State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing Supple	mental Filing	Corrected Filing		
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC					
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT Hoyu America Co.					
SE -0	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP004765		COURT NAME Alameda	a County Superi	or Court	
υE	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases					
ORT INFO	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$2,000 WILL SETTLEMENT BE IFYES AF	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$8,000 TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY	0.00	T: OTHER	Jse Only	
	SUBMITTED TO COURT? Yes No COPY OF SE	/28/2015	For Internal Use Only			
FILER	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum					
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum			TI (ELEPHONE NUMBER 818 809-2199	
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320			F./	XXNUMBER 424 243-7698	
	Van Nuys	STATE ZIP CA 91406		ADDRESS eenbaum@greenba	aumlawfirm.com	

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM	1								
2	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)									
3	The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320									
4	Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199									
5	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com									
6										
7	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC									
8	CONKLE, KREMER & ENGEL									
9	Professional Law Corporation H. Kim Sim, Esq. (SBN 252321)									
10	3130 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500 Santa Monica, California 90403-2351									
11	Telephone: (310) 998-9100									
12	Fax: (310) 998-9109 Email: k.sim@conklelaw.com									
13	Attorneys for Defendant HOYU AMERICA CO.									
14										
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA									
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA									
17										
18 19	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION) PROCEEDING NO: 4765								
)								
20 21	PROPOSITION 65 DEA CASES) [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Big Lots, Inc., et al., Los) Angeles County Superior Court No.								
22) BC566941]								
23) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS) TO HOYU AMERICA CO.								
24) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.								
25										
26) Action filed: April 17, 2015								
27										
28		」 ✓								
	1540.002\9992									
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO HOYU AMERICA CO.									

27

28

1

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Shefa LMV, LLC and Hoyu America Co.

This Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa LMV") and Hoyu America Co. ("Hoyu"), with Shefa LMV and Hoyu sometimes collectively referred to herein as the "parties," and individually as a "party." Shefa LMV is an entity organized in the State of California, and alleges that it seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa LMV alleges that Hoyu employs ten (10) or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2. General Allegations

Shefa LMV's Notice of Violation alleges that Hoyu has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold skin care, shampoo, pain relief, sunscreen, hair care and/or hair spray products that cause exposure to diethanolamine ("DEA"), including but not limited to Samy Fat Hair 0 Calorie Hair Spray, without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEA is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

1.3. Covered Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are shampoo, hair care and/or hair spray/hair styling products manufactured and/or distributed by Hoyu that contain or are alleged to contain DEA, whether as an intentionally added ingredient or impurity, and which is distributed, marketed, sold, or offered for sale in California by Hoyu or any supplier, distributor, retailer, wholesaler, reseller, professional, salon or customer, including but not limited to Samy Fat Hair 0 Calorie Hair Spray. All such products are referred to herein collectively as the "Covered Products," or individually as a 1540.002\9992

"Covered Product."

1.4. Notice of Violation

On or about October 16, 2014, Shefa LMV served Hoyu and its parent company Hoyu Co., Ltd and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided recipients with notice alleging that Hoyu was allegedly in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Covered Product exposed users in California to DEA. More than 60 days have passed and no designated public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.5. Complaint

On or about April 17, 2015, Shefa LMV filed a complaint in the Los Angeles County Superior Court against Big Lots Stores, Inc. alleging, *inter alia*, violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposure to DEA contained in certain products sold in California without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. The action is titled, *Shefa LMV*, *LLC v. Big Lots Stores, Inc., et al.*, Case No. BC566941. The action was subsequently transferred to the Alameda County Superior Court and added to the coordination proceeding styled, Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases, JCCP 4765. On or about May 29, 2015, Shefa LMV added Hoyu to *Shefa LMV LLC v. Big Lots Stores, Inc., et al.*, thereby adding it to the Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases.

1.6. No Admission

Hoyu denies each of the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Shefa LMV's

Notice and Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products required a Proposition 65

warning or otherwise caused harm to any person. Hoyu maintains that the Covered Products were sold
in California in compliance with all laws. Nevertheless, the parties have entered into this Consent

Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and

costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Hoyu or by any of its respective officers, directors, managers, partners, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, customers, suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue or violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Hoyu of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue or violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, the same being specifically denied by Hoyu. This Consent Judgment shall not be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Hoyu's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.7. Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Hoyu as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and the Complaint.

1.8. Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - REFORMULATION

- 2.1. As of the Effective Date, Hoyu shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product sold or offered for sale to California consumers that contains DEA. On or before the Effective Date, Hoyu shall cease distributing for sale into California any Covered Product that contains DEA, unless such products have been reformulated such that they do not contain DEA.
- 2.2. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Covered Product "contains DEA" if the raw material DEA (CAS No. 111-42-2) is an intentionally added ingredient in the Covered Product and is listed on the ingredient panel. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "distributes for sale into California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Hoyu knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

2.3. Sell through period.

Covered Products that were manufactured or distributed for sale prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, sold to consumers. As a result, the obligations of Hoyu as set forth in this Consent Judgment, including but not limited Section 2.1, do not apply to these products.

3. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES;</u> <u>ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

- 3.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- **3.2.** Only after it complies with Sections 3.3, either party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Alameda County Superior Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
 - 3.3. Prior to bringing any motion to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, Shefa

LMV shall provide Hoyu with a notice of violation and a copy of all test results which purportedly support Shefa LMV's notice of violation. The parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Hoyu a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to respond or cure any alleged violation. Should Hoyu fail to cure the alleged violation, Shefa LMV may file its motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion hereunder shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing in writing and with specificity during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

Hoyu shall make the following payments in full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs:

4.1. Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Hoyu shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$2,000.00 within ten (10) days of receiving the Notice of Entry of Judgment, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code sections 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the funds remitted to Shefa LMV, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 4.3.

4.2. Reimbursement of Shefa LMV's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Shefa LMV and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Hoyu expressed a 1540,0029992

desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been agreed. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Shefa LMV and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter. Under these legal principles, Hoyu shall pay the amount of \$8,000.00 within ten (10) days of receiving the Notice of Entry of Judgment for fees and costs incurred by Shefa LMV for investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) in negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.3. Payment Procedures

All payments required by Sections 4.1 and 4.2 shall be within ten (10) days of being served with the Notice of Entry of Judgment, in three checks made payable as follows:

- (a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00;
- (b) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$500.00:
- (c) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$8,000.00.

4.4. Issuance of Payments.

4.4.1. All payments owed to Shefa LMV, pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

4.4.2. All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following address:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

Hoyu shall also send a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum at the address set forth above in 4.4.1.

5. <u>APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT; BINDING EFFECT; CLAIMS COVERED</u> AND RELEASED

- 5.1. This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon and benefit the Parties, and their respective officers, directors, managers, partners, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors and assigns and Shefa LMV on its own behalf and in the public interest. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products that are exclusively distributed and/or sold outside the State of California. With respect to Covered Products that are distributed and/or sold both inside and outside of California, the requirements contained in this Consent Judgment apply to the Covered Products only to the extent that the distribution and/or sales occur in California.
- 5.2. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Shefa LMV, on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, and Hoyu, and Hoyu's respective officers, directors, mangers, partners, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies (including Hoyu Co. Ltd), subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors and assigns of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to DEA, however caused, from the handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products and fully and finally resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products.

5.3. Shefa LMV's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Shefa LMV, acting on its behalf and in the public interest, releases and discharges:

- (a) Hoyu, its parents, directors, officers, mangers, owners, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their successors and assigns, including but not limited to Hoyu Co., Ltd (collectively, "Defendant Releasees"); and
- (b) finished product or ingredient manufacturers, distributors, and suppliers, and all entities to whom any Defendant Releasee directly or indirectly distributed or sold any Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, professionals, retailers, salons, resellers, franchisees, cooperative members, and Defendant Releasees' licensors and licensees (collectively, "Additional Releasees"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from or related to the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding DEA.

5.4. Shefa LMV's Individual Release of Claims

Shefa LMV, on behalf of itself only, hereby releases and discharges the Defendant Releasees and Additional Releasees from any and all known and unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65, or for any other statutory or common law claims, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to DEA in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice. It is possible that other claims not known to the parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. Shefa LMV, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa LMV has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. Shefa LMV, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the claims released in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 above and this Section 5.4 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless 1540.002\9992

waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa LMV, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

5.5. Hoyu and Shefa LMV LLC's Mutual Release

Hoyu, on one hand, and Shefa LMV, on the other hand, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims they may have against each other, their attorneys or other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice or the Complaint involving the Covered Products; provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall affect or limit any party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

5.6. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEA from the Covered Products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the parties.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and the obligations of Hoyu hereunder as to the Covered Products apply only within the State of California.

9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party from the other party at the following addresses:

To Hoyu America Co.
H. Kim Sim, Esq.
Conkle Kremer & Engel
3130 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 500
Santa Monica, CA 90403

To Shefa LMV LLC:
Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.
Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
The Hathaway Building
7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue
Suite 320
Van Nuys, CA 91406

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or PDF signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or PDF signature shall be as valid as the original.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)

Shefa LMV and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f) and with Title II of the California Code Regulations, Section 3003.

12. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Shefa LMV and Hoyu for themselves and their attorneys agree to employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Shefa LMV shall draft and file, and Hoyu shall not oppose. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Shefa LMV shall work with Hoyu to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

13. MODIFICATION AND TERMINATION

- 13.1. This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court.
- 13.2. If either party seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 13.1, then the party requesting the modification shall provide written notice to the other party of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If the party receiving the Notice of Intent seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification, then that party shall provide written notice to the other party within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If such notice is provided in a timely manner, then the parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The parties shall meet in person or on the telephone within thirty (30) days of notification of intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if the party receiving the Notice of Intent disputes the proposed modification, that party shall provide the other party a written factual basis for its position. The parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. The parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet and confer period.

13.3. Where the meet and confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing in writing and with specificity during the parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

13.4. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, including, without limitation, the removal of DEA from OEHHA's list of Proposition 65 chemicals, then Hoyu shall notify Shefa LMV and its counsel and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements with respect to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent 1540,0029992

1	Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally bind that party. The undersigned have read,								
2	understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly								
3	provided herein, each party is to bear its own fees and costs.								
4									
5	16. <u>REQUEST FOR FINDINGS</u> , <u>APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>								
6									
7	16.1. This Consent Judgment came before this Court upon the request of the parties. The parties								
8	request the Court to review this Consent Judgment and to make the following findings pursuant to Cal								
9	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4):								
	1. The injunctive relief required by the Consent Judgment complies with Cal. Health &								
10	Safety Code § 25249.7;								
11	2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Consent Judgment is								
12	reasonable under California law; and								
13									
14	5. The eight penalty amount to be paid pursuant to consent suggestion is reasonable.								
15	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and								
16	agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.								
17									
18									
19									
20	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:								
21	Date: 08/26/2015 Date: 8/28/2015								
22	By: Alas By:								
23	Plaintiff, Shefa LMV, LLC Defendant, Hoyu America Co.								
24	Print: Yoshikiro Sasaki								
25	Print: Alisa Fried Its: Resident								
26	Its: Managing Member								
27									
28	1540.002\9992 13								
	IPPOPOSEDI CONSENT HIDGMENT AS TO HOVI I AMERICA CO								

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Hoyu America Co., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. Dated: _ Judge of the Superior Court 1540.002\9992 ORDER AND JUDGMENT