1 2	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 424 First Street	
3	Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900	
4	Facsimile: (707) 268-8901 E-mail: wverick@igc.org	
5		
6	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 1990 N. California Blvd., 8th Fl.	
7 8	Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (510) 847 2356 E-mail: dhwill7@gmail.com	
9		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF	SAN FRANCISCO
15	UNLIMITED JUR	ISDICTION
16	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC-14-539537
17	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY
18	v.	E.S. STARRETT CONTINUE
19		
- 1	APEX TOOL GROUP LIC: BATTENEELD	
20	APEX TOOL GROUP, LLC; BATTENFELD TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING CORP.: FROST CUTLERY:	
20   21	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY; FROST CORPORATION; OHAUS CORP.; THE	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014
	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY;	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014
21	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY; FROST CORPORATION; OHAUS CORP.; THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY; WEEMS & PLATH,	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014
21 22	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY; FROST CORPORATION; OHAUS CORP.; THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY; WEEMS & PLATH, INC.,	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014
21 22 23	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY; FROST CORPORATION; OHAUS CORP.; THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY; WEEMS & PLATH, INC.,	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014
21 22 23 24	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY; FROST CORPORATION; OHAUS CORP.; THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY; WEEMS & PLATH, INC.,	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014
21 22 23 24 25	TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BLAZER MANUFACTURING, CORP.; FROST CUTLERY; FROST CORPORATION; OHAUS CORP.; THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY; WEEMS & PLATH, INC.,	Complaint Filed: May 29, 2014

CONSENT JUDGMENT -L.S. STARRETT: CASE No. CGC-14-539537

{00308735.DOCX;2}DM1\4966176.1 R2259/00001

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

- acting on behalf of itself and the general public, previously filed a complaint ("Complaint") in this action for civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, against Defendant THE L.S. STARRETT COMPANY ("L.S. Starrett" or "Settling Defendant"). Mateel and L.S. Starrett are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties". The Complaint alleges, among other things, that L.S. Starrett manufactures or sells products made of, or containing components that are made of, brass with lead in violation of provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that L.S. Starrett knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to products which are made of, or with components that are handled and made of, leaded brass, such as punches and related products (collectively, "Brass Products"), without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.2 On March 6, 2014, Mateel sent a Notice of Violation letter concerning the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1.1 above pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) ("Notice Letter") to L.S. Starrett, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000.
- 1.3 Mateel alleges that L.S. Starrett manufactures and/or sells Brass Products; L.S. Starrett is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes, and/or markets Brass Products that may enter the State of California. These Brass Products are alleged to contain lead and/or lead compounds. Under specified circumstances, businesses that use products containing lead and/or lead compounds in the State of California are subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Mateel alleges that Brass Products that are made from leaded brass, or that have leaded brass components, are manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Settling Defendant for use in California, such that a warning is required under Proposition 65.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1.4 On May 29,2014, following the expiration of the 60-day period following its issuance of the March 6, 2014 Notice Letter to L.S. Starrett without an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 having filed and enforcement action against L.S. Starrett for the claims alleged therein, Mateel filed the Complaint in which L.S. Starrett is included as a defendant. In the Complaint, Mateel alleges that L.S. Starrett violated Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to Brass Products made of brass, or which include a component made of brass, which contains lead and/or lead compounds, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.
- For purposes of settlement and the entry of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties 1.5 stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over L.S. Starrett as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged in, arising from, or related to the Complaint. For purposes of this Consent Judgment. "Covered Products" is defined to include Brass Products manufactured, distributed, sold or otherwise marketed for sale or use in California by L.S. Starrett which are not required to comply with AB 1953 (California Health & Safety Code §116875) or equivalent Federal law. Products which are the subject of AB 1953 or equivalent Federal law are expressly not addressed by this Consent Judgment, and no inference regarding compliance or violation with the requirements of Proposition 65 by such products is intended by this judgment. Regardless of whether or not they are subject to AB 1953 or equivalent Federal law, Covered Products also do not include products that are addressed in the Consent Judgment this Court previously entered in People v. American Standard, et al., No. 948017.
- 1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation of the Complaint, each and every

allegation of which L.S. Starrett denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of L.S. Starrett.

1.7 This Consent Judgment shall be effective on entry by the Court, the "Effective Date."

#### 2.0 SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall pay an aggregate of \$51,250.00 in total monetary relief, inclusive of Paragraph 2.2, below. Of the foregoing, a total of \$5,000 shall be paid in civil penalties. Mateel waives its right to receive 25 percent of this payment, and, accordingly, the entire \$5,000 shall be paid to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA").
- 2.2 A total amount of \$30,000 shall be paid by the Settling Defendant collectively to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") as reimbursement for attorney's fees and costs incurred by KELC on behalf of Plaintiff in investigating and prosecuting this matter and in negotiating this Consent Judgment on behalf of itself and in the public interest. An additional total of \$16,250 shall be paid by Settling Defendant in lieu of civil penalties, and/or as an offset for what may have been a larger reimbursement of Mateel's attorneys' fees had Mateel filed a motion for attorney's fees under Civil Procedure Code Section 1021.5. By this settlement, Mateel waives its right to file such a motion. The aforementioned payment in lieu of attorneys' fees shall be made in the form of two payments. One payment of \$11,250 to the Ecological Rights Foundation and one payment of \$5,000 to Californians for Alternatives to Toxics. The payments described in Paragraph 2.1 above and this Paragraph 2.2 shall be delivered within 5 court days after the Effective Date to William Verick, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501.
- 2.3 MEJF and KELC represent and warrant that Californians for Alternatives to Toxics and the Ecological Rights Foundation are tax exempt, section 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations and that funds distributed to these organizations pursuant to this Consent Judgment may only be spent to reduce harm from toxic chemicals, or to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals.
- 2.4 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, each side shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

# 3.0 ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment which shall constitute a full and final adjudication of all claims asserted or that could have been asserted in the Complaint. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

## 4.0 MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- As to lead and lead compounds from Covered Products, this Consent Judgment provides a full release of liability on behalf of Mateel and, as to those matters and claims raised in the 60 Day Notice Letter on behalf of the public interest to the Settling Defendant as well as their respective past, present and future officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, assigns, distributors, wholesalers and retailers (collectively, "Released Entities"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through and including the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment with respect to the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by L.S. Starrett following its entry by the Court shall be deemed to constitute full compliance with Proposition 65 as to lead and lead compounds in its Covered Products, provided, however, that the authority and discretion of the Office of the California Attorney General shall not be restricted in the event it chooses to undertake enforcement action in the future.
- As to alleged lead and lead compound exposures associated with Covered Products, Mateel on behalf of itself, and its privies, agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly, or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims as between Mateel and the Released Entities, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, agreements, promises, royalties, accountings, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against the Released Entities and their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the

successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, handle, distribute or sell the Covered Products or components found in the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, any claims regarding exposure to, and/or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have respecting the Covered Products, conferred upon it with respect to claims involving Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

4.3 Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to lead or lead compounds from, the Covered Products, Mateel will not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Released Entities, their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, representatives, attorneys, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, handle, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims and any other claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

# 5.0 ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties including on behalf of the Released Entities. The parties may, by noticed motion before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions

of this Consent Judgment. The parties agree that prior to any such enforcement proceeding, they will notify each other of any perceived violation of this Consent Judgment. The parties further agree to meet and confer in good faith in an effort for 30 days before such notice is given to resolve the alleged violation.

#### 6.0 MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The California Attorney General shall be entitled to at least 15 days' notice of any proposed modification before it is presented to the Court for approval.
- 6.2 Notwithstanding any other term or provision of this Consent Judgment, if Plaintiff, or the California Attorney General, and another (current or potential) defendant ("Future Settling Party") agree to or is otherwise bound by injunctive relief terms or provisions relating to the reformulation of, or provisions of Proposition 65 warnings for, products of like characteristics and use to those of Covered Products, which are more favorable to the Future Settling Party than this Consent Judgment otherwise provides to L.S. Starrett, then Plaintiff stipulates and agrees to not oppose any effort by L.S. Starrett to seek amendment or adjustment of injunctive relief terms provided for in Section 7 of this Consent Judgment to be modified to add such more favorable terms or provisions as an option.
- 6.3 Mateel shall give notice to L.S. Starrett, per Section 12, of all consent judgments entered into by Mateel described in Section 6.2 on or after the date of this Consent Judgment involving similar products to those at issue in this Consent Judgment, unless such consent judgments are posted on the public Proposition 65 web site maintained by the California Attorney General.

# 7.0 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – REFORMULATION/CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING

7.1 Covered Products' brass components shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 with respect to lead and lead compounds and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for these listed chemicals, if the brass that is part of the Covered Products is made of

an alloy which contains no intentionally added lead and no lead content by weight of more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300 ppm") or if the component containing leaded brass is not touched by a person during intended and reasonably foreseeable use of the Covered Product.

- 7.2 Mateel agrees, on its own behalf only, that for purposes of this Consent Judgment, as to the lead content of the brass components of any reformulated Covered Product, L.S. Starrett and any other Released Entities may rely upon the representations of their respective manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, official product certification organizations (such as IAPMO) or any other person in the course of doing business that manufactures, supplies or otherwise distributes the reformulated Covered Product(s), provided that the reliance is in good faith. Although good faith reliance regarding the alloy may also be established by other means, Mateel agrees that obtaining test results showing that the lead content is no more than 0.03% (300 ppm), using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm shall be deemed to establish good faith reliance.
- 7.3. Covered Products packaged for distribution or sale by or on behalf of L.S. Starrett 180 days or more following the Effective Date that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Section 7.1 of this Consent Judgment shall be accompanied by either of the following warning statements:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash your hands after handling this product.

or

**WARNING**: This product contains [one or more] chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. **Wash hands after handling**.

Bracketed language may be omitted at L.S. Starrett's option. The word "WARNING" shall be in bold and may be preceded by the word "CALIFORNIA," "PROP 65," or "CALIFORNIA PROP 65" at L.S. Starrett's option provided such words are also in bold.

The bracketed words "Wash hands after handling this product" or "Wash hands after

The bracketed words "wash hands after handling this product" of wash hands after

handling" may be replaced with "Wash hands after use" and shall be underlined, in bold, or italicized.

7.4 Any warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Any warning shall be provided in a manner such that the ordinary competent consumer or user should understand to which specific Covered Product the warning applies. Settling Defendant may provide warnings as specified in Section 7.3 as follows:

Affixed Warnings. L.S. Starrett may provide such warning on or attached to Covered Products or with the unit package of the Covered Products as packaged by Settling Defendant. Such warning shall be included with, affixed to, or printed on each Covered Product or its label, package or container in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product or near the product brand name, displayed price and/or UPC code, or in any other manner reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual.

Point of Sale Warnings. L.S. Starrett may alternatively perform its warning obligation by arranging for the posting of the shelf labeling, signs, menus, warning slips or a combination thereof as set forth in Health & Safety Code Section 25603.1 at retail outlets in the State of California where Covered Products are sold. In such instances, the Settling Defendant shall provide the warning specified in Section 7.3, and instructions for its use, with the shipping materials containing the Covered Product or otherwise ensure the installation of fixed adhesive warning placards on the Covered Product display shelves. Such warning and instructions shall be included with or affixed to each package box or other container containing Covered Product(s) or otherwise installed on the Covered Product display shelves with fixed adhesive warning placards. For a Point of Sale Warning to be considered reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual, the warning shall be posted at (1) each location in the store where the Covered Products are displayed and visible when the Covered Products are being viewed without the Covered Products being moved, or (2) for

stores with less than 7,500 square feet retail space, adjacent to each checkout counter, sales register, cash stand, cash wrap or similar check out location in the store. All warning signs must be displayed in such a manner that any potential purchaser would reasonably be expected to see the warning and adequately distinguish between brass products for which warnings are required and product which do not cause a lead exposure. If the point of sale warning is not posted in such a manner, or any other manner otherwise agreed to by the California Attorney General, the retail entity shall not benefit from the terms of this Consent Judgment, including the release of claims contained therein.

- 7.5 If L.S. Starrett ships Brass Products packaged for distribution or sale after 180 days following the Effective Date to a retailer or distributor outside of California that neither provide the warnings specified in Section 7.3 nor meet the warning exemption standard specified in Section 7.1 of this Consent Judgment ("Non-Conforming Brass Products"), and if the retailer or distributor then offers those Non-Conforming Brass Products for sale in California, then as to those Non-Conforming Brass Products, that retailer or distributor, and their customers, are not released pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above.
- 7.6 For Covered Products packaged for distribution or sale by L.S. Starrett more than 180 days following the Effective Date, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall create a limitation on a Proposition 65 enforcement action if such Covered Products are not in compliance with the injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment.

## 8.0 AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

8.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party.

# 9.0 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

#### 10.0 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the Covered Products, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations,

	[2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012] [2012	
1	commitments and understandings to them. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or impli	
2	other than those contained herein regarding the Covered Products have been made by any party.	
3	other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to	
4	bind any of the parties with respect to the Covered Products.	
5	11.0 GOVERNING LAW	
6	11.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be	
7	governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provision	
8	of California law.	
9	12.0 NOTICES	
10	12.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided	
11	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-	
12	class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight currier on any party l	
13	the other party at the following addresses:	
14 15 16	To Mateel: William Verick, Esq. Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501	
17 18 19 20	To L.S. Starrett: Lee N. Smith Perkins, Mann & Everett 7815 North Palm Avenue, Suite 200 Fresno, CA 93711-5531	
21		
22	13.0 COURT APPROVAL	
23	13.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
24	effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

10 CONSENT JUDGMENT –L.S. STARRETT: CASE NO. CGC-14-539537

{00308735.DOCX;2}

1	<i>   </i>	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
3	Dated: April 29, 2015  MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION	
4	FOUNDATION TO STORY	
5	BOWELL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL WILL	
6	William Verick CEO, Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center	
7	Kiamati Environnentai Law Centei	
8	Dated: April 29, 2015 THE L.S. STARRETTCOMPANY	
9	By: D. A. Starrett	
10		
11		
12	IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:	
13	, ·	
14	DATED:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24   25		
26		
27		
28		
	{00308735.DOCX;2} 11	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - L.S. STARRETT: CASE NO. CGC-14-539537	