1	Christopher C. Moscone, SBN 170250 Rachel J. Sater, SBN 147976		
2	MOSCONE EMBLIDGE SATER & OTIS LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100		
3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel. (415) 362-3599 Fax: (415) 362-2006 Clifford A. Chanler, SBN 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
4			
5			
6			
7	Berkeley, CA 94710 Tel. (510) 848-8880 Fax: (510) 848-8118		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	MARK MOORBERG		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	MARK MOORBERG,	Case No. CGC-14-541132	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS	
15	v.	TO DEFENDANTS E. MISHAN & SONS, INC. AND RITE AID CORPORATION	
16	E. MISHAN & SONS; RITE AID CORPORATION; and DOES 1 – 100,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)	
17	inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24			
26			
27			
28			
_	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Mark Moorberg ("Plaintiff") and defendants E. Mishan & Sons, Inc. and Rite Aid Corporation ("Defendants"), with Plaintiff and Defendants each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2

2 Plaintiff

Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of
exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful
substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

10

6

2

1.3 Defendant

Defendant E. Mishan & Sons, Inc., employs ten or more persons and each is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 13 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

14

1.4 General Allegations

1.4.1 Plaintiff alleges that Defendants manufactured, imported, sold and/or
distributed for sale in California, solar powered chargers containing Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
("DEHP") without providing the clear and reasonable health hazard warnings required by
Proposition 65.

19 1.4.2 Plaintiff alleges that exposure to DEHP occurs via ingestion from hand to
20 mouth transfer and via dermal contact with solar powered chargers.

21

1.5 **Product Description**

The category of products covered by this Consent Judgment is vinyl/PVC solar chargers
with key chains including, but not limited to, Bell+Howell Solar Charger with keychain, Item No.
8791CD-6, UPC #0 80313 087912 (hereinafter "Products").

25

1.6 Notices of Violation

On May 21, 2014, Plaintiff served Defendants and certain requisite public enforcement
agencies with 60-Day Notices of Violation ("Notice") alleging that Defendants violated Proposition
65 when they failed to warn customers, consumers, and workers in California that the Products

expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced
 and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

3

1.7 Complaint

On August 14, 2014, Plaintiff commenced the instant action ("Complaint"), the operative
pleading in this action, naming each of the Defendants as a defendant, and asserting a cause of
action for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

7

1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and 8 9 Complaint and maintain that all of the products they have sold or distributed for sale in California, 10 including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, 11 12 issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be 13 construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or 14 violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' 15 obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

16

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

22 2. **DEFINITIONS**

23

2.1 California Customer

"California Customer" shall mean any customer of Defendants that Defendants reasonably
understand are located in California, have a California warehouse or distribution center, maintain a
retail outlet in California, or have distributed Products for sale in California, online via the internet
or by any other means.

28

1	2.2 Reformulated Products	
2	"Reformulated Products" shall mean Products that contain no more than 1000 parts per	
3	million ("ppm") (0.1%) of DEHP in any material, component, or constituent of a Product, when	
4	pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580 and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by	
5	such Accredited Laboratory or federal or state agencies to determine the presence, or measure the	
6	amount, of DEHP in a solid substance (such methodologies referred to as "Approved	
7	Methodologies").	
8	2.3 Effective Date	
9	"Effective Date" shall mean December 30, 2014.	
10	3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>	
11	3.1 Reformulation Commitment	
12	Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Defendants shall not	
13	manufacture or import for distribution or sale to California Customers for sale in California, or	
14	cause to be manufactured or imported for distribution or sale to California Customers for sale in	
15	California, any Products that are not Reformulated Products.	
16	4. <u>MONETARY PAYMENTS</u>	
17	4.1 Civil Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)	
18	In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay the	
19	sum of \$9,500 as civil penalties. The civil penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with	
20	California Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to	
21	the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), 25% of the penalty	
22	remitted to "Mark Moorberg, Client Trust Account." The civil penalty payment shall be delivered	
23	on the date due as set forth below at the addresses provided below. Defendants shall be liable for	
24	payment of simple interest at a rate of 10% for all amounts due and owing that are not received	
25	within two business days of the date they are due, if any.	
26	4.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty	
27	Within five business days of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court,	
28	Defendants shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$5,500.	
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1.2 Second Civil Penalty

Within six months of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, Defendants
shall make a second civil penalty payment of \$4,000. The amount of the second penalty may be
reduced according to the penalty waiver below.

5 The second civil penalty payment will be waived if Defendants provide Plaintiff with 6 certification that commencing six months after the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, 7 Defendants will not sell to California Customers for sale in California, any Products that are not 8 Reformulated Products. An officer or other authorized representative shall provide Plaintiff with a written certification confirming compliance with this condition no later than January 15, 2015. The 9 10 option to provide a written certification in lieu of making the second civil penalty payment 11 constitutes a material term of this Consent Judgment, and with regard to such term, time is of the 12 essence.

13

1

4.2 **Representations and Warranties**

14 Defendants represent that the sales data and information concerning sales, knowledge of DEHP presence, and prior reformulation and/or warning efforts, provided to Plaintiff were true and 15 16 accurate based on their knowledge and are material factors upon which Plaintiff relied to determine 17 the amount of civil penalties assessed pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b). If, 18 within six months of the Effective Date, Plaintiff discovers and presents to Defendants, evidence 19 demonstrating that the preceding representation and warranty was materially inaccurate, then 20 Defendants shall have 30 days to meet and confer regarding Plaintiff's contention. Should this 30 21 day period pass without any resolution between Plaintiff and Defendants, Plaintiff shall be entitled 22 to file a formal legal claim including, but not limited to, a claim for damages for breach of contract; 23 Defendants reserve all defenses respecting any such claim.

24

4.3 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. As the other settlement terms had been finalized, Defendants expressed a desire to resolve Plaintiff's outstanding

1	fees and costs as part of the settlement. Under general contract principles and the private attorney	
2	general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work	
3	performed through the mutual execution of this agreement, including the fees and costs incurred as	
4	a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, negotiating a settlement in	
5	the public interest, and seeking court approval of the same. Defendants agree to pay Plaintiff,	
6	within five business days of the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, fees and	
7	costs in the amount of \$29,000, unless the Court sets a lower amount. If the Court sets a lower	
8	amount of fees, Plaintiff and his counsel will have the right to contest the fees, but the remaining	
9	part of this Consent Order will remain in effect. Defendants will not contest Plaintiff's showing	
10	regarding fees, but this Consent Order will be void if the Court imposes an award for fees and costs	
11	in excess of \$29,000.	
12	4.4 Payment Procedures	
13	4.4.1 Payment Addresses.	
14	(a) All payments owed to Plaintiff and his counsel, pursuant to Sections	
15	4.1 and 4.3 shall be delivered to the following address: Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis LLP	
16	Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100	
17	San Francisco, CA 94104	
18	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA, pursuant to Section 4.1, <u>shall be</u>	
19	delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following addresses, as	
20	appropriate:	
21	For United States Postal Service Delivery:	
22	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
23	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010	
24	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery or Courier:	
25		
26	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
27	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814	
28		
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	

4.4.2 Proof of Payment to OEHHA. A copy of each check payable to OEHHA
 shall be mailed, simultaneous with payment, to Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis at the address set
 forth in Section 4.3.1(a) above.

4 4.4.3 Tax Documentation. Defendants shall provide a separate 1099 form for
5 each payment required by this Consent Judgment to: (a) Plaintiff, whose address and tax
6 identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Consent Judgment has been fully
7 executed by the Parties; (b) "California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment"; and
8 (c)Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis LLP, and deliver such form to the payee at the payment
9 addresses provided in Section 4.4.1, above.

10

11

5.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

12 Plaintiff, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants, their 13 parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, agents 14 employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell 15 the Products, including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, 16 retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees (collectively, "Releasees"), from all 17 claims alleging violations of Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on unwarned 18 exposures to DEHP in the Products, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this 19 Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP 20 from the Products, as set forth in the Notice.

21

5.2 Plaintiff's Individual Releases of Claims

Plaintiff, in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity, provides a
release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all
actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims,
liabilities, and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or
unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products
manufactured, imported, distributed, or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

6

- 28
- 5.3 **Defendants' Release of Plaintiff**

Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents,
 representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against
 Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives, through the Effective Date, for any and all
 actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and his
 attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking
 to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

7

6.

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved in its entirety (except as 8 expressly provided in 4.3 above) and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any 9 reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed 10 by all Parties. Plaintiff and Defendants agree to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent 11 12 Judgment and to obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a 13 noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Plaintiff 14 shall draft and file and Defendants shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any 15 third-party objection to the motion is filed, Plaintiff and Defendants agree to work together to file a 16 reply and appear at any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment 17 18 and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer as to 19 whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course 20 of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar. If 21 the Court's approval is ultimately overturned by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and 22 23 confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's 24 trial calendar. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and subsequently 25 overturned by any appellate court, any monies that have been provided to OEHHA, Plaintiff or his 26 counsel pursuant to Section 4, above, shall be refunded within 15 days of the appellate decision 27 28 becoming final.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	1

7.

GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendants may provide Plaintiff with notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from their obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

9 8. <u>NOTICE</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to
this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class
registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any
Party by the other at the following addresses:
To Defendants: To Plaintiff:
Attra Achinese

	Aton Arbisser	Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator
16	Kaye Scholer LLP	Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis LLP
17	1999 Avenue of the Stars, 16 th Floor	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100
17	Los Angeles, California 90067	San Francisco, CA 94104
18		
19	Any Party, from time to time, may specify in	writing to the other Party a change of address to
20	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.	
21	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES</u>	
22	This Consent Judgment may be execu	ated in counterparts and by facsimile or portable
23	document format (pdf) signature, each of wh	ich shall be deemed, and as valid as, an original, and
24	all of which, when taken together, shall cons	titute one and the same document.
25		
26		
27		
28		A
		Æ

8

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u>		
2	Plaintiff and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in		
3	California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).		
4	11.		
5		This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (i) by written agreement of the Parties and	
6	upon	upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion	
7	of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.		
8	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
9		The undersigned are authorized to execu	ite this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
10	respec	tive Parties and have read, understood, ar	nd agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
11	Consent Judgment.		
12	AGRI	EED TO:	AGREED TO:
13			
14	Plainti	ff Mark Moorberg	Aton Arbisser
15			Attorney for Defendants E. Mishan & Sons,
16		\bigvee	Inc. and Rite Aid Corporation
17	Dated:	11.19.14	Dated:
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[DDODO		9

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

11

1	10.	COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING	REQUIREMENTS
2	Plaintiff and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in		
3	Califo	ornia Health and Safety Code section 2524	9.7(f).
4	11.	MODIFICATION	
5		This Consent Judgment may be modified	l only: (i) by written agreement of the Parties and
6	upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion		he Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion
7	of any	v party and entry of a modified Consent Ju	dgment by the Court.
8	12.	AUTHORIZATION	
9		The undersigned are authorized to execu	te this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
10	respec	ctive Parties and have read, understood, an	d agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
11	Conse	ent Judgment.	
12	AGR	EED TO:	AGREED TO:
13			De la
14		100 March 1 March 1 1 1	Aton Arbisser
15	Plaint	iff Mark Moorberg	Attorney for Defendants E. Mishan & Sons,
16			Inc. and Rite Aid Corporation
17	Detail		Dated: 21 NOV 2014
18	Dated		Dated. Zi Wor out
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			K
		OSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	9
	1 -	SOR4v 1	