1	Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436	
2	THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710	
3		
4	Telephone:(510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and LAURENCE VINOCUR	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		
12	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E., et al.,	Case No. RG15761080
13	Plaintiffs,	Assigned for all Purposes to the Honorable George C. Hernandez Jr., Superior Court Judge,
14	v.	Department 17
15	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR COMPANY, et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT BETWEEN PLAINTIFF ANTHONY E.
16	Defendants.	HELD, PH.D., P.E. AND DEFENDANTS JAS. D. EASTON, INC. AND EASTON
17		TECHNICAL PRODUCTS, INC.
18		(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6et seq. and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6)
19		v
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CONSENT JUDGMENT BETWEEN ANTHONY HELD AND EASTON DEFENDANTS

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held, PH.D., P.E. ("Held") and defendants Jas. D. Easton, Inc. and Easton Technical Products, Inc. (collectively, "Easton"), with Held and Easton each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Held is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Defendant

Easton employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Held alleges that Easton manufactures, imports, sells and/or distributes for sale in California: (a) tent and shelter fabrics that contain tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate("TDCPP"); and (b) gear wallets with handles and other components containing Lead and/or di(2-ethylhexylphthalate ("DEHP") without providing the health hazard warning required by Proposition 65. TDCPP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to cause cancer. DEHP and Lead are each listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as chemicals known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. TDCPP, DEHP and Lead are referred to collectively herein as the "Listed Chemicals."

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are: (a) tent and shelter fabrics containing TDCPP including, but not limited to, the *Sundial S 3-Season Shade Shelter #020319*; and (b) gear wallets with vinyl/PVC handles or other components containing Lead and/or DEHP including, but not limited to, the *Easton Gear Wallet #112979* (collectively, the "Products"). The Products

subject to this Consent Judgment are: (a) Products directly sold in or into California by Easton; and (b) Products sold or distributed for sale in or into California indirectly by customers of Easton who are physically located in California, or who Easton reasonably believes sell or distribute Products for sale in California.

1.6 Notices of Violation

On June 4, 2014 and October 24, 2014, Held served Easton and the requisite public enforcement agencies with two 60-Day Notices of Violation (the "Notices"), alleging that Easton violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to TDCPP in the fabric of its tent and shelter products, and DEHP and Lead in the vinyl handles or other components of its gear wallets. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the allegations set forth in the Notices.

1.7 Complaint

On March 5, 2015, Held commenced the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Easton as a defendant for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notices.

1.8 No Admission

Easton denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Easton of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Easton of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Easton's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Easton as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda

County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5.

2. INJUNCTIVE SETTLEMENT TERMS

Commencing October 1, 2015 and continuing thereafter, Easton shall only manufacture for sale or cause to be manufactured for sale, or purchase for sale or cause to be purchased for sale in California, Reformulated Products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are: (a) tent/shelter fabrics that contain no more than 25 parts per million ("ppm") each, of TDCPP, tris(2-chloroethyl)phosphate ("TCEP"), or tris(2, 3-dibromopropyl)phosphate ("TDBPP") when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 8141, 3545 and 8270C; (b) that contain no more than 90 ppm Lead content by weight in any accessible component of a gear wallet when analyzed pursuant to EPA test methodologies 3050B and 6010B; and (c) have a maximum DEHP content of 1,000 ppm in any accessible component tested pursuant to EPA test methodologies 3050B and 8270C. In addition to the test methodologies set forth above, the Parties may use other appropriate methodologies utilized by any state or federal agency for the purpose of determining TDCPP, TCEP, TDBPP, DEHP and/or Lead content in a solid substance.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Easton shall pay \$22,500 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Held. Held's counsel shall be responsible for remitting Easton's penalty payment(s) under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty. Easton shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$7,500. Easton shall provide its payment in a single check made payable to "Anthony Held, Client Trust Account" to be delivered to the address provided in Section 3.3, below.

3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty; Waiver for Early Reformulation. On September1, 2015, Easton shall make a final civil penalty payment of \$15,000. Pursuant to title 11 California Code of Regulations, section 3203(c), Held agrees that the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than August15, 2015, an officer of Easton provides Held's counsel with a signed declaration certifying that all of the Products Easton ships for sale or distributes for sale in California as of the date if its declaration are Reformulated Products, and that Easton will continue to offer only Reformulated Products in California in the future. Easton's certification is limited to the actions taken by Easton in the direct sale and distribution of the Products and is not a certification on behalf of third parties, or with respect to actions that may be taken by third parties with respect to the Products. The option to provide a declaration certifying its complete early reformulation of the Products in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment is a material term, and time is of the essence.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. After finalizing the other settlement terms the Parties then negotiated a resolution of the compensation due to Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. For all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement and the Court's approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any, Easton shall reimburse Held and his counsel \$34,000. Easton's payment shall be in the form of a check payable to "The Chanler Group." The reimbursement covers all fees and costs incurred by Held investigating, bringing this matter to Easton's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement of the matter in the public interest and obtaining court approval of the same.

J

3.3 Payment Timing / Enforcement of Payment Terms

With the exception of the final civil penalty payment required by Section 3.1.2, Easton shall deliver all payments required by this Consent Judgment to Held's counsel within five days of the Effective Date. In the event that any payment required by this Consent Judgment, including the Section 3.1.2 final civil penalty, is untimely, the Parties agree and acknowledge that (a) Easton shall be liable to Held for 10% simple interest on any unpaid amount(s); (b) Held may seek to enforce Easton's payment obligations under general contract principles and Code of Civil Procedure sections 664.6; and (c) Held shall be entitled to any fees incurred recovering such settlement payments pursuant to general contract principles and Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Held's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Held, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Easton and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Easton directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, including but not limited to REI, ("Downstream Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to TDCPP, Lead, and/or DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Easton prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notices. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Easton with respect exposures to TDCPP,

Lead, and/or DEHP from Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by Easton after the Effective Date.

4.2 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to Lead, DEHP, TDCPP, TCEP, and/or TDBPP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Easton before the Effective Date.

4.3 Easton's Release of Held

Easton, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Held and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Held and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Held and Easton agree to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their settlement in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Held shall draft and file and Easton shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed, Held and Easton agree to work together to file a reply and appear at any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Easton may provide Held with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Easton from its obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To Easton: To Held:

General Counsel
Jas. D. Easton, Inc.
5040 West Harold Gatty Drive
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator
The Chanler Group
2560 Ninth Street
Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

with a copy to:

Elizabeth V. McNulty, Esq. Archer Norris PLC 4695 MacArthur Court, Suite 350 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES 9.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original and, all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 10.

Plaintiff and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

11. **MODIFICATION**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any party and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.

12. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

17 **AGREED TO:**

AGREED TO:

Date: 7/15/15

By: \

JAS. D. EASTON, INC. and EASTON TECHNICAL PRODUCTS, INC.

Print Name: Daren Cottle

Its: VP CFO

Date: 7/08/2015

26

27

28