SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP Ellison Folk 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 552-7272 Facsimile: (415) 552-5816 folk@smwlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff AS YOU SOW ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Trenton H. Norris (No. 164781) Sarah Esmaili (No. 206053) Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471.3400 trent.norris@aporter.com sarah.esmaili@aporter.com Attorneys for Defendant GARDEN OF LIFE, LLC		
Grand Br. Gr. Em. E., E.E.C.		
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
COUNTY	OF SAN FRANCISCO	
AS YOU SOW,	Case No.: CGC-14-540621	
Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
V.		
GARDEN OF LIFE, LLC,		
Defendant.		
[PROPOSEI	D] CONSENT JUDGMENT	

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

On April 17, 2014, As You Sow, Inc. ("AYS") issued a 60-day notice of violation ("Original Notice") under Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") that was served on Defendant Garden of Life, LLC ("GOL") and all required California public enforcers. In the Original Notice, AYS alleges that four supplement products manufactured, distributed, or sold by GOL cause exposures to lead and cadmium and that such products require warnings under Proposition 65.

- 1.2 On July 18, 2014, AYS individually and on behalf of the public interest, initiated this action ("Action") against GOL. The Complaint ("Complaint") asserted a cause of action under Proposition 65 on the basis of the allegations contained in the Original Notice.
- 1.3 On July 15, 2014, AYS issued a second 60-day notice of violation ("Second Notice") under Proposition 65 that was served on GOL and all required California public enforcers. In the Second Notice, AYS alleges that two additional supplement products manufactured, distributed, or sold by GOL cause exposures to lead and cadmium and that such products require warnings under Proposition 65.
- 1.4 On February 20, 2015, AYS issued a third 60-day notice of violation under Proposition 65 ("Third Notice") that was served on GOL and all required California public enforcers. In the Third Notice, AYS alleges that various protein supplement powders and shakes containing rice protein cause exposures to lead and cadmium and that such products require warnings under Proposition 65.
- 1.5 The Original Notice, Second Notice, and Third Notice are referred to herein collectively as the "Notices." The products identified in the Notices and in this Consent Judgment, identified in Exhibit A, are referred to herein collectively as the "Protein Supplement Products." Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed to be amended to include allegations as to all of the Protein Supplement Products.
- 1.6 GOL denies AYS's claims that the Protein Supplement Products require warnings under Proposition 65.

- 1.7 AYS and GOL (referred to herein collectively as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party") also dispute how exposure levels to lead and cadmium are calculated under Proposition 65.
- 1.8 Court-approved consent judgments in Environmental Law Foundation v. Abbott Laboratories et al., San Francisco Superior Court Lead Case No. CGC-10-503002 (filed February 18, 2014) ("Abbott Labs") as to fourteen defendants set the following warning thresholds for lead: 4.0 micrograms of lead per day (for chocolate and gainer protein supplement products) and 3.0 micrograms of lead per day (for all other protein supplement products). Protein Supplement Products are similar to the types of products covered in the Abbott Labs consent judgments and certain of those products covered in the *Abbott Labs* consent judgments are competitor products.
- 1.9 GOL contends that its Protein Supplement Products should be subject to the same lead standards as in the Abbott Labs consent judgments and that the same warning thresholds under Proposition 65 should apply to businesses within the same competitive marketplace.
- For the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation concerning the claims 1.10 and defenses in this Action, the Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein, or which could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment, GOL does not admit any violation of Proposition 65 or any other law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by GOL of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or affect the responsibilities and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.11 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered by the Court.
- The "Compliance Date" means the date that is six months after the Effective Date 1.12 with respect to the warning threshold for lead set in Section 3.1 and twelve months after the Effective Date with respect to the warning threshold for cadmium set in Section 3.1.

2. **MONITORING**

2.1 At least sixty (60) days prior to the applicable Compliance Date, GOL will test or arrange for the testing for lead and cadmium of each of its Protein Supplement Products that it

intends to distribute or sell in California. In establishing an initial data set for purposes of this Consent Judgment, GOL may rely on testing conducted prior to entry of this Consent Judgment if such testing documents lead and cadmium levels in Protein Supplement Products either already in the stream of commerce or which are ready for distribution or sale.

- 2.1.1 GOL may use a testing laboratory certified by EPA, FDA, or the State of California. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the laboratories listed in Exhibit B are deemed to be approved laboratories for purposes of performing testing under Section 2. The lead and cadmium concentrations must be measured using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry ("ICP-MS") utilizing scientifically appropriate adherence to the protocols set forth in EPA Methods 6020, 6020a. The laboratory must digest at least 0.5 grams of each sample with a level of detection of at least 4 parts per billion. The sample preparation method must use a microwave- or heat-assisted acid digestion method.
- 2.2 To fulfill its monitoring obligation under Section 2 and using a testing method described therein, GOL must test or cause to be tested three (3) samples of the final product for each Protein Supplement Product, with samples randomly selected from three (3) different lots (or from the maximum number of lots that are available for testing if there are fewer than three (3) lots available). The testing required under this Section 2.2 will be repeated annually following the compilation of the initial data set described in Section 2.1. All laboratory test data and certifications must be retained by GOL for a period of three years from the date of testing. The requirements of Sections 2.1 and 2.2 do not apply to any Protein Supplement Products for which GOL has provided a warning that complies with Section 3.4.
- **2.2.1** GOL shall send to AYS a full copy of all test results obtained pursuant to section 2.2 within twenty-one (21) days after GOL receives the results. This submission may be provided to AYS simultaneously with the annual reports required under Section 2.3.
- **2.2.2** Any single test result which exceeds 5.0 micrograms of lead, or 6.25 micrograms of cadmium, in a Daily Serving, as calculated under this Section 2 shall be deemed an "Outlier."

- **2.2.3** At GOL's option, any single Outlier test result may be subject to validation before it is deemed a final Outlier result for purposes of this Consent Judgment. The validation process shall consist of two steps.
- (a) First, GOL shall check its equipment, test processes, validation procedures, laboratory contamination, operator error and any other factors which could have produced an erroneous result. If the result is determined erroneous due to testing error or failure to satisfy quality assurance or quality control procedures, the result shall be discarded and not used for any purpose under this Consent Judgment. The Protein Supplement Product shall then be re-tested as if such test were the first test.
- (b) Second, if a single Outlier test result is obtained and the steps in Section 2.2.2(a) have not invalidated the result, then GOL may collect up to three (3) more samples from the same lot or batch and have those samples tested in accordance with this Section 2. The arithmetic mean of the test results of all samples tested from the single lot or batch (including the original Outlier test result) shall then be determined. That mean test result shall be deemed the final result and shall constitute the applicable test result for purposes of this Consent Judgment. If this validated test result is an Outlier as defined in Section 2.2.2, then the terms of Section 3.3 shall apply to that Outlier test result.
- 2.3 If there is an allegation that a Protein Supplement Product is in violation of Section 3.4, AYS may make a written request to GOL, delivered to the addresses of GOL and GOL's counsel as set forth in Section 15 below, for data generated in compliance with Sections 2.1 and 2.2. In response to such a request, GOL will provide to AYS the date the analysis was performed, the name of the laboratory conducting the test, the test method used by the laboratory, the detection limit used by the laboratory, the lot numbers of the samples tested, and the analytical results within twenty-one (21) days of AYS's written request. AYS shall keep all such information and data confidential. GOL shall provide to AYS within 45 days of completing the initial testing of its Protein Supplement Products under Section 2.1, and annually thereafter during the testing period set forth in Section 2.2, a list of all such products for which the test results obtained pursuant to this Section 2 indicate a Proposition 65 warning is required pursuant to Section 3 herein.

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

2.4 Good Faith Commitment to Pursue Further Lead and Cadmium Reduction

2.4.1 During the term of this Consent Judgment, GOL shall continue to take good faith and commercially reasonable efforts to further reduce any lead and cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products. These efforts shall include, at a minimum, efforts to secure ingredients with lower lead and cadmium content, obligating suppliers to use the highest quality materials (with respect to lead or cadmium content) that are feasible in the manufacturing process, contacting suppliers of product ingredients in an effort to reduce lead or cadmium in the ingredients through means such as review of supplier manufacturing process, alternative formulation, product sourcing, reformulation(s) of the Protein Supplement Products to reduce lead and cadmium, and use of processes or methods to extract metals from product ingredients. The requirements of Section 2.4.1 do not apply to any Protein Supplement Product that contains less than 0.5 micrograms of lead in a Daily Serving or 4.1 micrograms of cadmium in a Daily Serving.

3. **CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS**

Pursuant to this Consent Judgment, warnings are required under Proposition 65 only 3.1 with respect to Protein Supplement Products that GOL sells to California consumers that expose users to more than 3 micrograms of lead, or to more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium, in a Daily Serving, unless the Protein Supplement Product is a Gainer Product or a Chocolate Product. Warnings are required for Gainer Products and Chocolate Products that GOL sells to California consumers that expose users to more than 4 micrograms of lead, or to more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium, in a Daily Serving. "Gainer Products" are Protein Supplement Products that are high protein content formulas containing at least 20 grams of protein per serving. "Chocolate Products" are Protein Supplement Products that are marketed in the labeling as "chocolate," "cocoa" or "cacao" flavored products and that contain any variety or form of the ingredient generally referred to as chocolate, including without limitation, the ingredients chocolate, chocolate liquor, cocoa, cocoa mass, cocoa butter, cocoa powder, cacao, fudge, or any variation of, or substitute for, any of those ingredients.

A "Daily Serving" (for purposes of determining Proposition 65 compliance for 3.2 chemicals present in the Protein Supplement Products) shall be defined as one of the following, as

applicable: (a) if the Protein Supplement Product label includes a recommended number of servings, then the recommended serving size; (b) if the Protein Supplement Product label includes no recommended number of servings, then the serving size set forth on the "Nutritional Facts" or "Supplement Facts" portion of the label; or (c) if the Protein Supplement Product label recommends a range of servings in one day, then the amount which is the higher recommended serving size.

- threshold set forth in 3.1: (1) GOL must compare the warning threshold value to the arithmetic mean of at least three (3) samples tested in accordance with Section 2. However, GOL may, at its option, calculate the arithmetic mean using three (3) samples and (2) GOL must base its calculation on the Daily Serving amount as defined in Section 3.2. Notwithstanding the foregoing, GOL may not include an Outlier test result (as defined in Section 2.2.2) in calculating the arithmetic mean, and if GOL elects to sell a Protein Supplement Product to California consumers for which an Outlier test result is obtained and validated as provided for in Section 2.2.3, then that specific product lot or batch from which the Outlier result was derived shall be subject to the warning obligations of this Section 3. GOL shall have thirty (30) days from the date the relevant test result mean is calculated to satisfy the applicable obligations of this Section 3 for Protein Supplement Products manufactured, distributed or sold after that date.
- 3.4 Warning Standard. On and after the Compliance Date, GOL shall not manufacture for sale in the State of California, distribute into the State of California, or sell in the State of California any Protein Supplement Product the ingestion of which results in an exposure greater than the applicable warning threshold set forth in Section 3.1, as calculated in accordance with Section 3.3, unless a warning is placed or affixed on the packaging, labeling, or directly to or on the Protein Supplement Product that states:

WARNING (California Proposition 65):

This product contains chemicals known [to the State of California] to cause [cancer,] birth defects[,] or other reproductive harm."

(hereinafter "Product Warning"). The text contained in the brackets is only required if the product exceeds the NSRL of 15 micrograms per day for lead. Product Warnings shall be placed with such

conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs and/or devices on the labeling or packaging as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. In addition, if the Product Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the container or labeling, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the Product Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Protein Supplement Product.

3.4.1 Internet Sales

- Supplement Products subject to the warning requirements of Section 3.4, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment shall be displayed in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text, either: (a) on the same page as the order form for the Protein Supplement Product; (b) on the same page as the price for the Protein Supplement Product is displayed; or (c) in a dialogue box which appears and is visible when a California address for delivery is provided by the consumer, so long as the dialogue box appears prior to the completion of the internet sale and requires the consumer to affirmatively accept receipt of the warning set forth in the dialogue box (the warning language in the dialogue box shall be displayed in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text on the screen at the time of the appearance of the dialogue box), as a condition precedent to completing the sale. If necessary, the Product Warning shall be added within one month of the date that testing identifies the need for a Product Warning.
- through the website of an e-tailer customer of GOL, prior to the Compliance Date, GOL shall send by first class mail or overnight delivery a letter instructing the customer to provide warning in the same manner as required under subsection 3.4.1(a). The letter shall state that failure to provide these warnings may result in liability for the retailer. In the letter, GOL shall request that the e-tailer customer respond with a written acknowledgement that it will comply with GOL's instructions. If GOL complies with the requirements of this subsection (b), GOL shall not be found

to have violated this Consent Judgment where an e-tailer customer fails to provide the warnings required under this subsection (b).

- 3.4.2 Mail Order Sales. For any mail order sales by GOL to a consumer in California, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment shall also be included in the mail order catalogue, either on the same page as any order form, or on the same page upon which the Protein Supplement Product's price is listed, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text. If a warning is necessary pursuant to paragraph 3.4 above, the Product Warning shall be added in the first print run of the mail order catalogue which occurs following testing that establishes the need for a product warning, or within 3 months, whichever occurs first.
- 3.5 Any changes to the language or format of the warnings required under Section 3.4 shall be made only after Court approval and following written notice to Plaintiff and to the Attorney General.
- 3.6 GOL may sell or distribute in California or ship to California a Protein Supplement Product without any of the warnings required under Section 3.4 on or after December 31, 2014 only if GOL has conducted testing in accordance with the requirements referenced in Section 2 demonstrating that the Protein Supplement Product does not expose users to more lead or cadmium in a Daily Serving than allowed under Section 3.4 without a warning, as determined using the calculation set forth in Section 3.3.
- 3.7 At least sixty (60) days before any discontinuance of any warnings that GOL has issued pursuant to this Consent Judgment, GOL shall conduct the testing required to demonstrate that the Protein Supplement Products conform to Sections 3.1, using the analytical methods set forth in Section 2. If GOL intends to discontinue a warning, it shall promptly notify AYS no later than 21 days after discontinuing the warning. This Section 3.7 shall not apply to a Protein Supplement Product which is discontinued.

4. MONETARY RELIEF

4.1 Within 15 days of the Effective Date, GOL shall pay \$85,000 in the form of a check made payable to the Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger trust account as reimbursement for AYS's attorneys' fees, investigative costs, and other reasonable litigation costs and expenses.

- **4.2** Within 15 days of the Effective Date, GOL shall pay \$45,000 in the form of a check made payable to As You Sow as a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b). AYS shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(b). GOL shall have no liability if payments to the State of California are not made by AYS.
- 4.3 Additional In Lieu Payments: Additionally, within 15 days of the Effective Date, GOL shall pay \$45,000 in the form of a check made payable to As You Sow as a payment in lieu of additional civil penalties, with this amount to be used by AYS for grants to California non-profit organizations and by the AYS Environmental Enforcement Fund. These funds shall be used to reduce or remediate exposures to toxic chemicals and to increase consumer, worker, and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals in California via AYS's program work. In deciding among grantee proposals, the AYS Board of Directors ("Board") takes into consideration a number of important factors, including: (1) the nexus between the harm done in the underlying case(s) and the grant program work; (2) the potential for toxics reduction, prevention, remediation, or education benefits to California citizens from the proposal; (3) the budget requirements of the proposed grantee and the alternate funding sources available to it for its project; and (4) the Board's assessment of the grantee's chances for success in its program work. AYS shall ensure that all funds will be disbursed and used in accordance with AYS's mission statement, articles of incorporation, and bylaws and applicable state and federal laws and regulations.
- **4.4** GOL shall make these payments with a check made payable to Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP and delivered to Ellison Folk, Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP, 396 Hayes Street, San Francisco, CA 94102.
- **4.5** Except as provided in Section 12.1, the payment made pursuant to Section 4 shall be the only monetary obligation of GOL with respect to this Consent Judgment, including as to any fees, costs, or expenses AYS has incurred in relation to this Action.

5. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

AYS agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to the regulations promulgated under that section, AYS shall

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receipt of all necessary signatures. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion must be filed to obtain judicial approval of the Consent Judgment. Accordingly, a motion for approval of the Consent Judgment shall be prepared and filed by AYS within a reasonable period of time after the date this Consent Judgment is signed by all Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (1) written agreement among the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of AYS or GOL as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. All Parties and the California Attorney General's Office shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.
- 6.2 If, after January 1, 2015, a court approves a settlement, or otherwise finally adjudicates, a Proposition 65 claim concerning any company's protein supplement product(s) such that the settlement or adjudication requires that company to meet a less stringent warning threshold for cadmium ("Alternative Cadmium Threshold") than that specified in this Consent Judgment, then at GOL's sole option, GOL may notify AYS of the Alternative Cadmium Threshold and request a modification of this Consent Judgment. Within 14 days of such request, the Parties agree to meet in good faith to discuss whether the Alternative Cadmium Threshold shall apply in place of the cadmium warning threshold specified in this Consent Judgment. As part of those meet and confer efforts, the Parties shall consider whether any of the other company's protein supplement products at issue in the Alternative Cadmium Threshold are competitor products of any of the Protein Supplement Products in this Consent Judgment. Factors that are considered relevant to determining whether the other company's protein supplement products are competitor products include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) the competitor products contain plant-based protein as one or more of the top five ingredients and/or are marketed as vegan or vegetarian supplements; and (ii) the competitor products are sold in similar retail channels in California as GOL's Protein Supplement Products.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.3

Parties shall seek a court approved modification of this Consent Judgment so that the Alternative Cadmium Threshold applies to any Protein Supplement Products that GOL manufactures for sale in the State of California, distributes into the State of California, or sells in the State of California after the Compliance Date. If the Parties cannot agree that such Alternative Cadmium Threshold is appropriate, GOL may seek a court approved modification of this Consent Judgment so that the Alternative Cadmium Threshold applies to any Protein Supplement Products that GOL manufactures for sale in the State of California, distributes into the State of California, or sells in the State of California after the Compliance Date, provided that such level does not exceed 8 micrograms per serving. AYS may oppose this motion. GOL shall provide 14 days notice to AYS prior to filing any such motion.

If the Parties agree that such Alternative Cadmium Threshold is appropriate, the

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon AYS and GOL, their 7.1 respective officers, directors, and shareholders and the predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them.

8. RELEASES

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between AYS, on 8.1 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and GOL, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead and cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products. AYS, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and on behalf of the general public in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in (directly or indirectly) any form of legal action and releases and discharges: (a) GOL and its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions; (b) each of their respective joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, and contractors; (c) each of the distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, packagers of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b); and (d) each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c) (the persons and entities identified in (a), (b), (c), and (d), including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, are collectively

28

referred to as the "Released Parties") from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to investigation fees, attorneys' fees, and expert fees), costs, and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding alleged exposures to lead and cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products manufactured, distributed or sold before the Compliance Date.

- Defendant's Waiver and Release of Plaintiff: Defendant hereby releases Plaintiff 8.2 from and waives any claims against Plaintiff for injunctive relief or damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of attorneys, experts, and others), costs, expenses, or any other sum incurred or claimed or which could have been claimed for matters related to the Notices or Complaint.
- 8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead and cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products.
- 8.4 Nothing in this Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent Judgment.

10. **COURT APPROVAL**

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by this Court, it shall be of no force or effect and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

11. **DURATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

- This Consent Judgment shall terminate within five years of the Effective Date unless 11.1 the term of this Consent Judgment is extended. This Consent Judgment shall be extended only by (i) a stipulation of the Parties that is entered by the Court, or (ii) an order of the Court following the process set out in Sections 11.2 and 11.3.
- If either Party seeks to extend the term of this Consent Judgment, no later than 180 days after the fourth anniversary of the Effective Date, such Party may provide notice to the other

I	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Party that it believes the term of the Consent Judgment should be extended. The Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding an extension of the Consent Judgment. Factors that may be considered relevant to the decision whether to extend the Consent Judgment include: (i) that competitor products covered in any of the Abbott Labs consent judgments continue to be sold without a Proposition 65 warning and that it would harm GOL in the marketplace if GOL were subject to lead standards that differ from those set in Section 3; or (ii) that, despite GOL's good faith efforts to reduce lead and cadmium pursuant to Section 2.4, one or more Protein Supplement Products contain more than 0.5 micrograms of lead in Daily Serving and/or 4.1 micrograms of cadmium in a Daily Serving; (iii) that competitor products do not result in a lead exposure exceeding 0.5 micrograms lead in a Daily Serving or exceeding 4.1 micrograms cadmium in a Daily Serving; (iv) that competitor products are being sold subject to a consent judgment, filed after the Effective Date, setting cadmium or lead limits more stringent than those in this Consent Judgment; (v) that OEHHA has decreased the levels at which lead or cadmium exposures require a warning; (vi) that methods have become available to remove or reduce lead or cadmium in a manner that is applicable to the Protein Supplement Products; (vii) other similar information that supports ending or extending the Consent Judgment to reflect changing circumstances. Nothing in this provision shall obligate either Party to agree to an extension of the term of this Consent Judgment and either Party may oppose, and the court shall consider such factors, in any motion to extend the term of this Consent Judgment.

any requested extension of the term of the Consent Judgment. As part of their discussion, if the Parties are unable to resolve any dispute concerning a requested extension of the term of the Consent Judgment, they shall also meet and confer in good faith to consider whether a modification of this Consent Judgment is appropriate. If the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute informally within sixty (60) days after GOL provides AYS the grounds for GOL's requested extension of the term of the Consent Judgment, GOL may bring a motion or proceeding to seek judicial relief as to the termination clause. The prevailing Party in such a motion or proceeding is entitled to seek

recovery of its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in any such motion or proceeding pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

11.4 The meet and confer deadlines specified under this Section 11 may be modified in writing by the Parties.

12. ENFORCEMENT

- Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer within thirty (30) days of receiving written notice of the alleged violation from another party. In the event that the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute through the meet and confer process, this Consent Judgment may be enforced using any available provision of law. If AYS is the prevailing Party in any dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, it may seek any fines, costs, penalties, or remedies provided by law for failure to comply with California Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. A prevailing Party in such a dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment is entitled to seek recovery of its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in any such motion or proceeding pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5. Notwithstanding any language to the contrary in Sections 2.3, 3.7 or otherwise herein, AYS may disclose GOL's test results in a court filing in support of any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment provided that AYS first provides GOL an opportunity to make a motion for leave to seal such data pursuant to a protective order.
- 12.2 In the event that GOL misses any deadline required under this Consent Judgment for the submission of reports, testing, or of any other notifications to AYS required under the Consent Judgment, GOL shall nonetheless be deemed to be in compliance with such a deadline if it submits the required information or notification to AYS within fourteen (14) days of discovering the missed deadline.

13. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

14. EXCHANGE IN COUNTERPARTS

Stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall be deemed to constitute one document.

15. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (a) first-class, registered, certified return receipt requested, or (b) by overnight courier on AYS or GOL by the other at the addresses set forth below. Either AYS or GOL may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to AYS, it shall be sent to:

Danielle Fugere President As You Sow 1611 Telegraph Ave, Suite 1450 Oakland, CA 94612

and

Ellison Folk Shute, Mihaley & Weinberger LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to GOL, it shall be sent to:

Jeff Brams Vice President and General Counsel Garden of Life LLC 5500 Village Boulevard, Suite 202 West Palm Beach, FL 33407

and

Trenton H. Norris Sarah Esmaili Arnold & Porter LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

27

26

28

2	If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this			
3	Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provision			
4	remaining shall not be adversely affected.			
5	17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT			
6	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the			
7	ties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,			
8	negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or			
9	otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party			
10	hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed			
11	to exist or to bind any of the Parties.			
12	18. ENTIRE AGREEMENT			
13	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the			
14	Party that he or she represents to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party			
15	represented and legally bind that Party.			
16				
17	Dated: 3915 AS YOU SOW			
18	By:			
19	Danielle Fugere President			
20	resident			
21	Dated: GARDEN OF LIFE, LLC			
22				
23	By: Name			
24	[Title]			
25	APPROVED AND ORDERED:			
26				
27	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court			
28	ovart and a superior			
- 11				

- 16 -[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

SEVERABILITY

16.	SEVERABILITY			
	If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent	t Judgment, any of the provisions of this		
Cons	nsent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions			
remai	aining shall not be adversely affected.			
17.	ENTIRE AGREEMENT			
	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and e	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the		
Partie	s with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,			
negot	otiations, commitments, and understandings related	l hereto. No representations, oral or		
other	erwise, express or implied, other than those contain	vise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party		
herete	eto. No other agreements not specifically referred t	to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed		
to exist or to bind any of the Parties.				
18.	ENTIRE AGREEMENT			
	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the			
Party	rty that he or she represents to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party			
repres	esented and legally bind that Party.			
Dated	ed: AS	YOU SOW		
	By			
	<i>Dy</i> .	Danielle Fugere President		
		Trestaent		
Dated	ed: March 18, 2015 GA	RDEN OF LIFE, LLC		
	By	: [Name] Jeffrey Brent Brams		
		[Title] GC & VP		
APPI	PROVED AND ORDERED:			
Dated	ed: Jud	lge of the Superior Court		
	- 16 - [PROPOSED] CONSEN	T HIDGMENT		

EXHIBIT A GOL's Protein Supplement Products Any protein supplement powders and shakes containing protein that are, at any time, manufactured, distributed, or sold by GOL. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Protein Supplement Products include the following: RAW Organic Protein Powder (all flavors and varieties) RAW Organic Fit Protein Powder (all flavors and varieties) RAW Organic Meal Protein Powder (all flavors and varieties) Whole Foods Exclusive RAW Organic Protein Powder (all flavors and varieties) Whole Foods Exclusive RAW Organic Meal Protein Powder (all flavors and varieties) Perfect Food RAW Organic Powder (all flavors and varieties) Perfect Food Powder (all flavors and varieties) Organic Plant Protein (all flavors and varieties)

EXHIBIT B List of Laboratories Deemed Approved Eurofins Exova, Inc. K Prime, Inc. National Food Laboratory, Inc. - 18 -[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT