1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10			
11	GENTEED FOR ENVIROND (ENTERLY MEAN THE ALTHUR) CO. N. D.C. 15 T.C. 2000		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-765388		
13	Plaintiff, (PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MACKAY'S LTD.		
14	V.)		
15	JAMES KEILLER & SONS LIMITED, et al.,		
16	Defendants.)		
17)		
18			
19			
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health		
23	("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Mackay's Ltd. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH		
24	and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims		
25	asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint")		
26	in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers the lead content of jam,		
27	marmalade and preservatives containing ginger ("Covered Products") that are sold, distributed, or		
28 TREPARED	-1-		

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

offered for sale by Settling Defendant in the State of California.

- 1.2 On October 31, 2014, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On April 7, 2015, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of approval of this Consent Judgment by the Court (the "Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that contain a concentration of more than forty (40) parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight (the "Reformulation Level"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of at least ten (10) ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 2.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 3, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 3.2.4 if it's applicable.

3.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

3.2.1 Notice of Violation. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product that was sold or offered for sale to California consumers with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has a Lead level exceeding the Reformulation Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

3.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

3.2.2.1 Subject to Section 3.2.1, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 7.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the date the Covered Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to

send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 3.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

- 3.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the alleged violation was observed; (b) the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale; (c) a description of the Covered Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Products and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products.
- 3.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.
- 3.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test data, if any is available. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party.
- 3.2.4 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, and if no enforcement action or application has been filed by CEH pursuant to Section 3.1, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay

\$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 3.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 3.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

3.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall identify on a confidential basis to CEH (by proper name, address of principal place of business and telephone number) the person or entity that sold the Covered Products to Settling Defendant and the manufacturer or ingredient suppliers and other entities in the upstream chain of distribution of the Covered Product, provided that such information is reasonably available. In addition, Settling Defendant shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold or offered for sale to California consumers, that the Noticed Covered Products are removed from the California market and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to either return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy such Noticed Covered Products. Settling Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records any correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Noticed Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action,

Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product.

- 3.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 3.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH purchased the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same or same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates Lead levels below the Reformulation Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by fifty percent (50%).
- 3.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 3.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 3.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any twelve (12) month period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations.

4. PAYMENTS

4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** On or before the later of five (5) days after the

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	entry of this Consent Judgment or June 15, 2016, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of			
2	\$65,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.			
3	4.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall			
4	be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth			
5	below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be			
6	subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each			
7	day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 4.1.			
8	The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys'			
9	fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The			
10	funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following			
11	categories and made payable as follows:			
12	4.2.1 \$8,600 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).			
13	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §			
14	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health			
15	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty			
16	payment for \$6,450 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification			
17	number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:			
18	For United States Postal Service Delivery:			
19	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
20	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B			
21	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
22	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics			
23	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment			
24	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814			
25	Sacramento, CII 95011			
26	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,150 shall be made			
27	payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification			
28	- 7 -			
PARED	= / =			

number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.2 \$12,900 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

4.2.3 \$43,500 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries,
affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents,
shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to
which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products such as distributors, wholesalers,
customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees, including but not limited to International
Food Associates, Inc., KeHE Distributors, LLC and Walmart.com USA LLC ("Downstream
Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged
exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed or offered for sale by
Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 6.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

7. PROVISION OF NOTICE

7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

27

21

22

23

24

25

1			Eric S. Somers
2	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
3			San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
4			csonicis@icxiawgioup.com
5		7.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
6	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
7			Matthew S. Kenefick
8			Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP 2 Embarcadero Center, 5 th Floor
9			San Francisco, CA 94111 mkenefick@jmbm.com
10			mikeneriek e jinomieom
11			Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent
12	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
13	8.	COU	URT APPROVAL
14		8.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
15	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a		
16	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of		
17	such l	Motion	
18		8.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
19	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any		
20	purpose.		
21	9.	GOV	ERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
22		9.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
23	California.		
24	10.	ATT	ORNEYS' FEES
25		10.1	A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
26	Judgn	nent sh	all be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs
27	unless	s the un	successful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this
28			

__

Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, *et seq*.

- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

1	Consent Judgment.			
2	2 13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT			
3	13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized			
4	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and			
5	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.			
6	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS			
7	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim			
8	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in			
9	this Consent Judgment.			
10	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS			
11	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by			
12	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to			
13	constitute one document.			
14				
15	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED			
16	AND DECREED			
17				
18	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
	27			
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	- 12 -			

CONSENT JUDGMENT - MACKAY'S LTD. - CASE NO. RG 15-765388

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	II IS SO SIII CLAIED.	
9	Dated:, 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10	Dateu, 2010	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEADIN
11		
12		Signature
13		
14		Printed Name
15		Timed Name
16		
17		Title
18	1+4 1. 1	
19	Dated: 4+4 April., 2016	MACKAY'S LTD.
20		
21		Cignatura
22		Signature
23		MARTIN GRAM
24		Printed Name
25		CEO.
26 27		Title
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 13 - ACKAY'S LTD CASE NO. RG 15-765388
1	CONSENT JUDGMENT - M	ACIAT 3 LID CASE NO. RU 13-703300