| 1 | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | FOR THE COUNTY O | F SAN FRANCISCO |
| 10 | UNLIMITED JU | URISDICTION |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, |) Case No. CGC-12-526396 |
| 13 | Plaintiff, |) [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT) JUDGMENT AS TO FRIEDA'S, INC. |
| 14 | V. |)) |
| 15 | FAYEON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., et al., |)) |
| 16 | |)) |
| 17 | Defendants. |)) |
| 18 | |) |
| 19 | |)) |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | 1. INTRODUCTION | |
| 23 | 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgmen | nt are the Center For Environmental Health, a |
| 24 | California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Fri | ieda's, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). The Parties |
| 25 | enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain c | laims asserted by CEH against Settling |
| 26 | Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint (| "Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. |
| 27 | This Consent Judgment covers the lead content of | snack food products made with ginger that is |
| 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED | - 1 - | - |
| ON RECYCLED PAPER | | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-12-526396

processed with sugar or a sugar substitute ("Covered Products") that are sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant.

- 1.2 On August 22, 2014, CEH served Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation under Proposition 65, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are offered for sale in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On November 27, 2012, CEH filed the original Complaint in this matter. On December 20, 2012, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint in this matter. The First Amended Complaint has since been amended to add additional named defendants, including Settling Defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent it has not already done so, no more than fifteen (15) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2 to each of its Covered Products suppliers and shall instruct each such Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2. If in the future Settling Defendant purchases Covered Products from a new third party that it has not previously provided with instructions regarding the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2, Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2 prior to placing an initial order for Covered Products and instruct the new Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2. Settling Defendant shall retain records of communications sent to and received from suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of five years from the Effective Date.

2.2 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale in California that contains a concentration of more than seventeen (17) parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of at least ten (10) ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements (the "Reformulation Level").

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period, exchanging any relevant information, in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation absent Court intervention.

1 After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order 2 to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco, seek to enforce the terms and 3 conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. **PAYMENTS** 4 4. 5 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) days of the Effective Date, 6 Settling Defendant shall pay a total \$40,000 as further set forth in this Section. 7 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall 8 be paid in four separate checks in the amounts set forth below and delivered as set forth below. 9 Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a 10 stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is 11 received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable 12 attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent 13 Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows: 14 15 4.2.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in the 16 amount of \$5,250. The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & 17 Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of 18 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the 19 civil penalty payment in the amount of \$3,938 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated 20 with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 For United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics 2 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 3 P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 4 5 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics 6 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 7 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814 8 9 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$1,312 shall be made 10 payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification 11 number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero 12 Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. 13 4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety 14 Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b) in the amount of 15 \$7,900. CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from 16 exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community 17 Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants 18 to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures 19 to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at 20 www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the 21 Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. 22 This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, 23 CA 94117. 24 4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and 25 costs in the amount of \$26,850. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made 26 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-27

- 5 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-12-526396

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities that are under common ownership, predecessors, successors and assigns, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities, other than those listed on Exhibit A, to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by

| 1 | Settlir | ng Defe | endant, Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees and Settling Defendant's |
|-------------|---|----------|---|
| 2 | Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in | | |
| 3 | Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date | | |
| 4 | 7. | PRO | VISION OF NOTICE |
| 5 | | 7.1 | When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the |
| 6 | notice | shall b | be sent by first class and electronic mail to: |
| 7 | | | Eric S. Somers |
| 8 | | | Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street |
| 9 | | | San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com |
| 10 | | 7.2 | When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent |
| 11 | Judgn | nent, th | e notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to: |
| 12 | | | Marie G. Quashnock |
| 13 | | | Alvis Quashnock and Associates 613 First Street |
| 14 | | | Brentwood, California 94513 marie@agalegal.com |
| 15 | | 7.3 | Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by |
| 16 | sendir | ng the o | other Party notice by first class and electronic mail. |
| 17 | 8. | COU | RT APPROVAL |
| 18 | | 8.1 | This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided |
| 19 | howev | ver, tha | t CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and |
| 20 | Settlir | ng Defe | endant shall support approval of such Motion. |
| 21 | | 8.2 | If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect |
| 22 | and sh | all not | be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose. |
| 23 | 9. | GOV | ERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION |
| 24 | | 9.1 | The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of |
| 25 | Califo | rnia. | |
| 26 | 10. | ATT | ORNEYS' FEES |
| 27 | | 10.1 | A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent |
| 28 Pared | | | - 7 - |

Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, *et seq*.

- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 8 -

| 1 | 12. | RETI | ENTION OF JURISDICTION |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | | 12.1 | This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the |
| 3 | Conse | nt Judg | gment. |
| 4 | 13. | AUTI | HORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT |
| 5 | | 13.1 | Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized |
| 6 | by the | Party l | ne or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and |
| 7 | execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party. | | |
| 8 | 14. | 14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS | |
| 9 | | 14.1 | Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim |
| 10 | agains | st an en | tity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in |
| 11 | this Consent Judgment. | | |
| 12 | 15. | EXE | CUTION IN COUNTERPARTS |
| 13 | | 15.1 | The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by |
| 14 | means | s of facs | simile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to |
| 15 | consti | tute one | e document. |
| 16 | IT IS | SO OF | RDERED, ADJUDGED, |
| 17 | AND | DECR | EED |
| 18 | Dotod | | |
| 19 | Dated | • | Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California |
| 20 | | | Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Camorina |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | - 9 - |
| EPARED | | | |

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

| 1 | IT IS SO STIDIU ATED. | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 2 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: | |
| 3 | Dated: 6 May , 2016 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
| 4 | | C.M. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | Courie Pizmino |
| 8 | | Printed Name |
| 9 | | ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR |
| 10 | | Title |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Dated:, 2016 | FRIEDA'S, INC. |
| 13 | | · |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | Ву |
| 16 | | |
| . 17 | | Printed Name |
| 18 | | , |
| 19 | | Title |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | | - 10 - |
| SA HOLICOLD I ALEK | CONSENT JUDGM | ENT – CASE NO. CGC-12-526396 |

| 1 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | Dated:, 2016 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | Printed Name |
| 8 | | Trinted Name |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | Title |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Dated: May 3, 2016 | FRIEDA'S, INC. |
| 13 | 8 | |
| 14 | | Karen B Caplan By |
| 15 | | By |
| 16 | | Kaven B. Caplan |
| 17 | | Printed Name |
| 18 | | CEO + President |
| 19 | | Title |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED | | - 10 - |
| ON RECYCLED PAPER | CONSENT | JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-12-526396 |

| 1 | EXHIBIT A |
|--|---|
| 2 | (LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT |
| 3 | TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE) |
| 4 | List of non-settling defendants that have received |
| 5 | 60-Day Notices re lead in Covered Products from CEH |
| 6 | ACH Food Companies, Inc. |
| 7 | Albertson's LLC |
| 8 | Amazon.com, Inc. |
| 9 | American Roland Food Corporation |
| 10 | Blue Marble Brands, LLC |
| 11 | B&V Enterprises, Inc. |
| 12 | Brinkhoff & Monoson, Inc. |
| 13 | Buderim Ginger Limited |
| 14 | The C.F. Sauer Company |
| 15 | Cost Plus, Inc. |
| 16 | Dakota Brothers, Inc. |
| 17 | Draeger's Super Markets |
| 18 | Euromarket Designs, Inc. |
| 19 | Food Castle, Inc. |
| 20 | Food Market Management, Inc. |
| 21 | Foodnet Supermarket, Inc. |
| 22 | Fresh & Easy LLC fka Y-Opco LLC |
| 23 | Frontier Distribution LLC |
| 24 | Frontier Natural Products Co-op |
| 25 | Garden Grove Superstore Inc. |
| 26 | Gelson's Markets |
| 27 | Goldstar Supermarket |
| 28 | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | EXHIBIT A (ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE) |

EXHIBIT A (ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE)

| 1 | Hosoda Bros. Inc. |
|-------------------------|---|
| 2 | Island Pacific Supermarkets, Inc. |
| 3 | JFC International Inc. |
| 4 | Kam Lee Yuen Trading Co., Inc. |
| 5 | KeHE Distributors, LLC |
| 6 | KeHE Enterprises, LLC |
| 7 | KeHE Distributors, Inc. |
| 8 | Longchamp Corporation dba Lion Supermarket |
| 9 | Marra Bros. Dist., Inc. |
| 10 | McCormick & Company, Incorporated |
| 11 | Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food Markets, Inc. |
| 12 | Nature's World LLC |
| 13 | PANOS Brands, LLC |
| 14 | Reed's, Inc. |
| 15 | San Pablo Supermarket, Inc. |
| 16 | Safeway Inc. |
| 17 | Seawind International, LLC |
| 18 | Sincerely Nuts, Inc. |
| 19 | The Spice Hunter, Inc. |
| 20 | Shun Fat Supermarket, Inc. |
| 21 | Sunflower Farmers Markets, LLC |
| 22 | Target Corporation |
| 23 | Tawa Supermarket, Inc. |
| 24 | Torn & Glasser, Inc. |
| 25 | Trader Joe's Company |
| 26 | Unbeatable Sale. Com Inc. |
| 27 | United Natural Foods, Inc. |
| 28 Document Prepared | |
| ON RECYCLED PAPER | EXHIBIT A (ENTITIES NOT SURIECT TO RELEASE) |

(ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE)

| 1 | United Natural Trading, LLC |
|-------------------|--|
| 2 | Vanns Spices Ltd. |
| 3 | Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. |
| 4 | Walmart.com USA LLC |
| 5 | Whole Foods Market California, Inc. |
| 6 | Y-Opco, LLC |
| 7 | Zion Market Irvine, Inc. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| ON RECYCLED PAPER | EXHIBIT A (ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE) |