1	Michael Freund (SBN 99687)					
1	Ryan Hoffman (SBN 283297)					
2	Michael Freund & Associates					
3	1919 Addison Street, Suite 105					
	Berkeley, CA 94704					
4	Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543					
5	1 acsimile. (510) 540-5545					
	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
6	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER					
7	Tours II No. 1 (CDN) 1 (CDN)					
	Trenton H. Norris (SBN 164781) trent.norris@aporter.com	·				
8	Sarah Esmaili (SBN 206053)					
9	sarah.esmaili@aporter.com					
	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP					
10	Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor					
11	San Francisco, California 94111-4024					
	Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 415-3400					
12	Pacsinine: (415) 415-3400					
13	Attorneys for Defendant					
••	ULTIMATE NUTRITION, INC.					
14						
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
	SOLEMON COOK OF TH	ESTATE OF CALIFORNIA				
16	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
17						
18	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	CASE NO. RG14737474				
10	CENTER, a California non-profit corporation,	STIPULATED CONSENT				
19	, corporation,	JUDGMENT				
20	Plaintiff,					
20		Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.				
21	v.	Action Filed: Assess 18 2014				
22	ULTIMATE NUTRITION, INC. and	Action Filed: August 18, 2014 Trial Date: None set				
	DOES 1-100,	The same same same same same same same sam				
23	. .					
24	Defendants.					
24		l .				
25	1. INTRODUCTION					
26	1.1 On August 19 2014 bt-t-deep					
ŀ	1.1 On August 18, 2014, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), a non-					
27	profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in t	he public interest, initiated this action by filing				
28	a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint")					
I I	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	CASE NO. RG14737474				

- 1.2 ERC and Ultimate Nutrition are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Ultimate Nutrition is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Ultimate Nutrition manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 On December 17, 2014, Ultimate Nutrition filed a voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut (the "Bankruptcy Court"), and since that time, has continued to operate as a debtor in possession under title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). See In re Ultimate Nutrition Products, Inc. Case No. 14-22402 (Bankr.D. Conn.). Ultimate Nutrition's Chapter 11 case before the Bankruptcy Court (the "Bankruptcy Case") remains pending.
 - 1.6 On April 13, 2015, ERC filed a Proof of Claim with the Bankruptcy Court

asserting a general unsecured claim against Ultimate Nutrition in the amount of \$5,000,000 based on the claims set forth in the Complaint (the "ERC Claim"). On October 8, 2015, Ultimate Nutrition filed with the Bankruptcy Court a Motion for Order to Estimate and Cap the Claim of Environmental Research Center, thereby seeking to estimate and cap the ERC Claim in the amount of \$0 pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Estimation Motion"), to which ERC filed an objection on November 4, 2015.

- 1.7 By separate stipulation, the Parties mutually resolved the Estimation Motion and ERC's objection thereto by stipulating that the ERC Claim shall be fixed in the amount of \$100,000 with the understanding and expectation that ERC would receive a distribution of 50% of that amount as a general unsecured creditor under the Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. and Prostar, Inc., filed with the Bankruptcy Court on November 18, 2015, as it may be amended or modified (the "Plan"). That stipulation is hereby incorporated and attached as Exhibit A (the "Bankruptcy Stipulation"), and includes as one of its provisions a lifting of the automatic stay imposed by the Bankruptcy Case only so that Ultimate Nutrition and ERC can enter into and obtain this Court's entry of this Consent Judgment. To the extent any material terms in this document contradict or alter the material terms in Exhibit A hereto, those terms in Exhibit A shall govern, control, and bind the Parties.
- 1.8 Ultimate Nutrition, the only named and identified Defendant in this case, is under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and, has filed or intends to promptly file with the Bankruptcy Court a motion for approval of the Bankruptcy Stipulation and this Consent Judgment pursuant to Fed.R.Bankr.P. 9019. As such, the effectiveness of this Consent Judgment is conditioned upon the Bankruptcy Court's approval of the Bankruptcy Stipulation.
- 1.9 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Sixty-Day Notice of Violation dated April 4, 2014, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Ultimate Nutrition ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached as Exhibit B and is hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Ultimate Nutrition with regard to the Covered

Products or the alleged violations.

- 1.10 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Ultimate Nutrition denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and it maintains that the Covered Products do not require warnings under Proposition 65.
- 1.11 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of ERC or Ultimate Nutrition of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Ultimate Nutrition of any fact, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment or any document referred to herein, shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession by Ultimate Nutrition as to any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The Parties agree that this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.12 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.13 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Ultimate Nutrition as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that, while the Bankruptcy Court has paramount jurisdiction to determine the allowance and amount of the ERC Claim, this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all non-monetary claims with respect to Covered Products manufactured up through and including the Compliance Date (as that term is defined in Section 3.1, below) which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- Any Covered Products that are manufactured 150 days after the Effective Date 3.1 (the "Compliance Date") that Ultimate Nutrition thereafter distributes into the State of California, offers for sale to a third party for retail sale in California, or directly sells in the State of California, shall either (1) contain no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as calculated pursuant to Section 3.1.3 and as validated by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4. or (2) meet the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Ultimate Nutrition knows will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Level" shall be calculated in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.
- 3.1.3 For purposes of Section 3, the term "no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day" means that for each of the Covered Products the samples tested by Ultimate Nutrition under Section 3.4 collectively yield a daily exposure of no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead when calculated using the formula for the Daily Lead Level set forth in Section 3.1.2 and after subtracting the amount of lead deemed to be "naturally occurring" for each ingredient listed in Table 3.1.3 below. The amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" in each of the Covered Products is the sum of the amounts of "naturally occurring" lead supplied by the quantity of

each ingredient listed in Table 3.1.3 that is present in each Covered Product. For each ingredient listed in Table 3.1.3, the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" is listed in Table 3.1.3 in micrograms of "naturally occurring" lead per gram of the ingredient that is contained in the Covered Product. If Ultimate Nutrition seeks to exclude naturally occurring lead in its calculation of overall lead content for any of the Covered Products, Ultimate Nutrition shall provide to ERC within fifteen (15) working days of ERC's written request, which ERC may make no earlier than the Compliance Date, a complete list of all ingredients in the Covered Products and the corresponding percentage and quantity in grams (rounded to the nearest one tenth of a gram) of each of the ingredients being used in each of the Covered Products and any other data (such as, without limitation, a written certification signed by a corporate officer) that independently confirms the percentage of the ingredients and quantity in grams of the ingredients being used in each Covered Product. Any documentation that Ultimate Nutrition submits to ERC pursuant to the terms of this Section shall be kept confidential.

Table 3.1.3

Ingredient	Amount of lead ("Pb") per gram of ingredient deemed naturally occurring
Calcium (elemental)	0.8 mcg Pb per gram of elemental calcium
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 mcg Pb per gram of ferrous fumarate
Zinc Oxide	8.0 mcg Pb per gram of zinc oxide
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 mcg Pb per gram of magnesium oxide
Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 mcg Pb per gram of magnesium carbonate
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 mcg Pb per gram of magnesium hydroxide
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 mcg Pb per gram of zinc gluconate
Potassium Chloride	1.1 mcg Pb per gram of potassium chloride
Cocoa Powder	1.0 mcg Pb per gram of cocoa powder

6

9

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24 25

26 27

28

If Ultimate Nutrition is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Ultimate Nutrition shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to Sections 3.1.3 and 3.4. The bracketed term "[lead,]" may be provided by Ultimate Nutrition at its option.

The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the Covered Product's label or container, as applicable, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. Apart from the Warning, no additional statement discussing Proposition 65 or lead may be stated within the same physical location as the warning that appears on the label or container, as applicable.

Ultimate Nutrition must display the Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 Prior to Ultimate Nutrition's first sale or distribution of Covered Products manufactured after the Compliance Date, Ultimate Nutrition shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which Ultimate Nutrition intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California." The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which Ultimate Nutrition has provided the warning specified in Section 3.2. If

tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, Ultimate Nutrition changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products such that the change is reasonably likely to have a material effect on lead levels, Ultimate Nutrition shall test that Covered Product annually for at least two consecutive years after such change is made.

- 3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Level," the average lead detection result of the five randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Ultimate Nutrition's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Upon fifteen days of receipt of written notice from ERC, Ultimate Nutrition shall send to ERC copies of all laboratory reports with results of testing for lead content under Section 3.4.1. Ultimate Nutrition shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Ultimate Nutrition the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows: \$11,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and 13 4.2 Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$8,250.00) of the civil penalty to the 14 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe 15 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety 16 Code §25249.12(c). ERC shall not remit this amount to OEHHA until after the Court approves 17 this settlement. ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$2,750.00) of the civil penalty. 18

By the Bankruptcy Stipulation, Ultimate Nutrition and ERC have stipulated that

the ERC Claim shall be fixed and allowed in the amount of \$100,000, which they acknowledge

is a final adjudication of the amount of the ERC Claim, and that payment of the sum of \$50,000

("Total Settlement Amount") shall be in full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment

in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs. In furtherance of ERC receiving the Total

Settlement Amount, Ultimate Nutrition acknowledges that ERC will be treated as the Holder of

a General Unsecured Claim under the Plan and will receive a distribution on or before the

effective date of the Plan of 50% of the ERC Claim, or the sum of \$50,000. Ultimate Nutrition

- 4.3 \$4220.28 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$15,600.00 shall be distributed to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$19,179.72 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Ultimate Nutrition seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Ultimate Nutrition must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If

ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Ultimate Nutrition within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Ultimate Nutrition in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Ultimate Nutrition a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- 5.3 In the event that Ultimate Nutrition initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, Ultimate Nutrition shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing Party" means a Party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to meet the requirements of Section 3 (for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform

Ultimate Nutrition in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Ultimate Nutrition to identify the Covered Products at issue. Ultimate Nutrition shall, within thirty days following receipt of such notice, provide ERC with testing information from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 that demonstrates Ultimate Nutrition's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which are not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Ultimate Nutrition, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for alleged exposures to lead from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products manufactured up through and including the Compliance Date. ERC, on behalf of the general public in the public interest, and on behalf of itself and its owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, servants, heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in (directly or indirectly) any form of legal action and fully releases and discharges Ultimate Nutrition and its officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers (including but not limited to Prostar, Inc.), franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Ultimate Nutrition), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain

of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Covered Releasees") from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to attorneys' fees, investigation fees, and expert fees), costs and expenses (collectively referred to as "Claims") for alleged violations of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide warnings for any exposures to lead in Covered Products manufactured before the Compliance Date.

- 8.2 ERC on behalf of itself and its owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, servants, heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, and not on behalf of the general public, on one hand, and Ultimate Nutrition on its own behalf only, on the other, waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice or Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured up through and including the Compliance Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 In addition, ERC, on behalf of itself and its owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, servants, heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, and not on behalf of the general public, hereby releases and discharges the Covered Releasees from any and all known and unknown Claims arising from or relating to alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law, regarding alleged exposures to lead and lead compounds in the Covered Products manufactured up through and including the Compliance Date, including but not limited to the claims asserted by ERC in the Bankruptcy Case and in the products identified in ERC's January 14, 2011, October 22, 2010, and October 8, 2010 Proposition 65 Sixty-Day Notices of Violation. It is possible that other Claims released in this Section 8 and not known to the Parties will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only and Ultimate Nutrition acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. ERC has full knowledge of the contents

of California Civil Code section 1542. ERC, on behalf of itself only, and Ultimate Nutrition acknowledge that the Claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC, on behalf of itself only, and Ultimate Nutrition acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- 8.4 Compliance with the requirements of Section 3 of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any Covered Releasee with respect to any lead in the Covered Products.
- 8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Ultimate Nutrition's products other than the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center

ı	13111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
2	San Diego, CA 92108
2	Tel: (619) 500-3090
3	Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com
4	
7	With a copy to:
5	
_	Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman
6	Michael Freund & Associates
7	1919 Addison Street, Suite 105
	Berkeley, CA 94704
8	Telephone: (510) 540-1992
9	Facsimile: (510) 540-5543
01	FOR ULTIMATE NUTRITION, INC.
11	
	Ultimate Nutrition, Inc.
12	Attn: Brian Rubino
13	21 Hyde Road PO BOX 643
j	Farmington, CT 06032
14	
15	With a copy to:
i	
16	Pullman and Comley
17	Attn: Irve Goldman
	850 Main Street P.O. Box 7006
18	Bridgeport, CT 06601-7006
19	igoldman@pullcom.com
.,	
20	Trenton H. Norris
21	trent.norris@aporter.com Sarah Esmaili
	sarah.esmaili@aporter.com
22	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
23	
H	San Francisco, California 94111-4024
24	
25	Facsimile: (415) 415-3400
26	
27	
[]	
28	
23 24 25 26	Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 415-3400

- 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 12.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect and Ultimate Nutrition shall have the right to seek the return to it of any settlement payments made under Section 4. In the event that Ultimate Nutrition exercises its right under this Section 12.3, it shall send written notice to ERC and ERC shall return all settlement payments made under Section 4 to Ultimate Nutrition within thirty (30) days' receipt of Ultimate Nutrition's written request.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent

Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing Party" means a Party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 16.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

	·				
	I IT IS SO STIPULATED:				
	2 Dated:	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH			
	3	CENTER			
	4	By: / By			
	5 Daied: 11 3	Chris Hephysical Exocutive Director			
		ULTIMATE NETRITION, INC.			
	6	4			
	7	By: BAIAN TENTINO			
	8	Its: CEO			
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:				
	10				
13	Dated: / 7 / 4 , 2015	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES			
12	2	97: P/ 5' P			
13	3	By:			
14	4	Ryan Hoffman			
15	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center			
16	5 Dated: 12/4 2015	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP			
17	,	\sim			
18		By Sarah Esmail.			
19	·	Trenton H. Norris Sarah Esmaili			
20	1	Attorneys for Defendant Ultimate Nutrition, Inc.			
21		avourion, mc,			
22	I				
23	ORDER AND JUDGMENT				
24	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is				
25	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.				
26	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DE	CREED.			
27	Dated:, 2015				
28	1)	Judge of the Superior Court			
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	17 CASE NO. RG14737474			
	1	· '			

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT HARTFORD DIVISION

The state of the s	way	
In re:	x : Chapter 11	
ULTIMATE NUTRITION, INC., ET AL,	: Jointly Administered und : Case No. 14-22402 (AM	ler N)
	, x	

STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT OF MOTION TO ESTIMATE AND CAP CLAIM OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER

Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession, Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. ("Ultimate Nutrition" or "UNI") and Environmental Research Center ("ERC") (collectively, the "Parties"), hereby enter into this Stipulation in Settlement of Claim Estimation Motion to Estimate and Cap Claim of Environmental Research Center (the "Stipulation"), and stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2014 (the "Petition Date"), Ultimate Nutrition filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut (the "Bankruptcy Court"), and has continued to manage its property and operate its business as a debtor in possession under sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2014, ERC, a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated an action against Ultimate Nutrition and Does 1-100 in the Superior Court of the State of California, Alameda County (the "California Action"), by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

Ultimate Nutrition, Inc., Case No. 14-22402 and Prostar, Inc., Case No. 14-22403.

WHEREAS, in the California Action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed or sold by Ultimate Nutrition contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: (1) Ultimate Nutrition Inc. Platinum Series Protein Isolate Chocolate Créme, (2) Ultimate Nutrition Inc. ISO Sensation 93 Vanilla Bean, (3) Ultimate Nutrition Inc. Platinum Series Protein Isolate Vanilla Créme, (4) Ultimate Nutrition Inc. ISO Sensation 93 Strawberry, and (5) Ultimate Nutrition Inc. ISO Sensation 93 Chocolate Fudge.

WHEREAS, in the California Action, ERC seeks civil penalties, attorneys' fees and non-monetary relief, including injunctive relief, as a result of what it alleges are violations of Proposition 65 by Ultimate Nutrition. Ultimate Nutrition denies it has violated Proposition 65 and otherwise contests all of the relief requested by ERC in the Complaint.

WHEREAS, as of the Petition Date, the California Action was pending and unresolved.

WHEREAS, on April 13, 2015, ERC filed a Proof of Claim with the Bankruptcy Court asserting a general unsecured claim against Ultimate Nutrition in the amount of \$5,000,000 based on the claims set forth in the Complaint (the "ERC Claim").

WHEREAS, on October 8, 2015, Ultimate Nutrition filed with the Bankruptcy Court a Motion for Order to Estimate and Cap the Claim of Environmental Research Center, thereby seeking to estimate and cap the ERC Claim in the amount of \$0 pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Claim Estimation Motion"), to which ERC filed an objection on November 4, 2015.

WHEREAS, on November 18, 2015, Ultimate Nutrition and its affiliate, Prostar, Inc., filed a Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. and Prostar, Inc. and intend to file certain non-material, non-substantive modifications or amendments thereto (the "Plan"). Pursuant to the Plan, the holders of general unsecured claims are to receive a dividend of 50% of their allowed claims.

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to avoid the substantial expense and time that would be necessary to complete discovery and try the Claim Estimation Motion and oppositions thereto, as well as the risk and uncertainty inherent in the litigation, and have reached agreement concerning a settlement of same and all other claims asserted in the California Action, as more fully set forth below.

WHEREAS, under Proposition 65, any settlement of an action must be set forth in a Consent Judgment that must be approved by the Court before which the Proposition 65 action is pending upon noticed motion that is served on the California Attorney General.

WHEREAS, as part of their settlement of the Claim Estimation Motion and California Action, the Parties have negotiated and agreed to a Stipulated Consent Judgment (the "Consent Judgment"), a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit 1, which, in addition to setting forth an agreed amount of monetary recovery for ERC, sets forth injunctive and related relief concerning future compliance by Ultimate Nutrition with Proposition 65.

WHEREAS, the Parties acknowledge and agree that ERC's claims in the California Action for any monetary recovery are within the jurisdiction of, and are to be finally and conclusively determined by, the Bankruptcy Court, but submit that the non-monetary terms of the Consent Judgment must be approved by the Superior Court of the State of California, Alameda County (the "California Court") after same are approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration exchanged by and between Ultimate Nutrition and ERC, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as follows:

- 1. The ERC Claim shall be fixed and allowed in the amount of \$100,000 so as to make payable a total settlement amount of \$50,000 in satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payments in lieu of civil penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs for the settlement between ERC and Ultimate Nutrition. ERC shall be treated as the holder of a general unsecured claim under the Plan.
- 2. The terms of the Consent Judgment are incorporated herein and agreed to by the Parties.
 - This Stipulation is subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 4. Upon approval of this Stipulation by the Bankruptcy Court, the Parties shall seek approval of the Consent Judgment by the California Attorney General and the California Court. The Parties stipulate that relief from the automatic stay in Ultimate Nutrition's Chapter 11 case may be granted for that limited purpose.
- 5. The effectiveness of this Stipulation as it relates to all non-monetary components of the Parties' settlement, as set forth in the Consent Judgment, is conditioned upon approval of the Consent Judgment by the California Court. The Parties agree that if the Consent Judgment is not approved by the California Court, Ultimate Nutrition is entitled to a return of any amounts paid to ERC on account of the ERC Claim.
- 6. Entry of the Court's order approving this Stipulation and/or the settlement of the Claim Estimation Motion pursuant to this Stipulation shall be effective, without further order of

the Bankruptcy Court, to grant relief from the automatic stay to allow the Parties to seek approval of the Consent Judgment by the California Attorney General and the California Court.

7. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same document.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties, by their respective counsel, have executed this Settlement Stipulation as set forth below.

Dated: December 3, 2015

ULTIMATE NUTRITION, INC. a Connecticut Epropartion

By: Name: Brian Rubino

Title: President

Dated: December 3, 2015

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,

a California non-profit con

Pour / Francisco

(Seal)

(Seal)

Title: Executive Director

ORDER

Upon the foregoing Stipulation, and after due notice and a hearing, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

EXHIBIT B

Michael Freund & Associates

1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Voice: 510.540.1992 • Fax: 510.540.5543

Michael Freund, Esq. Ryan Hoffman, Esq.

OF COUNSEL: Denise Ferkich Hoffman, Esq.

April 4, 2014

NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

Alleged Violator. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

Ultimate Nutrition, Inc.

Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- a. Ultimate Nutrition Inc. Platinum Series Protein Isolate Chocolate Crème Lead
- b. Ultimate Nutrition Inc. ISO Sensation 93 Vanilla Bean Lead
- c. Ultimate Nutrition Inc. Platinum Series Protein Isolate Vanilla Crème Lead
- d. Ultimate Nutrition Inc. ISO Sensation 93 Strawberry Lead
- e. Ultimate Nutrition Inc. ISO Sensation 93 Chocolate Fudge Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. April 4, 2014
Page 2

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least April 4, 2011, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violation to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

Michael Freund

Mile Freund

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Ultimate Nutrition, Inc.

I, Michael Freund, declare:

- I. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged that the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: April 4, 2014

Michael Freund

Michel Freund

Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. April 4, 2014
Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On April 4, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a scaled envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. PO Box 643 Farmington, CT 06034

Current President or CEO Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. 21 Hyde Road Farmington, CT 06032 CT Corporation System (Ultimate Nutrition, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) One Corporate Center Hartford, CT 06103

Current President or CEO Ultimate Nutrition, Inc. 7 Corporate Avenue Farmington, CT 06032

On April 4, 2014, I electronically served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following party by uploading a true and correct copy thereof on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On April 4, 2014, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a scaled envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on April 4, 2014, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Tiffany Capehart

Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. April 4, 2014

Page 5

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4* Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave, Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave. Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113