1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)	
2	Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)	
	YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI	
3	An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W	
4	Beverly Hills, 90212	
5	Telephone: (310) 623-1926 Facsimile: (310) 623-1930	
6	Attornova for Disintiffa	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – STANLEY MOSK	
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. BC579481 (Related to
12	in the public interest,	BC638152)
13	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]
14	V.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
15	ANHING CORPORATION, a California	Dept. 71
16	Corporation; GREEN FARM MARKET, a business entity form unknown; and DOES 1-	Judge: Monica Bachner
17	20;	
18	Defendants.	First Amended Complaint filed: July 29, 2016
19		
20		

1. INTRODUCTION

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and Defendant Anhing Corporation ("Anhing" or "Defendant"), with each a "Party" to the action and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Defendant and Covered Products

1.2.1 CAG alleges that Anhing is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Anhing is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2.2 CAG alleges that Defendant manufactures, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes Roasted and Dried Seaweed (collectively "Roasted Seaweed"), Sesame Candy, and Turmeric Powder in California.

1.3 Listed Chemical

Lead and lead compounds have been listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm (the "Listed Chemical").

1.4 Notices of Violation.

1.4.1 On or about September 4, 2014, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("September 4, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in Roasted Seaweed sold by Anhing in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the September 4, 2014 Notice.

1.4.2 On or about May 5, 2015, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("May 5, 2015 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in Sesame Candy sold by Anhing in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May 5, 2015 Notice. 1.4.3 On or about December 15, 2015, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("December 15, 2015 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in Dried Seaweed sold by Anhing in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the December 15, 2015 Notice.

1.4.4 On or about June 16, 2016, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("June 16, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in Turmeric Powder sold by Anhing in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 16, 2016 Notice.

1.4.5 Collectively, the 60-day notices described in paragraphs 1.4.1-1.4.4 above are hereafter referred to as the "Notices."

1.5 **Complaints.**

1.5.1 On April 21, 2015, CAG filed a Complaint against Defendant for civil penalties and injunctive relief (the "Complaint") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC579481, alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to the Listed Chemical in Roasted Seaweed Defendant distributed and/or sold in California. On July 29, 2016, CAG filed a First Amended Complaint against Defendant for civil penalties and injunctive relief (the "FAC") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.

BC579481, against Defendant. The FAC alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to the Listed Chemical in Roasted Seaweed and Sesame Candy that Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.

1.5.2 On October 21, 2016, Plaintiff filed a complaint against Defendant in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC638152, for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to the Listed Chemical in Turmeric Powder distributed and/or sold by Defendant in California (the "Turmeric Complaint"). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that all allegations and the first cause of action asserted against Anhing in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC638152, are deemed amended and incorporated into the FAC.

1.6 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the FAC and the Turmeric Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the FAC and the Turmeric Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against Anhing contained in the FAC and the Turmeric Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notices, the FAC, or the Turmeric Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Defendant expressly maintains that all products it sells, including but not limited to Roasted Seaweed, Sesame Candy, and Turmeric Powder. have at all times complied with all laws including but not limited to Proposition 65 and are completely safe for their intended use. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum for purposes of the same. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Covered Products" means:

2.1.1 All packages and sizes of Roasted Seaweed, including but not limited to, "DaiJyoBu® Premium Grade Roasted Seasoned Seaweed; Net. Wt. 0.17oz. (5g); Caravelle® Packed For: Anhing Corporation Los Angeles, CA 90031; Product of Korea; Barcode: 0 80736 12354 1," distributed or sold only by Defendant.

2.1.2 All packages and sizes of Sesame Candy, including but not limited to,
"Sesame Candy Keo Me Xung; Product of Vietnam, (NET WT: 12.5 oz 355gr), Caravelle®.
Packed for: Anhing Corp. LA. CA 90031 FAX: (323) 221-7420; VN 2395; UPC: 0 80736 11798
2", distributed or sold only by Defendant.

2.1.3 All packages and sizes of Turmeric Powder, including but not limited to,
"Turmeric Powder; Bot Nghe; Net Weight: 4 ozs. (114 g.); A Caravelle®; Ingredient: Turmeric 100%; Packed for: Anhing Corporation; Los Angeles, CA 90031, USA; Product of Thailand;
UPC:0 80736 10890 4," distributed or sold only by Defendant.

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2.3 "Listed Chemical" means lead and lead compounds.

2.4 "Notices" collectively means Plaintiff's September 4, 2014 Notice, May 5, 2015Notice, December 15, 2015 Notice, and June 16, 2016 Notice.

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Roasted Seaweed products unless the level of the Listed Chemical does not exceed 75 parts per billion ("ppb"), any Sesame Candy products unless the level of the Listed Chemical does not exceed 40 ppb, and any Turmeric Powder products unless the level of the Listed Chemical does not exceed 170 ppb. For any Covered Products that exceed those respective levels of the Listed Chemical that are sold in California after the Effective Date, Defendant must provide a Proposition 65 compliant warning on the Covered Products as set forth below. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be provided on the labeling of, affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The Parties agree that the following warning language shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged Listed Chemical in the Covered Products distributed and/or sold by the Defendant after the Effective Date:

[California Proposition 65] **WARNING**: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Or

[California Proposition 65] **WARNING:** This product can expose you to chemicals, including lead, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Language in brackets is optional.

4. S

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 **Payment and Due Date**: Within 10 days of court approval of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total of eighty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$80,000) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices, FAC or Turmeric Complaint, as follows:

4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling two thousand eight hundred and sixty dollars (\$2,860) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:

(a) Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of two thousand one hundred and forty-five dollars (\$2,145) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Defendant will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of seven hundred and fifteen dollars (\$715) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;

(b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$2,145. Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$715 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant shall pay two thousand one hundred and forty dollars (\$2,140) as additional settlement payments to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this payment as follows, seventy percent (70%) for fees of

investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage; and ten percent (10%) to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent.

4.1.3 **Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs:** Defendant shall pay seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812.

4.3 Assignment of Rights: Incorporated by reference into this Consent Judgment, and attached hereto as Exhibit A, is the "Indemnity Claim Assignment Agreement" between CAG and Defendant. The Indemnity Claim Assignment Agreement assigns Defendant's rights of indemnity with its suppliers to CAG.

5.

1

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and their officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Green Farm Market), franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant up through the Effective Date as set forth in the Notices, the FAC and the Turmeric Complaint. Defendant and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from Covered Products sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees. Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees are hereafter collectively referred to as the "Released Parties".

CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, 1 5.2 2 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or 3 indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all 4 actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, 5 damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation 6 fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, 7 fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any actual 8 or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the 9 Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective 10 Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from 11 the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby 12 waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred 13 upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold 14 by the Released Parties through the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 15 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed 16 Chemical from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California 17 Civil Code, which provides as follows: 18 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE 19 CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF 20 KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR. 21 22 CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of 23 California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or 24 resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any 25 violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered 26 Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date 27

regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemical from the

Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6.

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90 days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

6.2 **Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendant. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: (a) the name of the Covered Product; (b) specific dates when the Covered Product was sold in California; (c) the store or other place at which the Covered Product was available for sale to consumers; and (d) any other evidence or support for the allegations in the NOV.

6.2.1 **Non-Contested NOV.** CAG shall take no further action of any kind regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendant serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") not to contest the NOV that meets one of the following conditions:

(a) A statement that the Covered Products were manufactured or shipped by Defendant for sale in California before the Effective Date; or

(b) A statement that since receiving the NOV Defendant has taken corrective action by either: (i) taking all steps necessary to bring the sale of the product into compliance under the terms of this Consent Judgment; or (ii) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendant or vendor, as applicable; or (iii) refute the information provided in the NOV.

6.2.2 Contested NOV. Defendant may serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.

(a) In its election, Defendant may request that the sample(s) of Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPAaccredited laboratory.

(b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain the Listed Chemical in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendant may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

(c) If Defendant does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

25

7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and

12

Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing, trial, or appeal on the allegations in the Notices, the FAC or the Turmeric Complaint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7.3 Within ten (10) days of the Court's entry of this Consent Judgment, CAG will file a request for dismissal of Anhing without prejudice from the Turmeric Complaint, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC638152.

8.

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

10.

SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the 10.1 California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CAG may
 then submit it to the Court for approval.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

13. GOVERNING LAW

13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

13.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

14.

1

EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

15. NOTICES

15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Defendant:

President Anhing Corporation 2550 Pellissier Place City of Industry, CA 90601

J. Robert Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell, a Professional Law Corporation 311 California Street, 10th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 956-2828

1

2

3

4

5

6

16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.

7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
8	Date: <u>April 4</u> , 2017	Date:
9	Shellar.	1) mirtan
10	Name: Michael Marcus	Name: DAI L (YALL
11	Title: Director	0
12	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Title:
13 14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		
18	Date:	
19		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		16
		CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]388618.1
	I	