1 2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard J. Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com			
3				
4				
5				
6	Counsel for Plaintiff			
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
, 8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
11				
12				
13	Coordination Proceeding Special Title:	Judicial Council CoordinationProceeding		
14	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES) Case No. 4765		
15) [PROPOSED] CONSENT		
16	This Document Relates To:) JUDGMENT AS TO CLASSIC) BRAND INC.		
17	Center for Environmental Health v. Biopelle,) Inc., et al., Case No. RG14-726964)			
18)		
19				
20	1. INTRODUCTION			
21	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for			
22	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Classic Brand Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH			
23	and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."			
24	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporat	on that employs ten (10) or more persons and		
25	that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil			
26	diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the			
27	State of California or has done so in the past.			
28				

1.3 On May 16, 2014, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under
 Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
 & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney
 General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
 for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
 alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo
 and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

8 1.4 On May 28, 2014, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Biopelle, Inc.*, Case
9 No. RG14-726964, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, against Settling
10 Defendant. On July 7, 2014, the *Biopelle* action was coordinated with several other related
11 Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765,
12 currently pending before this Court. On or about August 8, 2014, CEH named Settling Defendant
13 as a defendant in that action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474.

14 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
15 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint
16 applicable to Settling Defendant ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant
17 as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii)
18 this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

19 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by 20 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance 21 with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, 22 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 23 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any 24 other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and 25 is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in 26 this action.

27 **2. DEFINITIONS**

2.1

"Covered Products" means shampoo and liquid soaps.

28

-2-

2 the Court.
 *Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by

3 **3**.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling
Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that
contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For
purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an
intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.

9 3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective
10 Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring
11 that Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use
12 reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide
13 basis.

14

3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.

3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the
Mr. Taylor's Shaving Gel, Product Code No. TB-SC-7-B ("Section 3.3 Product") in California.
On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3
Product to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California; and
(ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California
instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Product to Settling Defendant for
destruction, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Product.

3.3.2 Any destruction of the Section 3.3 Product shall be in compliance with all
applicable laws.

3.3.3 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall
provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the
requirements of this Section 3.3.

27

28

1

4.

ENFORCEMENT

2 4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the 3 Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent 4 Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 5 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test 6 results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and 7 confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it 8 informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) 9 days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may 10 file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the 11 Parties.

12

5. PAYMENTS

5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within five (5) business days of the
Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment.
The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered
to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling
Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:

5.1.1 \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b),
such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12
(25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard
Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental
Health.

5.1.2 \$2,250 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health &
Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use
such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic
chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent
Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In
addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four

percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to
 educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such
 groups can be found at the CEH web site at <u>www.ceh.org/justicefund</u>. The payment pursuant to
 this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5 5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees
6 and costs. A check for \$9,600 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check
7 for \$1,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

8

6.

MODIFICATION

9 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to
10 time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of
11 this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

12 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall
13 attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to
14 modify the Consent Judgment.

15

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

16 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on 17 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, 18 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys 19 ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell 20 Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, 21 franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), 22 of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against 23 Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold 24 25 by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
 and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant,
 Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to
 -5-

1	warn	warn about cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling		
2	Defendant after the Effective Date.			
3		7.3	Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an	
4	action	ction under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant		
5	Relea	Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.		
6	8.	NOTICE		
7		8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
8	notice	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
9			Mark N. Todzo	
10			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
11			San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
12		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
13	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to: Ralph Streza			
14				
15			Critchfield, Critchfield & Johnston 4996 Foote Road	
16 17			Medina, Ohio 44256 streza@ccj.com	
18		8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent	
19	by ser	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
20	9.	COURT	APPROVAL	
21		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH	
22	shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant			
23	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.			
24		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
25	effect	effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any		
26	purpo	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.		
27	10.	10. ATTORNEYS' FEES		
28		10.1	Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or	
PARED			-6-	

1 other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its 2 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should 3 Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other 4 proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result 5 of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion 6 or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term 7 substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, 8 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq. 9 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs. 10 11 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of 12 sanctions pursuant to law. 13 11. **OTHER TERMS** 14 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State 15 of California. 16 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling 17 Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or 18 assigns of any of them. 19 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and 20 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior 21 discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby 22 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between 23 the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or 24 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any 25 Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or 26 otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, 27 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 28 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent -7-

1 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 2 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver. 3 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights 4 that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a settling 5 defendant. 6 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the 7 Consent Judgment. 8 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts 9 and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be 10 deemed to constitute one document. 11 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 12 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into 13 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that 14 Party. 15 11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of 16 this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. 17 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been 18 accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any 19 uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any 20 Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this 21 Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to 22 be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent 23 24 25 26 27 28 -8-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.
2	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
4	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5	
6	Chin
7	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
8	
9	CLASSIC BRAND INC.
10	
11 12	Signature
12	
14	Printed Name
15	
16	
17	Title
18	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED:
20	
21	Dated:, 2014 Judge of the Superior Court
22	Judge of the Superior Court
23 24	
24	
26	
27	
28	
Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	-9-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CLASSIC BRAND INC. – CASE NO. JCCP 4765

