13.13 None of the Parties, or their respective counsel, shall be deemed the drafter of this Settlement Agreement or its Exhibits for purposes of construing the provisions thereof. The language in all parts of this Settlement Agreement and its Exhibits will be interpreted according to its fair meaning and will not be interpreted for or against any of the Parties as the drafter thereof.
- 13.14 Except in connection with any legal proceeding or court filing, Plaintiff and Class Counsel will not issue any press release or communicate with the media regarding the Settlement or the Action without the prior approval of PepsiCo. However, if Plaintiff or Class Counsel receive an inquiry from any third party (excluding Settlement Class Members who identify themselves as such), they may decline to comment, refer to the complaint, make accurate statements regarding the status of the settlement approval process, or defer to the Court file.
- 13.15 The provisions of the confidentiality agreement entered into with respect to the mediation process concerning this matter are waived for the limited purpose of permitting the Parties to confirm that they participated in the mediation, the identity of the mediator, and that the mediation process was successful.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed and caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed, dated as of April___, 2016.

Ву:	
	PLAINTIFF MARY HALL
Div	Ban Apisonan
	chalf of Defendant PepsiCo, Inc.

.28

1	PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
2	
3	By: DANIEL L. WARSHAW
4	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed
5	Class
6	GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP
7	
8	By:
9	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
10	Cruss
11	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
12 13	By: CHRISTOPHER CHORBA
14	On Behalf of Defendant PepsiCo, Inc.
	102054610.28
15	102034010,20
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	102054610.28	PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP By DANIEL L. WARSHAW Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP By: MARC L. GODINO Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP By: CHRISTOPHER CHORBA On Behalf of Defendant PepsiCo, Inc.
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20		

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STACY SCIORTINO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

PEPSICO, INC.,

v.

Defendant.

Case No. 14-CV-478-EMC, consolidated for pretrial purposes with Case Nos. 14-713, 14-1099, 14-1105, 14-1192, 14-1193, 14-1316, 14-2023

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL TO CLASS ACTION **SETTLEMENT**

The Court has considered the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), dated April ___, 2016, the Parties' motion for an order finally approving the Settlement Agreement, the record in this Action, the arguments and recommendations made by counsel, and the requirements of the law. The Court finds and orders as follows:

I. FINAL APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. The Settlement Agreement is approved under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement it incorporates appear fair, reasonable, and adequate, and its terms are within the range of reasonableness. The Settlement Agreement was entered into at arm's-length by experienced counsel after extensive negotiations spanning months, including with the assistance of a third-party mediator, the Hon. Ronald M. Sabraw (Ret.). The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is not the result of collusion.

II. <u>DEFINED TERMS</u>

2. For purposes of this Final Judgment and Order ("Order"), the Court adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

III. NO ADMISSIONS

3. Neither this Order nor the Settlement Agreement constitutes or shall be used as an admission of wrongdoing by any of the Released Persons or to establish a violation of any law or duty, nor shall it constitute an admission that the 4-methylimidazole ("4-MEI") in the Covered Products (or in other foods or beverages) poses any risk to human health or requires any disclosure or warning to consumers.

IV. JURISDICTION

4. For purposes of the Settlement of the Action, the Court finds it has subject matter and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, including all Settlement Class Members, and venue is proper.

V. <u>CLASS CERTIFICATION OF RULE 23(B)(2) CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY</u>

5. The Court finds and concludes that, for the purposes of approving this Settlement only, the proposed Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all

23 24

25 26

28

27

members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims or defenses of Plaintiff are typical of the claims or defenses of the Settlement Class; (d) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class because Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the Settlement Class, and has retained counsel who are experienced and competent to prosecute this matter on behalf of the Settlement Class; and (e) the Defendant has acted on grounds that apply generally to the Settlement Class, so that final injunctive relief is appropriate respecting the Settlement Class as a whole.

- 6. The Settlement Agreement was reached after extensive investigation and motion practice in the Action, and was the result of protracted negotiations conducted by the Parties, over the course of several months, including with the assistance of a mediator, the Hon. Ronald M. Sabraw (Ret.). Plaintiff and Class Counsel maintain that the Action and the claims asserted therein are meritorious and that Plaintiff and the Class would have prevailed at trial. Defendant denies the material factual allegations and legal claims asserted by Plaintiff in this Action, maintains that a class would not be certifiable under any Rule, and that Plaintiff would not prevail at trial. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties have agreed to settle the Action pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, after considering, among other things: (a) the substantial benefits to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (b) the uncertainty of being able to prevail at trial; (c) the uncertainty relating to Defendant's defenses and the expense of additional motion practice in connection therewith; (d) the issues relating to proving damages on an individual Class Member basis; (e) the attendant risks of litigation, especially in complex actions such as this, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation; and (f) the desirability of consummating the Settlement promptly in order to provide effective relief to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class.
- 7. The Court accordingly certifies, for settlement purposes only, a Class under Rule 23(b)(2), consisting of all individuals in the United States and all U.S. territories (including, but not limited to, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the other territories and possessions of the United States), who purchased one or more of the Covered Products from January 23, 2010, until the date of the preliminary approval of the settlement of this litigation. Excluded from the Class are: (a) persons or

entities who purchased the Covered Products for the purpose of resale or distribution; (b) persons who are employees, directors, officers, and agents of Defendant or its parent or subsidiary companies; (c) governmental entities; and (d) any judicial officer hearing this Action, as well as their immediate family members and employees.

8. Additionally, the Court finds that there are inherent risks in certifying a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class and that were Plaintiff to seek certification of a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class, she would face challenges that include, but are not limited to, the difficulties caused by individual variances in the consumption of PepsiCo products, proving a violation of Proposition 65 on a classwide basis, proving economic injury on a class-wide basis, and the absence of any affirmative statements by PepsiCo on the Product labels about 4-MEI during the Class Period.

VI. NOTICE

9. Because the provision of notice is discretionary for a settlement class certified under Rule 23(b)(2) and because Settlement Class Members are not releasing claims for personal injury, wrongful death, or damages, no notice is required for the Class. *See*, *e.g.*, *Lilly v. Jamba Juice Co.*, No. 13-cv-02998-JST, 2015 WL 1248027, at *9 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2015); *Kim v. Space Pencil, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-03796-LB, 2012 WL 5948951, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 28, 2012).

VII. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASES

- 10. This Order constitutes a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the Settlement Class Members and PepsiCo, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, joint venturers, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (together, "Released Persons") of any claims based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any Covered Products that could have been brought prior to the Effective Date. This Release shall be applied to the maximum extent permitted by law.
- 11. Upon the Effective Date and by operation of this Order, Plaintiff will fully, finally, and forever release any and all claims, including personal injury and damages, known and unknown, as well as provide a waiver under California Civil Code Section 1542. Plaintiff is forever enjoined

9

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

> 24 25

> 26

23

27

28

from taking any action seeking injunctive and/or declaratory relief against PepsiCo based on the Released Claims.

- 12. Upon the Effective Date and by operation of this Order, the Settlement Class Members will fully, finally, and forever release any and all claims for injunctive and/or declaratory relief of any kind or character, at law or equity, known or unknown, preliminary or final, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) or any other federal or state law or rule of procedure, from the beginning of the Class Period until and including the Effective Date, based on or relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any Covered Products. Settlement Class Members do not release claims for personal injury, wrongful death, or damages.
- 13. Upon the Effective Date and by operation of this Order, PepsiCo will release, waive, and discharge all legal claims, causes of action, cross-claims, or counter-claims against Plaintiff, the Settlement Class Members, Class Counsel, the attorneys of record in any of the actions consolidated in the Action, arising from or related to the Covered Products and claims at issue in the Action or in any of the actions consolidated into the Action.
- 14. Compliance with the terms of this Order, including the Target Levels, resolves any issue during the Class Period concerning compliance by the Released Persons with any law relating in any way to the alleged presence of, or labeling for, 4-MEI and/or caramel color in any Covered Products. The Settlement Agreement and this Order shall be the exclusive remedy for any and all Released Claims of Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members. Accordingly, Settlement Class Members shall be forever enjoined by this Order from initiating, asserting, or prosecuting against Released Persons in any federal or state court or tribunal any and all Released Claims.

VIII. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

15. Specification Levels. On or before May 5, 2016, PepsiCo shall ensure that the specifications it provides to its supplier(s) of caramel coloring require that, in order for any individual shipment to be accepted by PepsiCo for use in the Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States on or after July 5, 2016, the level of 4-MEI in the caramel coloring must fall within a minimum and maximum range such that the midpoint of that range shall be a level of 4-MEI that—taking into account the caramel color content in the formulation of each Individual Covered Product—results in

a 4-MEI concentration of no more than 81 parts per billion for all Covered Products, measured by the weighted average pursuant to the protocol described below in paragraph 17. PepsiCo shall continue its program of research, development, and implementation of technologies and methods intended to reduce the presence of 4-MEI in the Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States.

- 16. Target Level and Target Date. Specifically, PepsiCo shall ensure that the level of 4-MEI in its Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States on or after the Target Date is no more than the level of 100 parts per billion, measured by the weighted average pursuant to the protocol described below in paragraph 17. PepsiCo shall not be considered to have achieved the Target Level if, as of the Target Date:
 - (a) The weighted average (pursuant to the protocol described in paragraph 17(e)) of the 4-MEI in Group I of the Covered Products exceeds the Target Level; or
 - (b) The weighted average (pursuant to the protocol described in paragraph 17(e)) of the 4-MEI in Group II of the Covered Products exceeds the Target Level; or
 - (c) The average of the 4-MEI concentration in any Individual Covered Product, as determined in accordance with the protocol described in paragraph 17, exceeds the Target Level by more than 15 percent; or
 - (d) The 4-MEI concentration in any single unit of any Individual Covered Product exceeds the Target Level by more than 50 percent.

17. Testing.

(a) Testing for 4-MEI shall be performed using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). To compensate for matrix effects, the test method shall use deuterated 4-MEI surrogate, solid phase extraction (SPE) to isolate 4-MEI and the deuterated surrogate from the carbonated soft drink matrix, and standard addition calibration. PepsiCo shall utilize the test methodology described in "Simultaneous Quantitation of 2-Acetyl-4-tetrahydroxybutylimidazole, 2- and 4-Methylimidazoles, and 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural in Beverages by Ultrahigh-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry" by Jinyuan Wang and William C. Schnute (60 J. Agric. Food Chem. 917-921 (2012)).

3

4

5

6

17

25 26

23

24

27 28

Representative samples of each of the ten units of Individual Covered Products (b) to be tested for purposes of demonstrating compliance with the Target Level shall be taken pursuant to the Sampling Methodology.

- (c) The weighted average for all Covered Products shall be calculated by the following formula: Multiply the unweighted average of the 4-MEI concentration (established by the Sampling Methodology) of all Individual Covered Products within a Group by that Group's fraction of total sales volume (net of returns) for both Groups to be included in the weighted average of the Covered Products, and thereafter sum the two adjusted concentrations for both.
- (d) The weighted average for a Group of Covered Products shall be calculated by the following formula: Multiply the average of the 4-MEI concentration (established by the Sampling Methodology) of each Individual Covered Product within a Group by that Individual Covered Product's fraction of the total sales volume (net of returns) for all Individual Covered Products within the Group, and thereafter sum the adjusted concentrations for each Individual Covered Product.
- (e) The average for an Individual Covered Product shall be calculated by the following formula: Sum the 4-MEI concentration (established by the Sampling Methodology) of each sample of the Individual Covered Product and divide by the number of samples.
- (f) For purposes of determining the concentration in a single unit of any Individual Covered Product, the testing protocol set forth in this paragraph 17 shall be used on one single-size can or bottle in a case containing 24 such units, with the remaining 23 units in such case retained for no less than 60 days following communication of the test result to the opposing Party so that, should a dispute arise concerning the validity of the testing, the opposing Party, on request, may test up to 12 of such units at its own expense.
- For the purposes of computing weighted averages, sales volume for each (g) Group and for total sales volume for the Covered Products shall be based upon the most current 52-week IRI InfoScan data (in dollars, net of returns) for the United States available to PepsiCo as of the date of sampling.

- (h) All specifications, formulations, and test results of 4-MEI concentrations, including sales volumes of any or all of the Covered Products, shall be considered confidential information that is proprietary to PepsiCo and not subject to public disclosure.
- (i) Testing of Covered Products to demonstrate compliance with this Paragraph shall be conducted and/or supervised by either (i) a third party under contract to and paid by PepsiCo, or (ii) PepsiCo itself under a protocol previously agreed upon by the Parties.
- (j) A weighted average of the samples that is at or below the Target Level with a 95% confidence level, i.e., p<0.05, using stratified random sampling, shall be deemed in compliance with the terms of this Order.
- 18. Extension of Target Date. PepsiCo shall endeavor in good faith, using commercially and technologically reasonable efforts to achieve the Target Level in the Covered Products shipped for sale in the United States by the Target Date. However, at least 60 days prior to the Target Date, PepsiCo may initiate a meet and confer session with Class Counsel and Plaintiff regarding a possible extension of the Target Date. Upon timely application to the Court prior to the passing of the Target Date, and for good cause shown based on PepsiCo's diligence and good faith efforts as well as reported progress to date, the Settlement Agreement shall be then modified to extend the Target Date by no more than 2 months.
- 19. <u>Duration of Injunction</u>. Nothing in this Order shall prevent PepsiCo from implementing the changes referenced in paragraphs 15-17 of this Order (or other product changes) prior to the Effective Date. The terms and requirements of the injunctive relief described in paragraphs 15-17 of this Order shall expire on the earliest of the following dates: (a) five (5) years after the Effective Date; or (b) the date upon which there are changes to any state and/or federal statute, regulation, policy, and/or code in the future that would impose other, further, different and/or conflicting obligations or duties on PepsiCo with respect to the Covered Products.
- 20. <u>Additional Changes to Covered Products</u>. This Order shall not preclude PepsiCo from making further changes to the Covered Products: (a) that PepsiCo reasonably believes are necessary to comply with any statute, regulation, or other law of any kind; and/or (b) that are necessitated by

product and/or ingredient changes, and/or that are necessary to ensure that PepsiCo provides accurate descriptions of its products.

21. <u>Verification</u>. Within 60 days following the Target Date, PepsiCo shall provide Class Counsel with a verification that PepsiCo has achieved the Target Level for the Covered Products by the Target Date. During the remaining term of this injunction as provided in Paragraph 19, Class Counsel shall have the right to request two (2) additional verifications that PepsiCo has complied with its obligations to meet the Target Level for the Covered Products.

IX. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES</u>

22. The Court's decision regarding the payment of attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel is addressed in a separate order.

X. <u>AUTHORIZATION TO PARTIES TO IMPLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MODIFICATIONS OF AGREEMENT</u>

23. By this Order, the Parties are hereby authorized to implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement. After the date of entry of this Order, the Parties may by written agreement effect such amendments, modifications, or expansions of the Settlement Agreement and its implementing documents (including all exhibits thereto) without further notice to the Settlement Class or approval by the Court if such changes are consistent with terms of this Order and do not materially alter, reduce, or limit the rights of Settlement Class Members under the Settlement Agreement.

XI. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

24. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over any claim relating to the Settlement Agreement (including all claims for enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and/or all claims arising out of a breach of the Settlement Agreement) as well as any future claims by any Settlement Class Member relating in any way to the Released Claims.

```
///
```

///

1	XII. <u>FINAL JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE</u>		
2	25. By operation of this Order, this Action is hereby dismissed with prejudice. A separat		
3	judgment shall be entered pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6	DATED:		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP