1 2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
6	Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
7	
8	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
11	
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:) Judicial Council Coordination
13	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES) Proceeding
14) Case No. 4765
15	This Document Relates To: PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO STERIS
16	Corporation Center for Environmental Health v. Biopelle,
17	Inc., et al., A.C.S.C. Case No. RG 14-726964
18	
19	
20	1. INTRODUCTION
21	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for
22	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant STERIS Corporation ("Settling Defendant"). CEH
23	and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
24	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and
25	that manufactures, distributes and/or sells, shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil
26	diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the
27	State of California or has done so in the past.
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT – STERIS CORPORATION – CASE NO. JCCP 4765
ı ı	· '

- 1.3 On April 11, 2014, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On May 28, 2014, CEH filed the action entitled *Center for Environmental Health v. Biopelle, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 14-726964, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County. On July 7, 2014, the *Biopelle* action was coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court. On July 10, 2014, CEH named Settling Defendant as a defendant in the *Biopelle* action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Covered Products" means shampoo and liquid soaps.

1	2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by
2	the Court.
3	3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
4	3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, subject to
5	Section 3.3 below, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any
6	Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to
7	California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide
8	DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the
9	product formulation.
10	3.2 Action Regarding Specific Products.
11	3.2.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the
12	Kindest Kare Hand & Body Wash Total Body Cleanser, SKU No. (01)00724995-012731 in
13	California unless such product includes a warning as specified in Section 3.3 below. On or before
14	the Effective Date, unless such product includes a warning as specified in Section 3.3 below,
15	Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.2 Product to any of its stores and/or
16	customers that resell the Section 3.2 Product in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores
17	and/or customers that resell the Section 3.2 Product in California instructing them either to: (a)
18	return all the Section 3.2 Product to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy the
19	Section 3.2 Product.
20	3.2.2 To the extent necessary, any destruction of Section 3.2 Product shall be in
21	compliance with all applicable laws.
22	3.2.3 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall
23	provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the
24	requirements of this Section 3.2.
25	3.3 Warnings for Covered Products.
26	3.3.1 Warning Option. A Covered Product purchased, imported, or
27	manufactured by Settling Defendant may, as an alternative to meeting the reformulation
28	

requirements of Section 3.1, be sold or offered for retail sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of Section 3.3.2.

3.3.2 **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

A Clear and Reasonable Warning may only be provided for a Covered Product if such Covered Product contains cocamide DEA. This statement shall be prominently displayed on the label or the packaging of the Covered Product in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. For internet sales, the warning statement shall: (a) be displayed before a California consumer commits to purchasing the Covered Product and without the need for the California consumer to follow any additional hyperlinks beyond those required as part of the ordinary purchasing process; (b) be set out in a text, box on a separate line or in a separate paragraph; (c) be displayed in a font size in which the smallest character is no less than the equivalent of the height of the equivalent characters in 12 point arial font; and (d) be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual. The warning statement shall not be preceded, followed, or surrounded by words, symbols, or other matter that reduces its conspicuousness to an ordinary individual, or that qualifies or interprets the required text, such as "legal notice required by law."

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30)

ON RECYCLED PAPER

days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$32,500 as a settlement payment. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 \$3,575 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 5.1.2 \$4,875 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 5.1.3 \$24,050 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. A check for \$20,800 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check for \$3,250 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

8. NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the

1	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
2	Mark Todzo
3	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
4	San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
5	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
6	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
7	Rebecca L. Woodson
8	McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP One Market Plaza
9	Spear Tower, 24th Floor
10	San Francisco, CA 94105 rwoodson@mckennalong.com
11	8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent
12	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
13	9. COURT APPROVAL
14	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH
15 16	shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant
	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
17 18	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
19	effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
20	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
21	10. ATTORNEYS' FEES
22	Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or
23	other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its
24	reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should
25	Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other
26	proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result
27	of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion
28	or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term
20	

substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq*.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.
- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California
- This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant
 - 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

Consent Judgment.

- 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- 11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Charlie Pizarro

Associate Director

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

22

23

1	STERIS CORPORATION
2	200 20
3	Jan Jan
4	Signature
5	J. Adam Zangerle
6	Printed Name
7	Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
8	Title
9	THE
10	
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED:
13	
14	Dated:, 2015
15	Judge of the Superior Court
16	USW 804809067.1
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-10-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - STERIS CORPORATION - CASE NO. JCCP 4765