SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

PARTIES 1.

- 1.1 The parties to this Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") are Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG") on the one hand, and The J.M. Smucker Company ("JMS"), Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc. ("MZB"), and Atlantic Coffee Solutions, LLC ("ACS") on the other (JMS, MZB, and ACS collectively the "Settling Companies"). CAG and Settling Companies are referred to collectively as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party."
- 1.2 CAG is an organization with its principal place of business in California, acting as a citizen enforcer of California Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.3 JMS is an Ohio corporation, MZB is a Delaware corporation, and ACS is a Texas limited liability company, each employing ten (10) or more persons and, for the purpose of Proposition 65, each is a person doing business in California.

2. **ALLEGATIONS**

- 2.1 On or about July 14, 2014, CAG served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to MZB, M. Zanetti Industries, S.A., Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation, and The Yucaipa Companies, LLC, and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each California city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the alleged violations allegedly occurred ("July 14 MZB Notice"). The July 14 MZB Notice alleged that MZB and the other parties named in the July 14 MZB Notice caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available powdered coffee and cappuccino beverage products containing lead, including Hills Bros. Cappuccino Drink Mix French Vanilla, Barcode 0 18400 31251 7 ("Powdered Coffee Drink").
- 2.2 On or about July 24, 2014, CAG served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to MZB, M. Zanetti Industries, S.A., Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation, and The Yucaipa Companies, LLC, and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each California city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the alleged violations allegedly occurred ("July 24 MZB Notice"). The July

18

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

24 MZB Notice alleged that MZB and the other parties named in the July 24 MZB Notice caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available instant coffee containing lead, including Hillson Regular Instant Coffee, Barcode 5 051379 031237 ("Instant Coffee").

- 2.3 On or about July 24, 2014, CAG served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to JMS, Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation, Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc., and The Yucaipa Companies, LLC, and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each California city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the alleged violations allegedly occurred ("July 24 JMS Notice"). The July 24 JMS Notice alleged that JMS and the other parties named in the July 24 JMS Notice caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available instant espresso coffee containing lead, including Medaglia D'Oro Instant Espresso Coffee, Barcode 0 74471 00091 3 ("Instant Coffee").
- 2.4 On or about August 22, 2014, CAG served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to Maximus Coffee Group LP, predecessor in interest to ACS, and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each California city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred ("August 22 ACS Notice"). The August 22 ACS Notice alleged that Maximus Coffee Group/ACS caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available instant coffee containing lead, including Nice! Original Roast Instant Coffee, WIC 434097, Barcode 0 49022 55794 9 ("Instant Coffee").

The Notices referred to in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 are attached hereto as Exhibit A, and collectively referred to as the "Notices."

- 2.5 Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or other reproductive harm.
- 2.6 The products that are covered by this Agreement are all Powdered Coffee and Instant Coffee products manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold, or offered for sale in California

by Settling Companies, respectively, and which products may be manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold, or offered for sale in California under Settling Companies' respective brands or downstream customer private labels ("Covered Products").

- 2.7 Each Settling Company denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notices as applicable to that Settling Company, and maintains that all Covered Products that each respective Settling Company has manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold, and/or offered for sale in California have been and are in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65.
- 2.8 The Parties have expended effort and resources in investigating and evaluating the allegations set forth in the Notices, including exchanging information regarding the Covered Products and engaging in a negotiation and technical dialogue regarding settlement.
- 2.9 To avoid prolonged and costly litigation, the Parties therefore enter into this Agreement to resolve and settle all Proposition 65 claims concerning the Covered Products, including without limitation any disputes, obligations, claims and/or causes of action that were or could have been asserted by CAG with respect to such matters.
- 2.10 This Agreement is the direct result of a compromise of disputed allegations and claims. As such, it is the Parties' intent that nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 2.11 Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, nothing in this Agreement shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense any Party may have in any other legal proceeding.

3. EFFECTIVE DATE

3.1 The Effective Date of this Agreement shall be the date on which this Agreement is fully executed by the Parties.

4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

4.1.1 *Instant Coffee*. Commencing ninety (90) calendar days after the Effective Date, each Settling Company respectively shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, sell, or offer for sale, in California any Instant Coffee product, unless such Instant Coffee product is a Reformulated Product as set forth in Section 4.2.1.

4.1.2 *Powdered Coffee Drink*. Commencing two-hundred and seventy (270) calendar days after the Effective Date, MZB shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, sell, or offer for sale, in California any Powdered Coffee Drink product, unless such Powdered Coffee Drink product is a Reformulated Product as set forth in Section 4.2.1.

4.2 Reformulated Products.

4.2.1. A Reformulated Product for purposes of this Agreement is a Covered Product for which daily consumption contains no more than 0.5 micrograms (mcg) of lead.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 In full and complete satisfaction of all civil penalties and reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred by CAG that have or could have been claimed in connection with this action up to and including the Effective Date, Settling Companies collectively shall pay the total sum of one-hundred and eight thousand dollars (\$108,000), allocated as set forth in Exhibit B. Payment shall be made within fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date. Each Settling Company shall be solely responsible for payment of its allocated share of the total collective payment, and shall not be responsible for the payment obligation of any other Settling Company.
- a. Of the civil penalty amounts set forth in Exhibit B, 75% shall be allocated to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and 25% shall be allocated to CAG. Each respective civil penalty payment shall be made by the individual paying Settling Company in two checks. One check payable to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. for 25% of the civil penalty, and a second check payable to OEHHA representing the remaining 75% of the civil penalty. Such payment to OEHHA shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days after receipt by CAG of each respective civil penalty payment. CAG shall provide to the Settling

1	Companies proof of payment to OEHHA within five (5) calendar days of CAG's payment to			
2	OEHHA. All checks shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100			
3	Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212. Additionally, two separate			
4	1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box			
5	4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of the civil penalty paid to			
6	OEHHA. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount payable to CAG as its 25% share of the			
7	civil penalties.			
8	b. Each separate payment to CAG shall be made in a check payable to			
9	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.			
0	c. Each respective attorney fee and cost reimbursement payment shall be made			
1	in a check payable to Yeroushalmi & Associates.			
2	5.2 All payments shall be delivered to the following address:			
3	Reuben Yeroushalmi Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi			
4	9100 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 240W			
5	Beverly Hills, California 90212			
6	5.3 Except as otherwise provided in Section 5.1 above and Section 10.3 below, the			
7	Parties agree to bear their own costs and attorney's fees in connection with the preparation and			
8	execution of this Agreement.			
9	6. CAG'S RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS			
20	6.1 This Agreement is a full, final, and binding resolution between (a) CAG on			
21	behalf of CAG, and (b)(i) each Settling Company, and each Settling Company's respective			
22	shareholders, members, parents, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, and affiliated			
23	entities, and each of their respective directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and any and all			
24	successors and assigns (collectively, "Releasees"), and (ii) all entities to which Releasees directly			
25	or indirectly distribute or sell the Covered Products, or have directly or indirectly distributed or			
26	sold the Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers,			

retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, licensees, owners, purchasers, users, and

their respective parent companies, subsidiaries, corporate affiliates, and all entities with which a Releasee may co-manufacture the Covered Products (collectively, "Downstream Releasees"), regarding any violations or alleged violations of Proposition 65 that have or could have been asserted against Releasees and/or Downstream Releasees, with respect to the failure to warn about exposures to lead from any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold or offered for sale by Releasees or Downstream Releasees.

- 6.2 CAG, acting on its own behalf, releases Releasees and Downstream Releasees from all claims for violations or alleged violations of Proposition 65 prior to the Effective Date based on exposures to lead from any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold or offered for sale by Releasees or Downstream Releasees.
- 6.3 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, CAG, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, predecessors, and/or assigns, hereby waives and releases any right to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims that it may have, including without limitation, all actions and causes of action in law and/or in equity, all suits, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including but not exclusively, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees, liabilities, obligations, and demands of any nature, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead contained in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold, and/or offered for sale by each Settling Company, respectively, before the Effective Date. CAG has full knowledge of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, and acknowledges that the claims released in this section 6.3 may include unknown claims and waives Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. Section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542. The release in this section shall have no force or effect with respect to a respective Settling Company until the full amount of payments set forth in Exhibit B required of that Settling Company are paid in full.

- 6.4 The Parties agree that material compliance with the terms of this Agreement constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by any Releasee or Downstream Releasee with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold, and/or offered for sale by any Settling Company after the Effective Date.
- 6.5 Liability for Covered Products that were manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold, and/or offered for sale in California prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability set forth in this Section 6, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, sold to customers or users.

7. SETTLING COMPANIES RELEASE OF CAG

7.1 Each Settling Company respectively waives any and all claims against CAG, and its attorneys, consultants, and representatives, for any and all actions or statements made or undertaken by CAG in the course of this action or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

8. PUBLIC BENEFIT

8.1 It is the Settling Companies' understanding that the commitments agreed to herein, and actions to be taken by Settling Companies under this Agreement, confer a significant benefit to the general public, as set forth in Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Cal. Admin. Code tit. 11, section 3201. As such, it is the intent of Settling Companies that to the extent any other private party initiates an action alleging a violation of Proposition 65 with respect to any Settling Company's failure to provide a warning concerning exposure to lead with respect to the Covered Products it has distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California, or will distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California, such distribution or sale within the scope of this Agreement, such private party action would not confer a significant benefit on the general public as to those

1	Covered Products addressed in this Settlement Agreement, provided that the Settling Company
2	subject to the subsequent action is in material compliance with this Settlement Agreement.
3	9. NOTICES
4	9.1 All notices, requests, demands and other correspondence (collectively, "Section 9
5	Notice") that the Parties are required or desire to serve upon or deliver to the other Party(ies) shall
6	be in writing and sent by first class mail (certified and return receipt requested), overnight courier
7	services, or electronic mail as follows:
8	For Consumer Advocacy Group:
9	Reuben Yeroushalmi
10	Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard
11	Suite 240W Beverly Hills, California 90212
12	reuben@yeroushalmi.com
13	For J.M. Smucker Company:
14	The J.M. Smucker Company
15	1 Strawberry Lane Orrville, Ohio 44667 Attn: General Counsel
16	jeannette.knudsen@jmsmucker.com
17	For Massimo Zanetti Beverage:
18	Wayne Goodman General Counsel
19	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc. 1370 Progress Road
20	Suffolk, Virginia 23434 wgoodman@mzb-usa.com
21	For Atlantic Coffee Solutions:
22	Cary W. Urban
23	Corporate Counsel Atlantic Coffee Solutions, LLC
24	3900 Harrisburg Blvd. Houston, Texas 77003
25	cary.urban@atlanticcoffeesolutions.com
26	With a copy, as to each Settling Company, to:
27	Joshua A. Bloom Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson
28	

- 9.2 Any Section 9 Notice sent by first class mail shall be deemed received five (5) calendar days after the date of mailing. Any Notice sent by electronic mail shall be deemed received upon electronic transmission thereof, provided sender does not receive an electronic notice of non-delivery. Any Section 9 Notice sent by overnight courier service shall be deemed received on the day of actual delivery as shown by the confirmation of delivery by the messenger or courier service. If the date of receipt of any Section 9 Notice to be given hereunder falls on a weekend or legal holiday, then such date of receipt shall be automatically extended to the next business day.
- 9.3 The foregoing addresses may be changed by Section 9 Notice given in accordance with this Section 9 without requiring modification of the Agreement pursuant to Section 15.

10. DISPUTE RESOLUTION/ENFORCEMENT

- 10.1 <u>Notice of Settlement Agreement Violation</u>. If CAG alleges a violation of this Agreement by any Settling Company, it shall serve notice of such alleged violation ("NOV") on that Settling Company. At a minimum, the NOV shall specify the Covered Product alleged to contain lead levels above the reformulation level set forth in section 4.2.1, and include a copy of the test results obtained that form the basis of CAG's allegations, the test methodology used, and specify in detail any modification to accepted testing methodologies. CAG shall also provide to the Settling Company a split sample of the Covered Product from the same container from which the CAG sample was drawn.
- 10.2 <u>Dispute Resolution Procedure</u>. In the event that CAG serves an NOV on a Settling Company, or in the event of any other dispute between the Parties arising out of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in an attempt to resolve the dispute informally. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, the disputing party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before a court of competent jurisdiction, file a motion or

application to enforce the terms of this Agreement, provided, however, that no action by CAG to enforce this Agreement may be commenced until at least ninety (90) days after CAG serves the respective Settling Company(ies) with an NOV.

10.3 <u>Prevailing Party Fees and Costs.</u> In the event that any Party files a motion or application to enforce the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing party in such action shall be entitled to recover from the other non-prevailing Party(ies) to the action its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Agreement may only be enforced by the Parties.

11. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

11.1 This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

12. GOVERNING LAW

- The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or otherwise rendered inapplicable or limited by reason of law generally, as to any Settling Company specifically as a result of a statutory exemption, or as to any of the Covered Products, then any Settling Company may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, or its applicability to Settling Company or the Covered Products, and Settling Company shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Agreement to the extent that Settling Company or the Covered Products are so affected. Furthermore, to the extent that the maximum available dose level(s) and/or no significant risk level(s) for lead is/are decreased from levels applicable as of the Effective Date, such decrease shall have no effect on, or otherwise act to revise, the standards set forth in Section 4.2 herein for the establishment of Reformulated Products.

13. DRAFTING AND INTERPRETATION

13.1 This Agreement is a result of the joint efforts of the Parties. The Parties,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

including their counsel, have each been given a full opportunity to participate in the preparation of this Agreement. Each of the Parties agrees and represents that no promise, inducement or agreement not expressed in this Agreement has been made to effectuate this Agreement. The Parties' counsel have reviewed and approved this Agreement. Accordingly, the rule of construction that any ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Agreement.

14. **SEVERABILITY**

14.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected, but only to the extent the deletion of the provision deemed unenforceable does not materially affect or otherwise result in the effect of the Agreement being contrary to the Parties' intent of entering into this Agreement.

15. **MODIFICATION**

- 15.1 This Agreement or any of its provisions may be modified only by express written agreement of the Parties, or by an order of a court of competent jurisdiction upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 15.2 A Party seeking to modify this Agreement through a court order shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Agreement.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

16.1 This Agreement contains the entire integrated agreement and understanding of the Parties and supersedes any and all prior written or oral agreements, negotiations, commitments or understandings concerning the subject matter of this Agreement.

17. **COUNTERPARTS**

17.1 This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

18. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned hereby represent and warrant that they are authorized to execute 18.1 this Agreement on behalf of the entity or individual for which they are signing and may bind that entity or individual to the promises and obligations of this Agreement. **COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) 19.** CAG agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in 19.1 California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f).

1	DATED: February, 2016	Consumer Advocacy Group
2		By:
3		Print Name:
4		
5		Title:
6	DATED: February, 2016	The J.M. Smucker Company
7	, <u>—</u>	Par.
8		By:
9		Print Name:
10		Title:
12		
13	DATED: February, 2016	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
14		By:
15		Print Name:
16		
17		Title:
18		
19	DATED: February, 2016	Atlantic Coffee Solutions LLC
20		By:
21		Print Name:
22		
23		Title:
24 25		
23 26		
27		
28		
	OPERAL DA	13 MENT ACREEMENT
	SETTLEN	MENT AGREEMENT

1	DATED: February 9, 2016	Consumer Advocacy Group
2		Ву:
3		Print Name: MULE Cre 8001)
4		Print Name: Muta gresson Title: Deutw Outeton
5		Title: Color (proce to)
6	DATED: February, 2016	The J.M. Smucker Company
7		
8		By:
9		Print Name:
10		Title:
11		
12 13	DATED: February, 2016	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
13		By:
15		
16		Print Name:
17		Title:
18		
19	DATED: February, 2016	Atlantic Coffee Solutions LLC
20		
21		By:
22		Print Name:
23		Title:
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		13
-	SETTLEM	ENT AGREEMENT

1	DATED: February, 2016	Consumer Advocacy Group
2		Ву:
3		Print Name:
4		
5		Title:
6	DATED BASES	
7	DATED: February <u>/o</u> , 2016	The J.M. Smucker Company
8		By: Janutte Anudren
9		By: Junutt Anudren Print Name: JEANNETTE KNUBSEN
10		Title: V-P, GENERAL COUNSEL + CORPORATE
11		SECRETARY
12	DATED: February, 2016	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
13 14		Ву:
15		
16		Print Name:
17		Title:
18		
19	DATED: February, 2016	Atlantic Coffee Solutions LLC
20		D ₁₀
21		By:
22		Print Name:
23		Title:
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		10
		13

1	DATED: February, 2016	Consumer Advocacy Group
2		By:
3		Print Name:
4		Title:
5		
6	DATED: February, 2016	The J.M. Smucker Company
7		Ву:
9		
10		Print Name:
11		Title:
12	D. 47777 7.1 10 2016	THE RESIDENCE THE T
13	DATED: February 10, 2016	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
14		By: John & Boyle
15		Print Name: John Boyle
16		Title: President & CEO
17		
18 19	DATED EL 2017	
20	DATED: February, 2016	Atlantic Coffee Solutions LLC
21		By:
22		Print Name:
23		Title:
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		13
	SETTLEME	ENT AGREEMENT

1	DATED: February, 2016	Consumer Advocacy Group
2		Ву:
3		Print Name:
4		
5		Title:
6	DATED. E.L. 2016	The LM Green has Commence
7	DATED: February, 2016	The J.M. Smucker Company
8		Ву:
9	·	Print Name:
10		Title:
11		
12	DATED: February, 2016	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
13	, <u> </u>	
14		By:
15		Print Name:
16		Title:
17		
18	10	
	DATED: February 12, 2016	Atlantic Coffee Solutions LLC
20		By: Dawid Marking
21		Print Name: DAVID MARTNEZ
22		
23		Title: CEO
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		13
	SETTLEMI	ENT AGREEMENT

SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

July 14, 2014

Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA
1200 Court Street
Portsmouth, VA 23704
Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage
10 Empire Boulevard
Moonachie, NJ 07074

Current President/ CEO M. Zanetti Industries S.A. Rue Beaumont 17 Luxembourg 1219 LUXEMBOURG

Craig Beatty, President, or Current President/ CEO The Yucaipa Companies, LLC 9130 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90069 Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA
1370 Progress Road
Suffolk, VA 23434
Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage
2543 Westbelt Drive

Current President/ CEO M. Zanetti Industries S.A. Rue Beaumont 17 Luxembourg L-1219 LUXEMBOURG

Colombus, OH 43228

Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
205 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Ed Logan or Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage
208 NW Business Park Lane
Riverside, MO 64150

Jim Keyes, CEO
Or Current President/ CEO
Fresh & Easy Neighborhood
Foundation
2120 Park Place, Suite 200
El Segundo, CA 90245

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Powdered Beverage Containing Lead

To whom else this may concern:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, located at 9903 Santa Monica Boulevard #225, Beverly Hills, California 90212, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc., Massimo Zanetti Beverage, M. Zanetti Industries S.A., Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation and The Yucaipa Companies, LLC (collectively "Violators") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violators may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, telephone no. (310) 623-1926, facsimile no. (310) 623-1930. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violators in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred at numerous locations in each county in California as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged

violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is an organization based in California. CAG is an entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person
 in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical
 known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable
 warning to such individual . . ." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- Powdered Beverage contains Lead. CAG has discovered Powdered Beverage specifically Drink Mix ("Drink Mix") containing Lead, which is known to the State of California to cause both cancer and reproductive toxicity, female, male. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity in both males and females, and on October 1, 1992, the Governor added lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer. Both additions took place more than twenty (20) months before CAG served this Notice.
 - O An exemplar of the violations caused by **Drink Mix** includes but is not limited to:
 - "HILLS BROS.® CAPPUCCINO "Drink Mix" "French Vanilla NATURALLY AND ARTIFITIALLY FLAVORED" (net wt/ peso neto 16 oz (1 lb) 453g) "Distributed by Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA" UPC.: 0 18400 31251 7"
- This Notice addresses consumer products exposures. A "'[c]onsumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service."
 Cal. Code Regs. 27 tit. § 25602(b).

Violators caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available **Drink Mix** for distribution or sale in California to consumers. The packaging for **Drink Mix** (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-compliant warning. Nor did Violator, with regard to **Drink Mix**, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violator, with regard to **Drink Mix**, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.

These violations occurred each day between July 14, 2011 and July 14, 2014, and are ever continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure with regard to **Drink Mix** are and were through ingestion, including hand to mouth pathways, inhalation, and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustain exposures by consuming and/or drinking **Drink Mix**, handling **Drink Mix** without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling **Drink Mix** as well as through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from **Drink Mix**.

Proposition 65 requires that notice of intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249:7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten (10) calendar days because the place of address is beyond the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1); and Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27 § 25903(d)(1). CAG remains open and willing to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation.

With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violators, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

Dated: 7//4//9

Reuben Yeroushalmi-

Yeroushalmi & Associates

Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSONIDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.htm l. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/New list.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.ochha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.

A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes placeless than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees.

Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement.

See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a fist of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant ² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause

[&]quot; See Section 25501(a)(4).

cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...
Contact the Office of Environmental Health

Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65

Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via email at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

Powdered Beverage containing Lead

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

			(
Dated:	7/19/19			7
		By:	Reuben Yeroushalmi	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Beverly Hills, CA

Name and address of each party to whom documents were mailed:

Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA 1200 Court Street Portsmouth, VA 23704 Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage 10 Empire Boulevard Moonachie, NJ 07074

Current President/ CEO M. Zanetti Industries S.A. Rue Beaumont 17 Luxembourg 1219 LUXEMBOURG

Craig Beatty, President, or Current President/ CEO The Yucaipa Companies, LLC 9130 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90069

Current President/ CEO 1370 Progress Road Suffolk, VA 23434 Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage 2543 Westbelt Drive Colombus, OH 43228

Current President/ CEO M. Zanetti Industries S.A. Rue Beaumont 17 Luxembourg L-1219 LUXEMBOURG

Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc. 205 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10016 Ed Logan or Current President/ CEO

Massimo Zanetti Beverage 208 NW Business Park Lane Riverside, MO 64150

Jim Keyes, CEO Or Current President/ CEO Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation 2120 Park Place, Suite 200 El Segundo, CA 90245

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:

See Distribution List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date of Mailing: 07 - 16 - 2014

By:

Hya Gingoyon

Distribution List

Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon St, Room 900 Oakland, CA. 94612	Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 W Temple St, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012	Mono County District Attorney PO Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517	
Alpine County District Attorney PO Box 248	Madera County District Attorney 209 W Yosemite Ave	San Joaquin County District Attorney PO Box 990	
Markleeville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990	
Amador County District Attorney 708 Court, Suite 202	Mariposa County District Attorney P.O. Box 730	San Francisco County District Attorney 850 Bryant St, Rm 322	
Jackson, CA 95642 Butte County District Attorney	Mariposa, CA 95338 Marin County District Attorney	San Francisco, CA 94103 San Diego County District Attorney	
25 County Center Dr. Oroville, CA 95965-3385	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130 San Rafael, CA 94903	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300 San Diego, CA 92101-3803	
Calaveras County District Attorney 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249	Mendocino County District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482	San Bernardino County District Attorney 316 N Mountain View Ave San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004	
Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles City Attorney 200 N Main St Ste 1800 Los Angeles CA 90012	San Francisco City Attorney # 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234 San Francisco, CA 94102	
Colusa County District Attorney Courthouse, 547 Market St. Colusa, CA 95932	Inyo County District Attorney P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93526	Placer County District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678-6231	
Contra Costa County District Attorney 725 Court St., Room 402 Martinez, CA 94553	Orange County District Attorney PO Box 808 Santa Ana, CA 92702	Merced County District Attorney 650 W. 20th Street Merced, CA 95340	
Del Norte County District Attorney 450 "II" St.	Nevada County District Attorney 201 Church St, Suite 8	Napa County District Attorney PO Box 720	
Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720	
El Dorado County District Attorney 515 Main St. Placerville, CA 95667-5697	Plumas County District Attorney 520 Main Street, Rm 404 Quincy, CA 95971	Riverside County District Attorney 3960 Orange St. Stc. 5 Riverside, CA 92501	
Fresno County District Attorney 2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	Sacramento County District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814	San Benito County District Attorney 419 4th St Hollister, CA 95023	
Fresno, CA 93721 Glenn County District Attorney PO Box 430	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney County Government Center, Rm 450	Siskiyou County District Attorney PO Box 986	
Willows, CA 95988 Humboldt County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 San Mateo County District Attorney	Yreka. CA 96097 Solano County District Attorney	
825 5th St., 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501	400 County Center Redwood City, CA 94063	600 Union Ave Fairfield, CA 94533	
Imperial County District Attorney 939 W. Main St., 2 nd Floor El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara County District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara St. Santa Barbara. CA 93101	Sonoma County District Attorney 600 Administration Dr., Rm 212-J Santa Rosa, CA 95403	
Kern County District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Ave. Bakersfield, CA 93301	Santa Clara County District Attorney 70 W Hedding St. San Jose, CA 95110	Shasta County District Attorney 1525 Court St, 3rd Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632	
Kings County District Attorney Gov'l Ctr, 1400 W Lacey Blvd Hanford, CA 93230	Santa Cruz County District Attorney PO Box 1159 Santa Cruz, CA 95061	Sierra County District Attorney PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936-0457	
Lake County District Attorney Stanislaus County District Attorney 255 N Forbes St Lakeport, CA 95453-4790 Stanislaus County District Attorney PO Box 442 Modesto, CA 95353		Trinity County District Attorney PO Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093	
Modue County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street	Sutter County District Attorney 446 Second Street	Yuba County District Attorney 215 5th St	
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Yuba City, CA 95991	Marysville, CA 95901	
San Diego City Attorney City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100	Lassen County District Attorney 200 S Lassen St, Suite 8 Susanville, CA 96130	Monterey County District Attorney PO Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902	
San Diego, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St	Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224	Yolo County District Attorney 310 Second St	
Sonora, CA 95370	Visalia, CA 93291	Woodland, CA 95695	
Ventura County District Attorney 800 S Victoria Ave	Tehama County District Attorney P.O. Box 519	San Jose City Attorney 151 W. Mission St.	
Ventura, CA 93009	Red Bluff, CA 96080	San Jose, CA 95110	

SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

July 24, 2014

Current President/ CEO	Current President/ CEO	Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA	Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.
1200 Court Street	1370 Progress Road	205 Lexington Avenue
Portsmouth, VA 23704	Suffolk, VA 23434	New York, NY 10016
Current President/ CEO	Current President/ CEO	Ed Logan or Current President/ CEO
Massimo Zanetti Beverage	Massimo Zanetti Beverage	Massimo Zanetti Beverage
10 Empire Boulevard	2543 Westbelt Drive	208 NW Business Park Lane
Moonachie, NJ 07074	Colombus, OH 43228	Riverside, MO 64150
Current President/ CEO	Current President/ CEO	Jim Keyes, CEO
M. Zanetti Industries S.A.	M. Zanetti Industries S.A.	Or Current President/ CEO
Rue Beaumont 17	Rue Beaumont 17	Fresh & Easy Neighborhood
Luxembourg 1219	Luxembourg L-1219	Foundation
LUXEMBOURG	LUXEMBOURG	2120 Park Place, Suite 200
		El Segundo, CA 90245
Craig Beatty, President, or		
Current President/ CEO		
The Yucaipa Companies, LLC		

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Coffee Products Containing Lead

To whom else this may concern:

9130 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90069

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, located at 9903 Santa Monica Boulevard #225, Beverly Hills, California 90212, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc., Massimo Zanetti Beverage, M. Zanetti Industries S.A., Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation and The Yucaipa Companies, LLC (collectively "Violators") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violators may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, telephone no. (310) 623-1926, facsimile no. (310) 623-1930. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violators in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred at numerous locations in each county in California as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is an organization based in California. CAG is an entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." *Cal. Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6.
- Coffee Products contains Lead. CAG has discovered Coffee Products specifically Instant Coffee ("Coffee") containing Lead, which is known to the State of California to cause both cancer and reproductive toxicity, female, male. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity in both males and females, and on October 1, 1992, the Governor added lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer. Both additions took place more than twenty (20) months before CAG served this Notice.
 - An exemplar of the violations caused by **Coffee** includes but is not limited to:
 - Hillson REGULAR INSTANT COFFEE, NET WT 7 OZ (198g), 100% COFFEE, "60503", "Distributed by Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA Portsmouth, VA 23704", Barcode: 5 051379 031237
- This Notice addresses consumer products exposures. A "'[c]onsumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.* 27 tit. § 25602(b).

Violators caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available **Coffee** for distribution or sale in California to consumers. The packaging for **Coffee** (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-compliant warning. Nor did Violator, with regard to **Coffee**, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violator, with regard to **Coffee**, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.

These violations occurred each day between July 24, 2011 and July 24, 2014, and are ever continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure with regard to **Coffee** are and were through ingestion, including hand to mouth pathways, inhalation, and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustain exposures by consuming and/or drinking **Coffee**, handling **Coffee** without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling **Coffee** as well as through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from **Coffee**.

Proposition 65 requires that notice of intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. *Cal. Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten (10) calendar days because the place of address is beyond the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. *See Cal. Code Civ. Proc.* § 1013; *Cal. Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(d)(1); and *Cal. Code Regs.* tit. 27 § 25903(d)(1). CAG remains open and willing to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation.

With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violators, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

Dated: 129/19

Reuben Yeroushalmi

Yeroushalmi & Associates

Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSONIDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.htm l. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at:

http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/New list.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.

A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes placeless than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees.

Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement.

See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant ² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause

² See Section 25501(a)(4)

cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65

Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via email at <u>P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov</u>.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

Coffee Products containing Lead

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated:

By: Reuben Yeroushalmi

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Beverly Hills, CA

Name and address of each party to whom documents were mailed:

Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA 1200 Court Street

Portsmouth, VA 23704

Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage 10 Empire Boulevard Moonachie, NJ 07074

Current President/ CEO M. Zanetti Industries S.A. Rue Beaumont 17 Luxembourg 1219 LUXEMBOURG

Craig Beatty, President, or Current President/ CEO The Yucaipa Companies, LLC 9130 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90069

Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA 1370 Progress Road

Suffolk, VA 23434

Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage 2543 Westbelt Drive Colombus, OH 43228

Current President/ CEO M. Zanetti Industries S.A. Rue Beaumont 17 Luxembourg L-1219 LUXEMBOURG

Current President/ CEO

Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA, Inc.

205 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10016

Ed Logan or Current President/ CEO Massimo Zanetti Beverage 208 NW Business Park Lane Riverside, MO 64150

Jim Keyes, CEO Or Current President/ CEO Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation 2120 Park Place, Suite 200

El Segundo, CA 90245

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:

See Distribution List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date of Mailing:

07-24-14

Hya Gingoyon

Distribution List

Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon St, Room 900	Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 W Temple St, 18th Floor	Mono County District Attorney PO Box 617
Oakland, CA 94612 Alpine County District Attorney	Los Angeles, CA 90012 Madera County District Attorney	Bridgeport, CA 93517 San Joaquin County District Attorney
PO Box 248	209 W Yosemite Ave	PO Box 990
Markleeville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990
Amador County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney
708 Court, Suite 202	P.O. Box 730	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Jackson, CA 95642	Mariposa, CA 95338	San Francisco, CA 94103
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney
25 County Center Dr.	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafael, CA 94903	San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney
891 Mountain Ranch Road	P.O. Box 1000	316 N Mountain View Ave
San Andreas, CA 95249	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
Office of the Attorney General	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney
P.O. Box 70550	200 N Main St Ste 1800	# 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles CA 90012	San Francisco, CA 94102
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Suite 240
	One of Court Picture Att	Roseville, CA 95678-6231
Contra Costa County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Merced County District Attorney 650 W. 20 th Street
725 Court St., Room 402	PO Box 808	Merced, CA 95340
Martinez, CA 94553 Del Norte County District Attorney	Santa Ana, CA 92702 Nevada County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney
450 "H" St.	201 Church St, Suite 8	PO Box 720
Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720
El Dorado County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney
515 Main St.	520 Main Street, Rm 404	3960 Orange St. Ste. 5
Placerville, CA 95667-5697	Quincy, CA 95971	Riverside, CA 92501
Fresno County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	901 G Street	419 4th St
Fresno, CA 93721	Sacramento, CA 95814	Hollister, CA 95023
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
PO Box 430	County Government Center, Rm 450	PO Box 986
Willows, CA 95988	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Yreka, CA 96097
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Mateo County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney
825 5th St., 4 th Floor	400 County Center	600 Union Ave
Eureka, CA 95501	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
Imperial County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney
939 W. Main St., 2 nd Floor	1112 Santa Barbara St.	600 Administration Dr., Rm 212-J
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Kern County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Shasta County District Attorney
1215 Truxtun Ave.	70 W Hedding St.	1525 Court St. 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	San Jose, CA 95110	Redding, CA 96001-1632
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Ctr, 1400 W Lacey Blvd	PO Box 1159	PO Box 457
Hanford, CA 93230	Santa Cruz, CA 95061	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
Lake County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
255 N Forbes St	PO Box 442	PO Box 310
Lakeport, CA 95453-4790	Modesto, CA 95353	Weaverville, CA 96093
Modoc County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
204 S. Court Street	446 Second Street	215 5th St
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Yuba City, CA 95991	Marysville, CA 95901
San Diego City Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Monterey County District Attorney
City Center Plaza	200 S Lassen St, Suite 8	PO Box 1131
1200 3rd Ave # 1100	Susanville, CA 96130	Salinas, CA 93902
San Diego, CA 92101		
Γuolumne County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney
2 S Green St	County Civic Center, Rm 224	310 Second St
Sonora, CA 95370	Visalia, CA 93291	Woodland, CA 95695
Ventura County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney	San Jose City Attorney
800 S Victoria Ave	P.O. Box 519	151 W. Mission St.
Ventura, CA 93009	Red Bluff, CA 96080	San Jose, CA 95110

SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

July 24, 2014

Jose Souto, President, or Current President/CEO Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc. 1 Strawberry Lane Orrville, OH 44667

Timothy Smucker, CEO, or Current President/CEO The J.M. Smucker Company 1 Strawberry Lane Orrville, OH 44667-0280 Craig Beatty, President, or Current President/CEO The Yucaipa Companies, LLC 9130 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90069

Jim Keyes, CEO, or Or Current President/CEO Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation 2120 Park Place, Suite 200 El Segundo, CA 90245

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Coffee Products Containing Lead

To whom else this may concern:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, located at 9903 Santa Monica Boulevard #225, Beverly Hills, California 90212, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc., The J.M. Smucker Company, The Yucaipa Companies, LLC, and Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation, (collectively "Violators") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violators may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, telephone no. (310) 623-1926, facsimile no. (310) 623-1930. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violators in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred at numerous locations in each county in California as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is an organization based in California. CAG is an entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

- CAG has discovered **Coffee Products** specifically **Instant Espresso Coffee** ("Coffee") containing **Lead** which is known to the State of California to cause both cancer and reproductive toxicity, female, male. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity in both males and females, and on October 1, 1992, the Governor added lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer. Both took place more than twenty (20) months before CAG served this Notice.
 - An exemplar of the violations caused by **Coffee** includes but is not limited to:
 - MEDAGLIA D'ORO® INSTANT ESPRESSO COFFEE, NET WT 2 OZ. (57 g), "DISTRIBUTED BY ROWLAND COFFEE ROASTERS, INC."
 BARCODE: 0 74471 00091 3
- This Notice addresses consumer products exposures. A "[c]onsumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.* 27 tit. § 25602(b).

Violators caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available **Coffee** for distribution or sale in California to consumers. The packaging for **Coffee** (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-compliant warning. Nor did Violators, with regard to **Coffee**, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violators, with regard to **Coffee**, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.

These violations occurred each day between July 24, 2011, and July 24, 2014, and are ever continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure with regard to **Coffee** are and were through ingestion, including hand to mouth pathways, inhalation, and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustain exposures by consuming and/or drinking **Coffee**, handling **Coffee** without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling **Coffee** as well as through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from **Coffee**.

Proposition 65 requires that notice of intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. *Cal. Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten (10) calendar days because the place of address is beyond the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. *See Cal. Code Civ. Proc.* § 1013; *Cal. Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(d)(1); and *Cal. Code Regs.* tit. 27 § 25903(d)(1). CAG remains open and willing to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation.

With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violators, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

Dated: 129/(9

Reuben Yeroushalmi

Yeroushalmi & Associates

Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENTCALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSONIDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.htm l. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at:

http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/New list.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.

A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes placeless than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees.

Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement.

See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant ² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause

5

² See Section 25501(a)(4)

cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...
Contact the Office of Environmental Health

Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65

Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via email at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

Coffee containing Lead

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated:

7529/14

Reuben Yeroushalmi

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Beverly Hills, CA

Name and address of each party to whom documents were mailed:

Jose Souto, President, or Current President/CEO Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc.

Timothy Smucker, CEO, or Current President/CEO The J.M. Smucker Company 1 Strawberry Lane 1 Strawberry Lane Orrville, OH 44667 Orrville, OH 44667-0280

Craig Beatty, President, or Current President/CEO The Yucaipa Companies, LLC 9130 West Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90069

Jim Keyes, CEO, or Or Current President/CEO Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Foundation 2120 Park Place, Suite 200 El Segundo, CA 90245

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:

See Distribution List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date of Mailing:

07-24-14

Hya Gingoyon

Distribution List

Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon St, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612	Los Angeles County District Attorney 210 W Temple St, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012	Mono County District Attorney PO Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517
Alpine County District Attorney PO Box 248	Madera County District Attorney 209 W Yosemite Ave	San Joaquin County District Attorney PO Box 990
Markleeville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990
Amador County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney
708 Court, Suite 202	P.O. Box 730	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Jackson, CA 95642	Mariposa, CA 95338	San Francisco, CA 94103
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney
25 County Center Dr.	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafael, CA 94903	San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney
891 Mountain Ranch Road	P.O. Box 1000	316 N Mountain View Ave
San Andreas, CA 95249	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
Office of the Attorney General	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney
P.O. Box 70550	200 N Main St Ste 1800	# 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles CA 90012	San Francisco, CA 94102
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Suite 240
Control Control Control District	Oranga Causto District Atta	Roseville, CA 95678-6231
Contra Costa County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Merced County District Attorney 650 W. 20 th Street
725 Court St., Room 402	PO Box 808	Merced, CA 95340
Martinez, CA 94553 Del Norte County District Attorney	Santa Ana, CA 92702 Nevada County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney
450 "H" St.	201 Church St, Suite 8	PO Box 720
Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720
El Dorado County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney
515 Main St.	520 Main Street, Rm 404	3960 Orange St. Ste. 5
Placerville, CA 95667-5697	Quincy, CA 95971	Riverside, CA 92501
Fresno County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	901 G Street	419 4th St
Fresno, CA 93721	Sacramento, CA 95814	Hollister, CA 95023
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
PO Box 430	County Government Center, Rm 450	PO Box 986
Willows, CA 95988	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Yreka, CA 96097
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Mateo County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney
825 5th St., 4 th Floor	400 County Center	600 Union Ave
Eureka, CA 95501	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
Imperial County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney
939 W. Main St., 2 nd Floor	1112 Santa Barbara St.	600 Administration Dr., Rm 212-J
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Kern County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Shasta County District Attorney
1215 Truxtun Ave.	70 W Hedding St.	1525 Court St, 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	San Jose, CA 95110	Redding, CA 96001-1632
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Ctr, 1400 W Lacey Blvd	PO Box 1159	PO Box 457
Hanford, CA 93230	Santa Cruz, CA 95061	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
Lake County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
255 N Forbes St	PO Box 442	PO Box 310
Lakeport, CA 95453-4790	Modesto, CA 95353	Weaverville, CA 96093
Modoc County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
204 S. Court Street	446 Second Street	215 5th St
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Yuba City, CA 95991	Marysville, CA 95901
San Diego City Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Monterey County District Attorney
City Center Plaza	200 S Lassen St, Suite 8	PO Box 1131
1200 3rd Ave # 1100	Susanville, CA 96130	Salinas, CA 93902
San Diego, CA 92101		
Γuolumne County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney
2 S Green St	County Civic Center, Rm 224	310 Second St
Sonora, CA 95370	Visalia, CA 93291	Woodland, CA 95695
Ventura County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney	San Jose City Attorney
800 S Victoria Ave	P.O. Box 519	151 W. Mission St.
Ventura, CA 93009	Red Bluff, CA 96080	San Jose, CA 95110

SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

August 22, 2014

Current President/ CEO Maximus Coffee Group LP 3900 Harrisburg Blvd. Houston, TX 77002 Current President/ CEO Maximus Coffee Group LP 3906 Harrisburg Blvd. Houston, TX 77003

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Coffee Products Containing Lead

To whom else this may concern:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, located at 9903 Santa Monica Boulevard #225, Beverly Hills, California 90212, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on Maximus Coffee Group LP ("Violator") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violator may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, telephone no. (310) 623-1926, facsimile no. (310) 623-1930. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violator in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred at numerous locations in each county in California as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is an organization based in California. CAG is an entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- CAG has discovered Coffee Products specifically Instant Coffee ("Coffee") containing Lead which is known to the State of California to cause both cancer and reproductive toxicity, female, male. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity in both males and females, and on October 1, 1992, the Governor added lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer. Both took place more than twenty (20) months before CAG served this Notice.
 - o An exemplar of the violations caused by Coffee includes but is not limited to:

- "Nice!TM ORIGINAL ROAST {INSTANT COFFEE} MEDIUM, "NET WT 8 OZ (227g)", "WIC 434097", Barcode: 0 49022 55794 9"
- This Notice addresses consumer products exposures. A "'[c]onsumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." Cal. Code Regs. 27 tit. § 25602(b).

Violator caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available Coffee for distribution or sale in California to consumers. The packaging for Coffee (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-compliant warning. Nor did Violator, with regard to Coffee, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violator, with regard to Coffee, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.

These violations occurred each day between August 22, 2011, and August 22, 2014, and are ever continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure with regard to Coffee are and were through ingestion, including hand to mouth pathways, inhalation, and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustain exposures by consuming and/or drinking Coffee, handling Coffee without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling Coffee as well as through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from Coffee.

Proposition 65 requires that notice of intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten (10) calendar days because the place of address is beyond the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1); and Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27 § 25903(d)(1). CAG remains open and willing to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation.

With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violator, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

Dated: 8/22/14

Reuben Yeroushalmi

Yeroushalmi & Associates

Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENTCALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSONIDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law/72003.htm l. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/New list.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.

A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes placeless than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees.

Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement.

See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant ² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause

² See Section 25501(a)(4)

cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65

Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via email at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

Coffee containing Lead

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated:	8122/14			
	7	By:	Reuben Yeroushalmi	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Beverly Hills, CA

Name and address of each party to whom documents were mailed:

Current President/ CEO

Current President/ CEO

Maximus Coffee Group LP

Maximus Coffee Group LP

3900 Harrisburg Blvd.

3906 Harrisburg Blvd.

Houston, TX 77002

Houston, TX 77003

			documents were mailed:
ama and address a	t acab withlia	WHO COOKIED HE SEVEN AND	dooremonto erano eranitada
ARITE ARITE AUTOLESS O	I CACH DRIVING	THE DESCRIPTION AND AMERICAN	minemients were manen:

See Distribution List					
I declare under pena	lty of perjury under the laws	of the State of	California that the	e foregoing is tru	ie and correct.
Date of Mailing:	8-26-14	Ву:			
			J	ya Gingoyon	

Distribution List

THE LOCAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AN		
Alameda County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Mono County District Attorney
1225 Fallon St, Room 900	210 W Temple St, 18th Floor	PO Box 617
Oakland, CA 94612	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Bridgeport, CA 93517
Alpine County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	
PO Box 248	209 W Yosemite Ave	San Joaquin County District Attorney
		PO Box 990
Markleeville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990
Amador County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney
708 Court, Suite 202	P.O. Box 730	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Jackson, CA 95642	Mariposa, CA 95338	San Francisco, CA 94103
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney
25 County Center Dr.	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130	
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafael, CA 94903	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
		San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney
891 Mountain Ranch Road	P.O. Box 1000	316 N Mountain View Ave
San Andreas, CA 95249	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
Office of the Attorney General	Low America City, Attack	•
	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney
P.O. Box 70550	200 N Main St Ste 1800	# 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles CA 90012	San Francisco, CA 94102
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Suite 240
Contra Costa County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Roseville, CA 95678-6231
		Merced County District Attorney
725 Court St., Room 402	PO Box 808	650 W. 20th Street
Martinez, CA 94553	Santa Ana, CA 92702	Merced, CA 95340
Del Norte County District Attorney	Nevada County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney
450 "H" St.	201 Church St, Suite 8	PO Box 720
Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720
El Dorado County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney
515 Main St.	520 Main Street, Rm 404	
Placerville, CA 95667-5697	Quincy, CA 95971	3960 Orange St. Ste, 5
		Riverside, CA 92501
Fresno County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	901 G Street	419 4th St
Fresno, CA 93721	Sacramento, CA 95814	Hollister, CA 95023
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
PO Box 430	County Government Center, Rm 450	PO Box 986
Willows, CA 95988	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Yreka, CA 96097
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Mateo County District Attorney	
825 5th St., 4 th Floor		Solano County District Attorney
	400 County Center	600 Union Ave
Eureka, CA 95501	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
Imperial County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney
939 W. Main St., 2nd Floor	1112 Santa Barbara St.	600 Administration Dr.,
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Rm 212-J
		Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Kern County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Shasta County District Attorney
1215 Truxtun Ave.	70 W Hedding St.	
	la la la Tarrir	1525 Court St, 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	San Jose, CA 95110	Redding, CA 96001-1632
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Ctr, 1400 W Lacey Blvd	PO Box 1159	PO Box 457
Hanford, CA 93230	Santa Cruz, CA 95061	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
Lake County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
255 N Forbes St	PO Box 442	PO Box 310
Lakeport, CA 95453-4790	Modesto, CA 95353	Weaverville, CA 96093
-mopery of 199 199		THEAVELVILLE, CA 90093
Modoc County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
204 S. Court Street	446 Second Street	215 5th St
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Yuba City, CA 95991	
San Diego City Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Marysville, CA 95901
		Monterey County District Attorney
City Center Plaza	200 S Lassen St, Suite 8	PO Box 1131
1200 3rd Ave # 1100	Susanville, CA 96130	Salinas, CA 93902
San Diego, CA 92101		·}
Tuolumne County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney
2 S Green St	County Civic Center, Rm 224	310 Second St
Sonora, CA 95370	Visalia, CA 93291	Woodland, CA 95695
Ventura County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney	
		San Jose City Attorney
0000 11000101110	P.O. Box 519	151 W. Mission St.
Ventura, CA 93009	Red Bluff, CA 96080	San Jose, CA 95110
		Programme Control
and the same of		The same of the sa

EXHIBIT B **SECTION 5 PAYMENT ALLOCATIONS** 1. J.M. Smucker Company: Total payment of \$36,000 allocated as follows: a. Civil Penalty Payment: \$5,000 (\$3,750 to OEHHA, \$1,250 to CAG) b. Separate payment to CAG: \$5,000 c. Attorney fee and cost reimbursement payment: \$26,000 2. Massimo Zanetti Beverage USA: Total payment of \$36,000 allocated as follows: a. Civil Penalty Payment: \$5,000 (\$3,750 to OEHHA, \$1,250 to CAG) b. Separate payment to CAG: \$5,000 c. Attorney fee and cost reimbursement payment: \$26,000 3. Atlantic Coffee Solutions: Total payment of \$36,000 allocated as follows: a. Civil Penalty Payment: \$5,000 (\$3,750 to OEHHA, \$1,250 to CAG) b. Separate payment to CAG: \$5,000 c. Attorney fee and cost reimbursement payment: \$26,000 2598189.1