### State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

# PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

#### REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing Supple	mental Filing	Corrected Filin	ng		
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC						
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMEN Philosophy, Inc.	T					
SE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER CIV 1503341		COURTNAME Marin (	County Sup	erior Court		
გ≊	SHORT CASE NAME Shefa Concept II Cosmetics						
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation						
N N	\$3,000	\$12,000	0.00	T: OTHER	e Only		
REPORT INFO	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, R  Yes No MUST BE	TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENER	RAL 3	TTLEMENT SIGNED	For Internal Use Only		
	NAME OF CONTACT						
FILER	Daniel N. Greenbaum  ORGANIZATION TELEPHONE NUMBER					 ER	
	Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum			( 818) 809-2199			
	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320			E-MAIL ADDRESS 243-7698			
	Van Nuys	CA 91406	dgr	eenbaum@gr	eenbaumlawfi	rm.com	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
9	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION ) PROCEEDING NO: 4765 )				
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	Shefa LMV, LLC v. Richard Ravid, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579212]   PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PHILOSOPHY, INC.   Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.   Action filed: April 17, 2015				
	Page 1					
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PHILOSOPHY, INC JCCP No. 4765					

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Coty US LLC, as successor to Philosophy, Inc., which is now a division of Coty US LLC ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 Shefa alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that contain Diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.
- 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PHILOSOPHY, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

- 5.2 The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined in Exhibit A.
- 5.3 The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:
- 5.4 Civil Penalty. A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 5.5 Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

#### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, contractors, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); relating to all claims of violations of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant

Releasees up through the Effective Date, provided that such claims are based on or related to the facts alleged in the operative complaint in this action.

- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Shefa, acting on its behalf only, releases and discharges Settling Defendant,
  Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and all known and
  unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65 or for any other statutory or common
  law claims, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to DEA in the Covered Products.
- 7.4 It is possible that other claims not known to the parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered.
- 7.5 Shefa, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims including all rights of action thereof.
  - 7.6 Shefa has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542.
- 7.7 Shefa, on behalf itself only, acknowledges that the claims released above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

7.8 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Shefa's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

1	AGREED TO:	
2		
3	Dated: 3/13/2017	SHEFA LMV, LLC
4		By: Whole
5		
6		
7		
8	Dated:	COTY US LLC, SUCCESSOR TO PHILOSOPHY, INC.
9	March 9, 2017	
10	,	By: Lugue I. Keugh Vice President and Assistant Secretary
11		Vice President and
12		Acsis funt Secretary
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Coty US LLC, successor to Philosophy, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. Dated: Judge of the Superior Court

## **EXHIBIT A**

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Philosophy, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.2):

Navi Dhillon, Esq. MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market St. | San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: 415-268-6769

Email: NDillon@mofo.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): October 16, 2014
- 5. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Richard Ravid, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579212
  - a. Date Complaint Filed: April 17, 2015
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4):

X Shampoos
X Soaps
X Skincare Products

7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Section 3.1):

When hope is not enough

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$15,000.00

Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$3,000.00

Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): \$ N/A

Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Creek

Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$12,000.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.