1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION				
11	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	O N 000 12 526206			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CGC-12-526396			
13	Plaintiff, v.	(PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (AS TO FRONTIER DISTRIBUTION (CANDED CONTINUE C			
14	FAYEON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., et al.,	LLC AND FRONTIER CO-OP F/K/A FRONTIER NATURAL PRODUCTS			
15	TATION DISTRIBUTORS, INC., et al.,	) CO-OP			
16	Defendants.	) )			
17		) )			
18		) )			
19					
20 21					
22	1 INTRODUCTION				
23	1. INTRODUCTION				
24	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health, a				
25	California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Frontier Distribution LLC and Frontier Co-Op				
26	formerly known as Frontier Natural Products Co-op ("Settling Defendant"). The Parties enter into				
27	this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set				
28	forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 1 -  CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. CGC-12-526396				
J.	UNSENI JUDGMENI – CA	DE 110. CGC-14-340370			

Judgment covers the lead content of snack food products made with ginger that is processed with sugar or a sugar substitute ("Covered Products") that are sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant.

- 1.2 On August 22, 2014, CEH served Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation under Proposition 65, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are offered for sale in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On November 27, 2012, CEH filed the original Complaint in this matter. On December 20, 2012, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint in this matter. The First Amended Complaint has since been amended to add additional named defendants, including Settling Defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law except as expressly stated herein. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER - 2 -

settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent it has not already done so, no more than fifteen (15) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2 to each of its Covered Products suppliers and shall instruct each such Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2. If in the future Settling Defendant purchases Covered Products from a new third party that it has not previously provided with instructions regarding the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2, Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2 prior to placing an initial order for Covered Products and instruct the new Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2. Settling Defendant shall retain records of communications sent to and received from suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of five years from the Effective Date.
- 2.2 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that will be offered for sale in California that contains a concentration of more than seventeen (17) parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of at least ten (10) ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements (the "Reformulation Level").

## 3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period, exchanging any relevant information, in an effort to try

1 to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation absent Court intervention. 2 After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order 3 to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco, seek to enforce the terms and 4 conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. 5 4. **PAYMENTS** 6 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) days of the Effective Date, 7 Settling Defendant shall pay a total \$35,000 as further set forth in this Section. 8 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts set forth below and delivered as set forth below. 9 10 Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a 11 stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is 12 received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable 13 attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent 14 Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the 15 following categories and made payable as follows: 16 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in the 17 amount of \$4,600. The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & 18 Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of 19 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the 20 civil penalty payment in the amount of \$3,450 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated 21 with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 22 23 For United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics 24 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 25 P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 26 27 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics

Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$1,150 shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b) in the amount of \$6,900. CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$23,500. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

# 5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
  - 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment

shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, predecessors, successors and assigns, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees and Settling Defendant's Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

# 7. PROVISION OF NOTICE

7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

1 2	Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
3	San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
4	7.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
5	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
6 7 8	Peg Carew Toledo Peg Carew Toledo, Law Corporation 3001 Douglas Blvd., Suite 340 Roseville, CA 95661 peg@toledolawcorp.com
9	7.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
10	sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
11	8. COURT APPROVAL
12	8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided
13	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
14	Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
15	8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effec
16	and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.
17	9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
18	9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
19	California.
20	10. ATTORNEYS' FEES
21	10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
22	Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs
23	unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent
24	Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil
25	Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.
26	10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement
27	action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of
28 EPARED	Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party - 7 -

seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.

10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### **12.** RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT  13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.  14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS  14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  13 TI IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  22 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  23 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  24 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  25 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  26 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  27 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  28 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  29 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  21 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  22 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  29 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California				
by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.  14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS  14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  16. 15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  17 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 21 22 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 22 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	1	13.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULA	ATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.  14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS  14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  14 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  28 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  29 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  21 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  22 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  28 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  29 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  21 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California	2	13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authori		
14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS  14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  16. 15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  17. 18. SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 28. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29. 29	3	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  16. 15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  17. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18. 19  20. 21. 22. 23  24. 25. 26. 27  28. 28. 29 - 9 -	4	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  DOCUMENT PRIMARIA  - 9 -	5	14.	NO EFFECT ON OTHER S	ETTLEMENTS
this Consent Judgment.  15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  11 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  12 Dated:  13 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  14 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  15 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  16 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  17 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  21 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  22 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  23 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  24 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  25 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  26 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  27 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  28 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California	6		14.1 Nothing in this Consen	at Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS  15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DDOCUMENT PRITAMENT	7	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in		
15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  DOCUMENT PREMARKES	8	this Consent Judgment.		
means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.  IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  DOCUMENT PREFAREST  DOCUMENT PREFAREST  To SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  To So ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  DOCUMENT PREFAREST  - 9 -	9	15.	EXECUTION IN COUNTED	RPARTS
12 constitute one document.  13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18  19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DDOCUMENT PREFARES  - 9 -	10		15.1 The stipulations to this	Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DDOCUMENT PREFARED  TI IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED  Dated:  -9 -	11	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
AND DECREED  Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DDOCUMENT PRETARED  Dated:  -9 -	12	constitute one document.		
Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PRIMAREN  -9 -	13	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,		
Dated:  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Superior Court of the State of California  Page 19  Document Preparation State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Page 20  Document Preparation State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Page 20  Document Preparation State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Page 20  Document Preparation State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of California  Judge of the Superior Court of California  Judge of the Superior Cou	14	AND DECREED	DECKEED	
Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  DOCUMENT PREPARED  -9 -	15	Dated:		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED  - 9 -	16	Buteu.	•	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED  - 9 -	17			
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED  - 9 -	18			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED  - 9 -	19			
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -	20			
23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -	21			
24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED  - 9 -	22			
25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -	23			
26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -	24			
27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -	25			
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -				
DOCUMENT PREPARED - 9 -				
ON RECYCLED RADIER	DOCUMENT PREPARED			- 9 -

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CASE NO. CGC-12-526396

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated: [ May, 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	* .	·
4		Ca
5		
6		Counciz Pizgeno
. 7		Printed Name
8		1
9		Associate Director
10		THE
11		
12		
13	·	·
14		
15		
16	·	
17		
18		•
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
. 27		
28		10
Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	CONSENT HIDGMEN	- 10 - T - CASE NO. CGC-12-526396
ij	John Maria Constitution of the Constitution of	

	e file
1	FRONTIER DISTRIBUTION LLC AND
2	Dated: ///AY 8_, 2016 FRONTIER CO-OP F/K/A FRONTIER
3	NATURAL PRODUCTS CO-OP
4	
5	Pr.
6	Ву
7	RAVIN DONALD
8	Printed Name
9	VP OF TECHNICAL SERVICES
10 11	Title
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	- 11 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CASE NO. CGC-12-526396