1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Joseph J. Mann, State Bar No. 207968 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com jmann@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12		
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-753967
14	a non-profit corporation,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT
15	Plaintiff,) JUDGMENT AS TO UNITED) MEDICAL DEVICES, LLC
16	VS.)
17	UNITED MEDICAL DEVICES, LLC; and)
18 19	DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,)
20	Defendants.	
21		_)
22	1 INTRODUCTION	
23	1. INTRODUCTION The parties to this Consent Jude	rmant ("Parties") are the Center for
24	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant United Medical Davices, LLC ("Sattling	
25	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant United Medical Devices, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
26	Describant). Cert and Setting Describant are reserved to collectively as the Parties.	
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		1-
	CONSENT HIDGMENT HINTED MEDICAL I	DEVICES LLC. CASE NO. DC 15 752067

CONSENT JUDGMENT – UNITED MEDICAL DEVICES, LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-753967

- 1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells condoms that contain n-nitrosodiethylamine ("NDEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On October 6, 2014, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDEA in condoms that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On January 8, 2015, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action. On April 20, 2015, Settling Defendant filed its Answer denying the allegation in the Complaint and it asserted numerous affirmative defenses.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-2-

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

3.

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

2.1 "Covered Products" means condoms.

"Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by

the Court.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains NDEA in excess of 10 parts per billion ("ppb") and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers.

3.2 **Specification to and Certification from Suppliers.** No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing NDEA on a nationwide basis. Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products received by Settling Defendant for distribution in California do not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb. Settling Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product does not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb and such certification has not previously been demonstrated to be invalid, or (b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third party certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product does not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb.

3.3 **Action Regarding Specific Products.**

3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the Playboy Premium Latex Condom, Lubricated, SKU No. 8-57784-00205-4 (the "Section 3.3 Product"). On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Product to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3

Product in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Product to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Product.

- **3.3.2** Any destruction of the Section 3.3 Product shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- **3.3.3** Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within seven (7) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$34,000 as a settlement payment. With the exception of the payment to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment set forth in Section 5.1.1 below, the settlement payment from Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:
- **5.1.1** \$3,468 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Of this amount, \$2,601 (75%) shall be made payable to the Office of Environmental Health

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-4-

1	Hazard Assessment and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This		
2	payment shall be delivered as follows:		
3	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
4	Attn: Mike Gyurics		
5	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
6	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
7	The remaining \$867 (25%) of this civil penalty shall be made payable to the Center for		
8	Environmental Health and delivered to counsel for CEH as set forth above.		
9	5.1.2 \$5,202 as payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health &		
10	Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use		
11	such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic		
12	chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent		
13	Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Covered Products to confirm compliance.		
14	In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four		
15	percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to		
16	educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such		
17	groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The check for this		
18	payment in lieu of civil penalty shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.		
19	5.1.3 \$25,330 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys'		
20	fees and costs. Of this, \$3,230 shall be made payable to CEH and \$22,100 shall be made payable		
21	to Lexington Law Group.		
22	6. MODIFICATION		
23	6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to		
24	time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of		
25	this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.		
26	Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall		
27	attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to		
28			

-5-

modify the Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge and agree that a change in the no significant risk level for NDEA may provide a reason for modification of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of this Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, Managers, members, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") and Thai Nippon Rubber Industry Co., Ltd. of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Thai Nippon Rubber Industry Co., Ltd., and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Thai Nippon Rubber Industry Co., Ltd., and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about NDEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Thai Nippon Rubber Industry Co., Ltd.or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

8. NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

1	Mark Todzo		
2	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
3	San Francisco, CA 94117		
4	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
5	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
6	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
7	Michael J. Perry A Professional Law Corporation		
8	4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 500		
	Marina Del Rey, California 90292 mjp@michaeljperrylaw.com		
9	8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent		
10			
11	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
12	9. COURT APPROVAL		
13	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH		
14	shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant		
15	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.		
16	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or		
17	effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any		
18	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.		
19	10. ATTORNEYS' FEES		
20	10.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or		
21	other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its		
22	reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should		
23	Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other		
24	proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result		
25	of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion		
26	or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term		
27	substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986,		
28	Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.		

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. **OTHER TERMS**

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a settling defendant.
- 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
 - The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts 11.6 -8-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - UNITED MEDICAL DEVICES, LLC - CASE NO. RG 15-753967

1	
2	Dated: July 27, 2015 UNITED MEDICAL DEVICES, LLC
3	
4	
5	Jimmy Esebag Printed Name
6	Printed Name
7	Title
8	
9	_
10	IT IS SO ORDERED:
11	
12	Dated:, 2015
13	Judge of the Superior Court
14	_
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	_
20	
21	
22	_
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	_
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-10-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – UNITED MEDICAL DEVICES, LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-753967