1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9 10	ISABEL RUGGERI, an individual,	CASE NO DOGODOA
10	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. BC572804
12	V.) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT)
13	SIOUX CHIEF MFG. CO., INC., a	Judge: Hon. Rolf M. Treu Dept.: 58
14	corporation, SUPPLYHOUSE.COM, a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100.	Compl. Filed: February 18, 2015
15	inclusive,	Unlimited Jurisdiction
16	Defendants.)	
17)	
18	///	
19		
20	////	
21	///	
22	///	
23 24	///	
24	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	///	
		1 Consent Judgment regarding Ruggeri v. Sioux Chief Mfg. Co., Inc., et al

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1

2

3

4

5

13

1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri ("Plaintiff") and Defendant, Sioux Chief Mfg. Co., Inc. ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of California with an interest in protecting the
environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting
environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic
chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
Defendant employs ten (10) or more employees and is a person in the course of doing business
as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

14 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured, distributed, supplied, and/or sold brass water hammer arresters known as "Mini-Resters" with the following item numbers: 660-2; 660-15 16 2B; 660-C; 660-CB; 660-T; 660-TA2B; 660-TB; 660-TC0; 660-TC08; 660-TC1; 660-TC1B; 660-TC2B; 660-TR; 660-TR1; 660-TR1B; 660-TR2; 660-TR2B; 660-TRB; 660-TW2; 660-17 TX1B; 660-TX2; 660-TX2B; 660-G2; 660-G2B; 660-GC; 660-GCB; 660-GT; 660-GTB; 660-18 GTC0; 660-GTC0B; 660-GTC1; 660-GTC1B; 660-GTR; 660-GTR0; 660-GTR0B; 660-GTR1; 19 660-GTRB; 660-GTW2B; 660-GTX2; 660-GTX2B; 660-GVPX2B; 660-WG2B; 660-LVS; 660-20 S; 660-SB; 660-T22; 660-TS; 660-TS8; 660-TS8; 660-TSX; 660-TSX88; 660-V2B; 660-V82; 21 660-V82B; 660-X2B; 660-3SB; 660-H; 660-HB; 660-TK; 660-TKB (collectively hereinafter, the 22 "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous 23 levels of lead and lead compounds without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in 24 violation of Proposition 65. Lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Listed Chemicals") are 25 potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because they are listed as known to 26 cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. 27

28

On December 8, 2014, a sixty-day notice of violation ("60-Day Notice"), along with a

1 Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff to Defendant and various public enforcement 2 agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. 3 On February 18, 2015, Plaintiff filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. 4

5

No Admissions

1.3

6 Defendant denies all allegations in paragraph 1.2, above, in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice and 7 Complaint, and maintains that at all times the Products have been, and are, in compliance with 8 all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be 9 construed as an admission of liability by Defendant, but to the contrary, as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the 10 Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

12

11

1.4 **Jurisdiction And Venue**

13 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations of the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los 14 Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this 15 Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and 16 Proposition 65. 17

18

1.5 **Effective Date**

The "Effective Date" shall be five (5) days after Plaintiff's counsel provides written 19 notice to Defendant's counsel that this Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the 20 Court. 21

22

2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION

23

2.1 Reformulation

24 As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell or offer for sale in California any of the Products that contain more than 100 parts per million (0.01%) of lead when analyzed pursuant to 25 Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B or equivalent, without providing 26 "clear and reasonable warnings" as described in section 2.2 below. 27

28

2.2

Clear And Reasonable Warnings

For Products that are manufactured and/or supplied by Defendant and sold or offered for
 sale in California, each unit that does not meet the Reformulation standard in section 2.1 shall be
 accompanied by the following specific warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording:

"WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer,] birth defects and other reproductive harm."

Defendant shall use the bracketed "cancer" in the warning only if the daily exposure to lead through the reasonably anticipated use of the Product exceeds 15 micrograms of lead. Each unit shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, near the product name, price, or UPC code, in a manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer.

3. <u>PAYMENTS</u>

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all causes of action in Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant shall pay a total
civil penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,750.00) paid to State of California
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25%
(\$1,250.00) paid to Plaintiff.

Defendant shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order
made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of
\$3,750.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in
Trust for Isabel Ruggeri" in the amount of \$1,250.00. Defendant shall remit the payments within
five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

24 25

22

23

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Defendant shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of sixteen
 thousand five hundred dollars (\$16,500.00). Defendant shall remit the payment within five (5)
 business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

6 7

4

5

4. <u>BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

8 4.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding agreement between Plaintiff. 9 on behalf of herself and in the public interest, and Defendant, of any alleged violation of 10 Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of 11 exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Products and fully resolves all 12 claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the Effective 13 Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Products. Plaintiff on behalf of herself 14 and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendant, and its respective officers, directors, 15 shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, 16 franchisees, licensees, customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other 17 upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Product, and the predecessors, 18 successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims. 19 actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising 20 21 from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Products regarding lead, or any other claims alleged in this action, up through and including the Effective Date. 22

4.2 Plaintiff on her own behalf only, on one hand, and Defendant on its own behalf
and on behalf of the Released Parties, further waive and release any and all claims they may have
against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or
opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the 60-Day Notice or Complaint up
through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 4 shall
affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

1 4.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the 60-Day Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Products will develop or be 2 3 discovered. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself only, on one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, 4 acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such 5 claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. Plaintiff and 6 Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in sections 4.1 and 4.2 above may include 7 unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such known claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows: 8

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."

Plaintiff on behalf of herself only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand,
acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of
California Civil Code section 1542.

4.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to
constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any release regarding alleged exposures to lead in
the Products as set forth in the 60-Day Notice and the Complaint.

19

5.

9

10

11

12

COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

27

6.

<u>SEVERABILITY</u>

28

Subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, should any part or provision of

1	this Consent Judgment, for any reason, be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or		
2	unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.		
3	7. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>		
4	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of		
5	California.		
6	8. <u>NOTICES</u>		
7	All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment		
8	shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as		
9	follows:		
10			
11	TO DEFENDANT:	TO PLAINTIFF:	
12	Kenneth E. Chyten	Lucas T. Novak, Esq.	
13	Law Office of Kenneth E. Chyten 300 East Esplanade Drive, Suite 900	Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak	
14	Oxnard, CA 93036	8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069	
15			
16	9. <u>INTEGRATION</u>		
17		tire agreement between the parties with respect	
18	to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.		
19	10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>		
20	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed		
21	an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution		
22	and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall		
23	constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent		
24	Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.		
25	11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
26	The undersigned are authorized to execute	this Concert Indoment on help 16 - 64	

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions
of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this

Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or
 interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

AGREED TO: AGREED TO: Date: July 28, 2015 Printed Name: MICHAEL E. MEAGHER By: Michael & Meagher Authorized Officer of Defendant, Stoux Chief Mfg. Co., Inc. **AGREED TO:** Date: By: Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Consent Judgment regarding Ruggeri v. Sioux Chief Mfg. Co., Inc., et al

Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or 1 interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party. 2 3 **AGREED TO:** 4 July 28, 2015 5 Date: 6 Printed Name: MICHAEL E. MEAGHER 7 C. Meagher. 8 9 By: 10 Authorized Officer of Defendant, Sioux Chief Mfg. Co., Inc. 11 12 **AGREED TO:** 1 30, 2015 13 Date: 14 15 By: Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 19 20 Dated: 21 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 22 23 24 Ν., 25 26 27 28 8 Consent Judgment regarding Ruggeri v. Sioux Chief Mfg. Co., Inc., et al