1 2 3 4	Christopher C. Moscone, SBN 170250 Rachel J. Sater, SBN 147976 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE SATER & OTIS LLI 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel. (415) 362-3599 Fax: (415) 362-2006				
5 6 7 8 9	Clifford A. Chanler, SBN 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Tel. (510) 848-8880 Fax: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff				
10	MARK MOORBERG				
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
13	MARK MOORBERG,	Case No. CGC-14-543116			
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS			
15	V.	TO DEFENDANTS THE HARTZ GROUP, INC. AND THE HARTZ MOUNTAIN			
16 17 18	THE HARTZ GROUP, INC.; THE HARTZ MOUNTAIN CORPORATION; and DOES 1 – 100, inclusive, Defendants.	CORPORATION (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)			
19	Detendants.	J			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	IND ODOSED I	CONSENT HIDCMENT			
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT SAC 442570030v1				

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Mark Moorberg ("Plaintiff") and defendants The Hartz Group, Inc. and The Hartz Mountain Corporation ("Defendants"), with Plaintiff and Defendants each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 **Defendant**

Defendants employ ten or more persons and each is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

- 1.4.1 Plaintiff alleges that Defendants manufactured, imported, sold and/or distributed for sale in California, tie-out cables and pet toys containing Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without providing the clear and reasonable health hazard warnings required by Proposition 65.
- 1.4.2 Plaintiff alleges that exposure to DEHP occurs via ingestion from hand to mouth transfer and via dermal contact with pet tie-out cables.

1.5 **Product Description**

The category of products covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC tie-out cables including, but not limited to, Hartz Living Tie-Out Cable #503506, UPC #0 32700 91547 6 (hereinafter "Products"), and pet toys including, but not limited to, small dog bones (UPC # 0-02139896-1).

1.6 Notices of Violation

On May 21, 2014, Plaintiff served Defendants and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with 60-Day Notices of Violation ("Notice") alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 when they failed to warn customers, consumers, and workers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On December 9, 2014, Plaintiff commenced the instant action ("Complaint"), the operative pleading in this action, naming each of the Defendants as a defendant, and asserting a cause of action for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintain that all of the products they have sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

2.1 California Customer

"California Customer" shall mean any customer of Defendants that Defendants reasonably understand are located in California, have a California warehouse or distribution center, maintain a retail outlet in California, or have distributed Products for sale in California, online via the internet or by any other means.

2.2 Reformulated Products

"Reformulated Products" shall mean Products that contain no more than 1000 parts per million ("ppm") (0.1%) of DEHP in any material, component, or constituent of a Product, when analyzed by a laboratory accredited by NVLAP (National Volunteer Laboratory Accreditation Program), American Association for Lab Accreditation (A2LA), ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board (ANAB) – ACLASS brand (an ANAB company), International Accreditation Service, Inc. (IAS), Laboratory Accreditation Bureau (L-A-B), Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA), or International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation(ILAC) (such laboratory referred to as an "Accredited Lab") pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580 and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by such Accredited Laboratory or federal or state agencies to determine the presence, or measure the amount, of DEHP in a solid substance (such methodologies referred to as "Approved Methodologies").

2.3 Effective Date

"Effective Date" shall mean the date upon which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

3.1 Reformulation Commitment

Commencing six months from the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Defendants shall not manufacture or import for distribution or sale to California Customers for sale in California, or cause to be manufactured or imported for distribution or sale to California Customers for sale in California, any Products that are not Reformulated Products.

4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

4.1 Civil Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay the sum of \$10,000 as civil penalties. The civil penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), 25% of the penalty remitted to "Mark Moorberg, Client Trust Account." The civil penalty payment shall be delivered on the date due as set forth below at the addresses provided below. Defendants shall be liable for payment of simple interest at a rate of 10% for all amounts due and owing that are not received within seven business days of the date they are due, if any.

4.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

Within thirty days of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, Defendants shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$6,000.

4.1.2 Second Civil Penalty

Within six months of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, Defendants shall make a second civil penalty payment of \$4,000. The amount of the second penalty may be reduced according to the penalty waiver below.

The second civil penalty payment will be waived if Defendants provide Plaintiff with certification that commencing on Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Defendants will not sell to California Customers for sale in California, any Products that are not Reformulated Products. An officer or other authorized representative shall provide Plaintiff with a written certification confirming compliance with this condition no later than 60 days from the Effective Date. The option to provide a written certification in lieu of making the second civil penalty payment constitutes a material term of this Consent Judgment, and with regard to such term, time is of the essence.

4.1.3 Third Civil Penalty

Within nine months of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, Defendants shall make a third civil penalty payment of \$4,000. The amount of the second penalty may be reduced according to the penalty waiver below.

The third civil penalty payment will be waived if Defendants provide Plaintiff with certification that commencing nine months from the Effective Date, Defendants have commenced providing warnings on the remaining noticed items in its inventory and have sent letters/notices to all known California retailers that received non-reformulated products identifying the non-reformulated products and instructing the retailers to either label, destroy or return the non-reformulated products to Defendants to be destroyed.

4.2 Representations and Warranties

Defendants represent that the sales data and information concerning sales, knowledge of DEHP presence, and prior reformulation and/or warning efforts, provided to Plaintiff were true and accurate based on their knowledge. If, within six months of the Effective Date, Plaintiff discovers and presents to Defendants, evidence demonstrating that the preceding representation and warranty was materially inaccurate, then Defendants shall have 30 days to meet and confer regarding Plaintiff's contention. Should this 30 day period pass without any resolution between Plaintiff and Defendants, Plaintiff reserves the right to file a formal legal claim including, but not limited to, a claim for damages for breach of contract. Defendants reserve all defenses respecting any such claim.

4.3 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Defendants expressed a desire to resolve Plaintiff's outstanding fees and costs. Under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed

1	through the mutual execution of this agreement, including the fees and costs incurred as a result of			
2	investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, negotiating a settlement in the public			
3	interest, and seeking court approval of the same. Defendants agree to pay Plaintiff, within thirty			
4	(30) business days of the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, fees and costs in the			
5	amount of \$33,500.			
6	4.4 Payment Procedures			
7	4.4.1 Payment Addresses.			
8	(a) All payments owed to Plaintiff and his counsel, pursuant to Sections			
9	4.1 and 4.3 shall be delivered to the following address:			
10	Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104			
12	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA, pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be			
13	delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following addresses, as			
14	appropriate:			
15	For United States Postal Service Delivery:			
16	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
17	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010			
18	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
19	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery or Courier:			
20	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
21	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street			
22	Sacramento, CA 95814			
23	4.4.2 Proof of Payment to OEHHA. A copy of each check payable to OEHHA			
24	shall be mailed, simultaneous with payment, to Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis at the address set			
25	forth in Section 4.3.1(a) above.			
26	4.4.3 Tax Documentation. Defendants shall provide a separate 1099 form for			
27	each payment required by this Consent Judgment to: (a) Plaintiff, whose address and tax			
28	6			

identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties; (b) "California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment"; and (c) Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis LLP, and deliver such form to the payee at the payment addresses provided in Section 4.4.1, above.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Plaintiff, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, agents employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products, including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees (collectively, "Releasees"), from all claims alleging violations of Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on unwarned exposures to DEHP in the Products, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP, as set forth in the Notice.

5.2 Plaintiff's Individual Releases of Claims

Plaintiff, in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed, or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

5.3 Defendants' Release of Plaintiff

Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives, through the Effective Date, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and his

attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved in its entirety and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Plaintiff and Defendants agree to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and to obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Plaintiff shall draft and file and Defendants shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed, Plaintiff and Defendants agree to work together to file a reply and appear at any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course. If the Court's approval is ultimately overturned by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and subsequently overturned by any appellate court, any monies that have been provided to OEHHA, Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to Section 4, above, shall be refunded within 15 days of the appellate decision becoming final.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendants may provide Plaintiff with notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from their obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

8. **NOTICE**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To Defendants:

To Plaintiff:

William P. Fornshell Senior Vice President The Hartz Mountain Corporation 400 Plaza Drive Secaucus, New Jersey 07094

Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator Moscone Emblidge Sater & Otis LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104

with a copy to:

Anthony Cortez James Mattesich Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1201 K St. Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed, and as valid as, an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Plaintiff and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (i) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

12. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

	MD	BABAR	TO.
A	l r K	H. H.) TO:

AGREED TO:

Plaintiff Mark Mo	prberg

Dated: 1.6.15

Nome 1:11 & Frenchell

Title: SUP, Secretary & General Counse

Defendants The Hartz Group, Inc. and The Hartz Mountain Corporation

Dated: Dec. 19 2014