State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

FORM JUS 1501 PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	Supplement	al Filing	Corrected Filing		
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC						
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMEN Kissler & Co., Inc						
l w ii	COURT DOCKET NUMBER BC581946		Lo	_	geles County S	Superio	Court
S≧	SHORT CASE NAME Shefa LMV LLC v. Ed Young's True Value, et al.						
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation						
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$4,000	\$20,000		PAYMENT 0.00		se Only	
REPO	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, F Yes No MUST BE	FTER ENTRY OF JUDGI REPORT OF ENTRY OF SESUBMITTED TO ATTOR	JUDGMENT RNEY GENERAL	5	TLEMENT SIGNED	For Internal Use Only	
	NAME OF CONTACT						
	Daniel N. Greenbaum ORGANIZATION					TELEPHONE NU	JMBER
FILER	Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum ADDRESS					(818) FAX NUMBER	809-2199
" -	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 CITY STATE ZIP E-MAIL ADDRESS					(424	243-7698
	Van Nuys		406	dgr	eenbaum@green	baumlaw	firm.com

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42 nd Floor Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com Attorneys for KISSLER & CO., INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
15	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES			
16	SHEFA LMV, LLC,	Unlimited Jurisdiction			
17	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. BC581946			
18	vs.	Honorable Gail R. Feuer			
19 20	ED YOUNG'S TRUE VALUE; KISSLER & CO., INC.; and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO KISSLER & CO., INC.			
21	Defendants.	Hearing Set For			
22 23		Date: , 2016 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles			
24		Courtroom: Department 78			
25		Action filed: May 14, 2015			
26		Page 1			
27		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO KISLER & CO., INC.			
28					

1.1

1

4

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

26

25

and Kissler & Co., Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa")

- 1.2 The products covered by this Consent Judgment ("Covered Products") are plumbing supplies manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant that contain lead and or lead compounds ("Lead"), including but not limited to diverter stems.
- 1.3 On or about October 16, 2014, Shefa mailed a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.
- 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of Lead in certain of the Covered Products sold, distributed and/or manufactured by Settling Defendant (hereinafter "Products").
- 1.5 On or about May 14, 2015, Shefa filed the Complaint in the matter of *Shefa LMV*, LLC v. Ed Young's True Value, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC581946, ("Complaint") alleging Proposition 65 violations as to Products sold in California by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Settling Defendant denies the claims of alleged violations asserted against it in the Complaint and denies that it has any liability under Proposition 65.
- 1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

AS TO KISLER & CO., INC.

Defendant Releasees, and Distributor Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products shipped, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 4 2 **Individual Release:** Shefa, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and *not* in its representative capacity, hereby provides a release that shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all Claims under Proposition 65, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq., or any other statutory or common law, that are or may be asserted against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Distributor Releasees, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged exposures to, and/or failure to warn of alleged exposures to, Lead in the Covered Products shipped, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 4.3 General Release: It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint will develop or be discovered. Shefa, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assigns, and *not* in its representative capacity, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code § 1542. Shefa acknowledges that the Claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 include unknown Claims, and Shefa nevertheless waives California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

21

22

23

24

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

25

26

8

10

11

13

17

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

1	7.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
2	sending the o	ther Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
3	8. MODIF	ICATION
4	8.1	Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by
5	express writte	en agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this
6	Court upon m	notion and in accordance with law.
7	8.2	Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall
8	attempt in go	od faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to
9	modify the Co	onsent Judgment.
10	9. COMPL	IANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)
11	9.1	Shefa agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in
12	California He	alth and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).
13	10. COURT	APPROVAL
14	10.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.
15	10.2	Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
16	Settling Defe	ndant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
17	10.3	The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §
18	25249.7, a no	ticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, and
19	Shefa shall dı	raft and file such motion within fifteen (15) days of the date this Consent Judgment
20	is fully execu	ted by the Parties, and Settling Defendant shall not oppose it.
21	10.4	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
22	effect and sha	all never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
23	purpose other	than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 10.2
24	11. OTHER	TERMS
25	11.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
26	California.	
27		Page 8
20		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO KISLER & CO., INC.

AS TO KISLER & CO., INC.

1	c. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Consent Judgment is		
2	reasonable.		
3			
4	AGREED TO:		
5	Dated: 5/17/2016 SHEFA LMV, LLC		
6	By: Alas		
7	Ву:		
8			
9			
10			
11	Dated: KISSLER & CO., INC.		
12	5-5-16 By:		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	Page 11		
28	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO KISLER & CO., INC.		
- 1			

П

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT				
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Kissler &				
3	Co., Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance				
4	with the terms herein.				
5					
6	Dated:				
7					
8					
9	Judge of the Superior Court				
0					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
0					
1					
2					
3					
4					
25					
26	Page 12				
27 28	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO KISLER & CO., INC.				