# State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

## REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please <sub>i</sub>	print or type required information	Original Filing 🔲 Supplei	mental Filing 🔲 C	Corrected Filing		
	PLAINTIFF(S)  Shefa LMV LLC  DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT					
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	Zotos International	, Inc.				
SE -O	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCC0004765		COURTNAME  Alameda Co	ounty Courthouse	е	
ડે ≦	CHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases					
ORT INFO	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation  PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES PAYMENT: OTHER					
	\$3,000	\$14,500	0.00	ENIT SIGNIED		
	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, RE Yes No MUST BE S	EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENER/	11 <sub>/</sub> 18	3/2015 English		
		TTLEMENT MUS	T BE ATTA	ACHED E		
FILER INFO	NAMEOFCONTACT  Daniel N. Greenbaum					
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum				TELEPHONE NUMBER ( 818) 809-2199	
	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320			FAX NUME ( 42		
	Van Nuys	STATE ZIP CA 91406	E-MAIL ADDRE dgreenl	ss baum@greenbauml	awfirm.com	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hethaway Pavilding					
2	The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320					
3	Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199					
5	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com					
6	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC					
7	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP					
8	Kathryn Edwards, Esq. The Orrick Building					
9	405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2669					
10	Telephone: (415) 773-5962					
11	Facsimile: (415) 773-5759 Email: kedwards@orrick.com					
12	Attorneys for Defendant ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC.					
13	GYPERIOR GOVERN OF THE GEATE OF GALLEORNIA					
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
16	Coordination Proceeding	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION				
17	Special Title (Rule 3.350)	) PROCEEDING NO: 4765				
18						
19   20	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA	) [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk, Inc., et al., Los ) Angeles County Superior Court No.				
21	CASES	) BC579191]				
22		<ul><li>) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS</li><li>) TO ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC.</li></ul>				
23		) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.				
24		) Action filed: April 17, 2015				
25						
26		)				
27						
28						
	Page 1  [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC JCCP No. 4765					
1						

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Zotos Internatinal, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 Shefa alleges that the Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that contain diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.
- 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding.

contain DEA.

Page 4
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

#### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, contractors, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Shefa, acting on its behalf and in the public interest, releases and discharges
  Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and
  all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs
  and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of

Daniel N. Greenbaum
Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320
Van Nuys, CA 91406
dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com

27

28

sanctions pursuant to law.

28

#### 11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.4 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein.
- 11.5 No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.6 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.
- 11.7 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.8 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.9 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- 11.10 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- 11.11 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT					
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Zotos					
3	International, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in					
4	accordance with the terms herein.					
5						
6	Dated:					
7						
8						
9	Judge of the Superior Court					
10						
11	in the second se					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19	*					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	*					
27						
28						
	Page 10					

# **EXHIBIT A**

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Zotos International, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.2):

Kathryn Edwards
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
Email: kedwards@orrick.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): October 16, 2014
- Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk
   Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191
  - a. Date Complaint Filed: April 17, 2015
- Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, 7.2,
   7.3 and 7.4):
  - x Shampoos
  - \_ Soaps
- 7. Settling Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s):

Pro Beaute Aura Rosemary Mint

8. Settling Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$17,500.00 Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$3,000.00 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): \$ N/A Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$14,500.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.