State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

 FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)
 Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

 PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing D Suppler	mental Filing DCorrected Filing	
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT Farouk Systems, Inc.			
щ о	COURT DOCKET NUMBER		COURTNAME Alameda County Cour	thouse
CASE INFO	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocam	ide DEA Cases		
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation			
REPORT INFO	\$3,000 \$ WILL SETTLEMENT BE IF YES, AFTE SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, REP Yes No	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$12,000 FR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY YORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT JBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENER/ TTLEMENT MUS	PAYMENT: OTHER 0.00 DATE SETTLEMENT SIGNED 9 /30/2015 DT BE ATTACHED	For Internal Use Only
	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum			
FILER INFO	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum			TELEPHONE NUMBER
∣⊑≦	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave	•		FAX NUMBER (424) 243-7698
	Van Nuys	STATE ZIP CA 91406	e-mail address dgreenbaum@greer	nbaumlawfirm.com

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Annaerica kalkarkunyesetti lai kelebityen (tosati (koner	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	 [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191] [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC. Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. Action filed: April 17, 2015
27 28		
	Pag [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO	

1

INTRODUCTION

1.

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa")
and Farouk Systems, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to
collectively as the "Parties."

5 1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products
6 identified on Exhibit A that contain Diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has
7 done so in the past.

8 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation
9 under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
10 Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California
11 Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City
12 Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.

13 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of14 DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.

15 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the
16 Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.

17 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
18 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint
19 applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts
20 alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has
21 jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the
Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with
the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,
conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.

1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right,
remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding.

28

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is 1.9 And a accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in 2 this action. 3 2. DEFINITIONS 4 2.1 "Covered Products" means the types of products identified on the Exhibit A for 5 each Settling Defendant. 6 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by 7 8 the Court. 3. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 9 3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling 10 Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that 11 contains DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of 12 this Consent Judgment, a product "contains DEA" if DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in 13 the product and/or intentionally added part of the product formulation. 14 3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective 15 Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its supplier(s) of Covered Products 16 requiring that Covered Products not contain any DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use 17 reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing DEA on a nationwide basis. 18 19 3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products. On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the specific products (if any) identified as Section 3.3 Products on 20 the Exhibit A for such Settling Defendant ("Section 3.3 Products") in California unless such 21 products have been reformulated such that they do not contain DEA. 22 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping 3.4 23 the Section 3.3 Products to any of its California stores and/or California customers that resell the 24

25 Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its California stores and/or
26 California customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to:

27 (a) return all the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly
28 destroy the Section 3.3 Products.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

ż	3.5	The requirements of this Section apply only to those Section 3.3 Products that
2	contain DEA	λ.
3	3.6	Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable
4	laws.	
5		4. ENFORCEMENT
6	4.1	Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the
7	Superior Cou	art of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
8	Judgment.	
9	4.2	Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section
10	3 above, She	fa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase
11	and a copy of	f any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation.
12	4.3	The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated
13	motion or ap	plication in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling
14	Defendant(s)	with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged
15	violation.	
16	4.4	Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file an enforcement
17	motion or ap	plication.
18	4.5	This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.
19		5. PAYMENTS
20	5.1	Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay
21	the settlemen	t payment identified for it on Exhibit A.
22	5.2	The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the
23	instructions of	outlined in Exhibit A.
24	5.3	The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in
25	Exhibit A, be	etween the following categories:
26	5.4	Civil Penalty. A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b),
27	with such mo	oney to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling
28	Defendant in	accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the
	State of Calif	fornia's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
	[PRC	Page 4 DPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC JCCP No. 4765

5.5 Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable
 attorney's fees and costs.

3

MODIFICATION

6.

4 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by
5 express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this
6 Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

7 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall
8 attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to
9 modify the Consent Judgment.

10

28

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa Annual Annual on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former 12 13 affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and 14 15 their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and 16 each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, 17 including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, contractors, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant 18 19 Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant 20 Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEA contained in Covered 21 22 Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and
Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant,
Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure
to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling
Defendants after the Effective Date.

7.3 Shefa, acting on its behalf only, releases and discharges Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and all known and

Page 5

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

1	unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65 or for any other statutory or common
2	law claims, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to DEA in the Covered Products. It is
3	possible that other claims not known to the parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices
4	or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. Shefa, on
5	behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover
6	and include all such claims including all rights of action thereof. Shefa has full knowledge of
7	the contents of California Civil Code section 1542. Shefa, on behalf itself only, acknowledges
8	that the claims released above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California
9	Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542
10	reads as follows:
11	A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS
12	WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF
13	EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR
14	HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.
15	Shefa, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences
16	of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.
17	7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Shefa's right to commence or prosecute an
18	action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant
19	Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.
20	8. NOTICE
21	8.1 When Shefa is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
22	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
23	Daniel N. Greenbaum Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
24	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406
25	dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com
26	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
27	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the person identified on
28	the Exhibit A for Settling Defendant.
	Page 6 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC JCCP No. 4765
12	, not oble, conduct to bondant no ronnoon biblind, no, soor no, 4705

	1	
1	8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
2	sending the o	ther Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
3		9. COURT APPROVAL
4	9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.
5	9.2	Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
6	Settling Defe	ndant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
7	9.3	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
8	effect and sha	Il never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
9	purpose other	than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
10		10. ATTORNEYS' FEES
11	10.1	Should Shefa prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or
12	other proceed	ing to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Shefa shall be entitled to its
13	reasonable at	corneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.
14	10.2	Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show
15	cause or other	proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees
16	and costs aga	inst Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that
17	Shefa's prose	cution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification.
18	10.3	For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall
19	carry the sam	e meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§
20	2016, et seq.	
21	10.4	Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its
22	own attorneys	s' fees and costs.
23	10.5	Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of
24	sanctions purs	suant to law.
25		11. OTHER TERMS
26	11.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
27	California.	
28	11.2	This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling
	Defendant, its	affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
	[PRA	Page 7 POSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC JCCP No. 4765
	TINO	

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 11.3 Anna 2 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 3 and therein. 4 11.4 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 5 except as expressly set forth herein. 6 17 11.5 No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. 8 9 11.6 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. 10 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent 11.7 The second Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. 12 11.8 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or 13 shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall 14 such waiver constitute a continuing waiver. 15 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights 11.9 16 17 Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant. 18 11.10 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the 19 Consent Judgment. 20 11.11 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and 21 by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed 22 to constitute one document. 23

24 11.12 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
25 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter
26 into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind
27 that Party.

28 11.13 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of thisConsent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.

Page 8

ý	11.14 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties
2	and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel.
3	11.15 Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment
4	shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this
5	Consent Judgment.
6	11.16 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of
7	construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not
8	be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby
9	waive California Civil Code § 1654.
10	
11	AGREED TO:
12	Dated: 9/30/2015 SHEFA LMV, LLC By:
13	By: alas
14	
15	
16	
17	Dated: 9-30-2015 FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC.
18	Ar Car
19	By: Basim Shami
20	CEO
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Down 0
	Page 9 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC JCCP No. 4765

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Farouk	
3	Systems, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in	
4	accordance with the terms herein.	
5		
6	Dated:	
7		
8		
9	Judge of the Superior Court	
10		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Farouk Systems, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.2):

Jason Rumsey, Esq. FAROUK SYSTEMS, INC. 880 E Richey Road Houston, TX 77073 Email: jrumsey@farouk.com

With copies to:

ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL Renee D. Wasserman, Esq. 311 California Street, 10th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94104 Email: rwasserman@rjo.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): November 5, 2014
- 5. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk

Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191

- a. Date Complaint Filed: April 17, 2015
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, 7.2,

7.3 and 7.4):

Farouk Systems, Inc. Shampoos Farouk Systems, Inc. Soaps Farouk Systems, Inc. Hair Crème/Hair Care Products

7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.1 through 3.6):

CHI Pliable polish; UPC: 633911630655

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$15,000.00 Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$3,000.00 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): \$ N/A Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$12,000.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.