I			
1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112		
2			
3			
4			
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com jmann@lexlawgroup.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONWENTAL HEALTH		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG-14739997	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
14	v.	JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT REED'S, INC. DBA REED'S	
15	REED'S, INC. DBA REED'S GINGER	GINGER PRODUCTS	
16	PRODUCTS; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20	1. Introduction		
21	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health		
22	("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Reed's, Inc. dba Reed's Ginger Products		
23	("Defendant") to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the		
24	operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Reed's, Inc. dba		
25	Reed's Ginger Products, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-14739997 (the		
26	"Action"). CEH and Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."		
27	1.2. On June 26, 2014, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") pursuant		
28	to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") on		

28

Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged presence of 4-methylimidazole ("4-MEI") in certain carbonated soft drinks containing caramel coloring manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant (hereinafter, "Covered Products").

- 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons, and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in CEH's Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in CEH's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Notice and Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- 1.5. CEH and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Notice and Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law, or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint, expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever, and maintains that all products it sells are in compliance with all laws including Proposition 65, and are completely safe for their intended use. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted

by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 Defendant shall comply with the following requirements to eliminate alleged exposures to 4-MEI arising from the consumption of the Covered Products:
- 2.1.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product manufactured on or later than the Effective Date unless such Covered Product contains no more than 81 parts per billion ("ppb") 4-MEI.
- 2.1.2. **Interim Compliance.** Any Covered Product that is manufactured before the Effective Date that contains in excess of 81 ppb 4 MEI, and which is distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant in California after the Effective Date shall be accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning on each retail unit that complies with Section 2.1.4.
- 2.1.3. Warnings for Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been reformulated pursuant to Section 2.1.1 or labeled in accordance with Section 2.1.2, within 30 days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Products that contained or may have contained 4-MEI in excess of 81 ppb, and that Defendant reasonably believes may still hold inventory of such product for sale in California. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to place a warning sticker on each retail unit of Covered Product such customer has purchased from Defendant for sale in California. The stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 2.1.4. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers on Covered Products.
- 2.1.4. **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

California Proposition 65 WARNING: This product contains 4-methylimidazole ("4-MEI"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on each retail unit of the Covered Product containing in excess of 81 ppb 4 MEI, or the packaging of each retail unit of the Covered Product, with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product containing in excess of 81 ppb 4 MEI prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

3. PENALTIES AND PAYMENT

- 3.1 Within ten (10) days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$45,000 as a settlement payment, which shall be allocated as set forth in Sections 3.1.1-3.1.3 below. With the exception of the payment to OEHHA described in 3.1.1, the payments required under Sections 3.1.1-3.1.3 shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 7.
- 3.1.1. \$4,590 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. Of this amount, \$3,442.50 (75%) shall be made payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

The remaining payment required under this Section 3.1.1 of \$1,147.50 shall be made payable to CEH and delivered to Mark Todzo as set forth above.

- 3.1.2. \$6,885 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment required under this Section 3.1.2 shall be made payable to CEH.
- 3.1.3. \$33,525 shall constitute reimbursement of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. Of this, \$4,275 shall be made payable to CEH and \$29,250 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group.

4. Enforcement of Consent Judgment

4.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, CEH shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation and/or present evidence to CEH as to why there is no violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. Modification of Consent Judgment

5.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest, and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint in this Action arising from any alleged violations of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the alleged failure to warn about exposure to 4-MEI in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2. CEH, for itself and its agents, , hereby releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to 4-MEI in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3. CEH, on its own behalf, and not in the public interest, releases Kerry Inc. dba Kerry Ingredients Inc. and Mastertaste Inc. ("Kerry"), and its parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns, from any Proposition 65 claims for any alleged exposures to 4-MEI resulting from the caramel coloring supplied to Defendant by Kerry that was used by Defendant in Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date. This release does not extend to any other supplier of caramel coloring to Defendant, nor to any caramel coloring Kerry may have supplied to customers other than Defendant.

1	6.4. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant shall		
2	constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees		
3	with respect to any alleged failure to warn about 4-MEI in Covered Products manufactured,		
4	distributed, or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.		
5	7. Provision of Notice		
6	7.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
7	notice shall be sent by certified first class and electronic mail as follows:		
8	7.1.1. Notices to Defendant. The person(s) for Defendant to receive notices		
9	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:		
10			
11	Chis Reed President		
12	Reed's Inc. 13000 South Spring Street		
13	Los Angeles, CA 90061		
14	With Copy To:		
15	J. Robert Maxwell		
16	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10th fl		
17	San Francisco, CA 94104		
18			
19	7.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The person(s) for CEH to receive notices pursuant to		
20	this Consent Judgment shall be:		
21	Mark Todzo		
22	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
23	San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
24	intodzo@icziawgroup.com		
25	7.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by		
26	sending the other Parties notice by both first class and electronic mail.		
27			
28			

8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 8.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. Entire Agreement

- 10.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein.
- 10.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Defendant with respect to the subject matter hereof except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof.
- 10.3. No other agreements with respect to the subject matter hereof not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.
- 10.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby, or unless ordered by the Court.
- 10.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

12. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

13. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

13.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

14.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or pdf, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Pated: 409 [7], 2016

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Printed Name

ASSOCIME

tle

1	0/1	
2	Dated: 8/6/15, 2914	REED'S, INC. DEA REED'S GINGER PRODUCTS
3		(h)
4		70
5		CHRIS REED
6		Printed Name CEO
7	-	Title
8		THE
9	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	
10	AND DECREED:	
11	Dated:, 2015	
12		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda
13		Camornia, County of Alameda
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	<u> </u>	
25		
26	£i.	
27	2	
28		
		0