1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10			
11	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH) C N. DC 14 722545	
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 14-733545	
13	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT) AS TO FIRST INDO-AMERICAN	
14	V.) CORP.	
15	KOOKABURRA LICORICE COMPANY, et al.,)	
16	Defendants.)	
17	Defendants.))	
18)	
19			
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgmen	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health, a	
23	California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and First Indo-American Corp. ("Settling Defendant").		
24	Plaintiff and Settling Defendant are defined as the "Parties." The Parties enter into this Consent		
25	Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the		
26	operative complaint (the "Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment		
27	covers confectionery licorice products ("Licorice Products") sold or offered for sale by Settling		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 1 -		

CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIRST INDO-AMERICAN CORP. -- CASE NO. RG 14-733545

Defendant.

- 1.2 On August 8, 2014, CEH served a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices alleges that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Licorice Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that distributes, sells or offers for sale Licorice Products in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On July 18, 2014, CEH filed the original Complaint in this matter. On November 25, 2014, CEH filed the operative Second Amended Complaint in this matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Licorice Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Reformulation Level.** The Reformulation Level for Licorice Products is 35 parts per billion ("ppb") or less of Lead by weight. Such concentrations shall be determined by use of a test using ICP-MS equipment with a level of detection of at least 20 ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements ("Test Protocol").
- 2.2 Specification Notice to Vendors of Reformulation Level. To the extent it has not already done so, no more than thirty (30) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("Effective Date"), if and when Settling Defendant purchases Licorice Products from a third party, Settling Defendant shall provide the Reformulation Level to each of its Licorice Products suppliers and shall request each such Licorice Products supplier to provide it with Licorice Products that do not exceed the Reformulation Level. If during the next five (5) year period, Settling Defendant purchases Licorice Products from a third party that it has not previously provided with instructions regarding the Reformulation Levels, Settling Defendant shall provide the Reformulation Levels to the new Licorice Product supplier when placing an initial order for Licorice Products and request the new Licorice Product supplier to provide it with Licorice Products that do not exceed the Reformulation Level. Settling Defendant shall retain records of communications sent to and received from suppliers that reflect its compliance with the communication requirements of this Section for a period of three (3) years and shall make such records available to CEH on reasonable request.
- 2.3 **Reformulation of Licorice Products**: After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, purchase, ship, offer for sale, or sell any Licorice Products that do not either meet the Reformulation Level or comply with the warning provision of Section 2.4.
- 2.4 **Warning Option.** In order to minimize any over-warning by limiting the use of Proposition 65 warnings to products that do not meet the Reformulation Level, Settling Defendant may manufacture, purchase, ship, offer for sale, or sell Licorice Products that do not meet the

¹ Sampling to assess compliance with the Reformulation Levels shall be based on testing of either an aggregate of all licorice contained in a single and discrete package, bag or box as is typically sold in retail, or the average results of any multiple test results from the same aggregate sample.

1	R
2	S
3	p
4	R
5	L
6	
7	C
8	
9	
10	Т
11	C
12	re
13	W
14	m
15	aı
16	b
17	C
18	p
19	3.
20	

Reformulation Level with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of Section 2.4.1 only if Settling Defendant has in its possession a test result demonstrating that a particular Licorice Product identified by its stock keeping unit ("SKU") does not meet the Reformulation Level that is dated within four (4) years of the date Settling Defendant sells the Licorice Product.

2.4.1 **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of

California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

This statement shall be prominently displayed on the Licorice Product packaging with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. For internet sales, the warning statement shall be displayed before a consumer commits to purchasing the Licorice Product and without the need for the consumer to follow any additional hyperlinks beyond those required as part of the ordinary purchasing process.

3. ENFORCEMENT

any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion, or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

27

21

22

23

24

25

26

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendants.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$35,000 as a settlement payment.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric S. Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as follows:
- 4.2.1 \$4,600 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall apportion this payment in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). Accordingly, the civil penalty payment check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.
- 4.2.2 \$6,900 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

4.2.3 \$23,500 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and costs reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175.

5. MODIFICATION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders and their successors and assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Licorice Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, re-packagers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Licorice Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, acting in the public interest, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Licorice Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 CEH, for itself only, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees arising from any

1	violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim that has been or could		
2	have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with		
3	Licorice Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective		
4	Date.		
5	6.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and		
6	Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by such		
7	Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees, and Settling Defendant's		
8	Downstream Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Licorice Products		
9	manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.		
10	6.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute		
11	an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant		
12	Releasees, or Downstream Releasees. Nothing in this Consent Judgment affects CEH's right to		
13	commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against Settling Defendant related to		
14	exposure to Lead from Licorice Products that do not meet the Reformulation Levels after the		
15	dates set out in Section 2.3 or the Warning Option as set out in Section 2.4.		
16	7. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
17	7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
18	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
19			
20	Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group		
21	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
22	esomers@lexlawgroup.com		
23	7.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
24	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
25	Gary C. Cooper		
26	Law Offices of Gary C. Cooper 247 Yale Avenue		
27	Kensington, CA 94708 gcc@gcooperlaw.com		
28	gcc@gcoopenaw.com		

7.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose, other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 8.1.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, *et seq*.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
2	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
4	constitute one document.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:		
7	AND DECREED:		
8	Dated:		
9	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared	- 10 -		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIRST INDO-AMERICAN CORP. – CASE NO. RG 14-733545		

IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: Mm 29, 2015 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Signature Printed Name As SOCIATE DIRECTOR Dated: _____, 2015 FIRST INDO-AMERICAN CORP. Signature Printed Name Title - 11 -DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIRST INDO-AMERICAN CORP. - CASE NO. RG 14-733545

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2 3	Dated:, 2015	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		Signature
6		Signature
7		
8		Printed Name
9		
10		Title
11		
12 13		
14	Dated:, 2015	FIRST INDO-AMERICAN CORP.
15		mm
16		Signature
17		
18		Hee Tan Printed Name
19		
20		President Title
21		Title
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 11 -
OH RECICEED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – FIRST INDO-AMERICAN CORP. – CASE NO. RG 14-733545	