State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	Supplemental F	Filing	ng	
PARTIES TO THE ACTION PARTIES TO THE ACTION	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT Beauty Solutions LT	T T	Supplemental F	Filing Corrected Fili	ng	
	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCC0004765		COURTN	NAME Beda County C	'ourthouse	
เซยเ	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Coca	mide DEA Cas	- 1	eda County C	ourthouse	
NFO NFO	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$1,200 WILL SETTLEMENT BE SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, RI MUST BE	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS \$7,800 TER ENTRY OF JUDGMEN EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDG SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY	FEES PA' O T BY DA MENT GENERAL	WMENT: OTHER .00 TE SETTLEMENT SIGNED 11 /19 /2015 E ATTACHED	For Internal Use Only	
FILER INFO	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Av CITY	el Greenbaum e., Suite 32 STATE ZIP	2 0	-MAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-21 FAXNUMBER (424) 243-76	598
	Van Nuys	CA 9140	6 0	dgreenbaum@g	reenbaumlawfirm.c	om

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC LEWIS BRISBOIS Garth Ward, Esq. 701 B Street, Suite 1900 San Diego CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-4952 Facsimile: (619) 233-8627 Email: garth.ward@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Defendant BEAUTY SOLUTIONS LTD SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350) PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES Shefa LMV, LLC v. Target, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC520410] PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEAUTY SOLUTIONS LTD Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. Action filed: September 4, 2013					
	Page 1 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEAUTY SOLUTIONS LTD - JCCP No. 4765					

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Beauty Solutions LTD ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactured, distributed, and/or sold a product identified on Exhibit A that allegedly contained Cocamide diethanolamine ("Cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.
- 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of Cocamide DEA in the type of product identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding.

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

5.5 Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, contractors, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure

to warn about Cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.

- 7.3 Shefa, acting on its behalf and in the public interest, releases and discharges
 Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and
 all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs
 and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of
 Proposition 65 arising from the alleged failure to warn about alleged exposure to Cocamide DEA
 in the Covered Products.
- 7.4 Shefa, acting on its behalf only, and not in its representative capacity, releases and discharges Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and all known and unknown claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65 or for any other statutory or common law claims, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to Cocamide DEA in the Covered Products.
- 7.5 It is possible that other claims not known to the parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered.
- 7.6 Shefa, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims including all rights of action thereof. Shefa has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code section 1542.
- 7.7 Shefa, on behalf of itself only, and not in its representative capacity, acknowledges that the claims released above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEAUTY SOLUTIONS LTD - JCCP No. 4765

- 10.2 Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Shefa's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification.
- 10.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 10.4 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.5 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.4 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein.
- 11.5 No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.6 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.
- 11.7 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

- 11.8 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.9 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- 11.10 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- 11.11 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.12 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- 11.13 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.
- 11.14 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel.
- 11.15 Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.16 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

1 2	AGREED TO:	
3	Dated: 11/19/2015	SHEFA LMV, LLC By:
4	I	By: Clas
5		
6 7		
8		
9	Dated: 11/19/2015	BEAUTY SOLUTIONS LTD
10	E	By: Jay Warhuers
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 11		

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT					
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Beauty					
3	Solutions LTD, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in					
4	accordance with the terms herein.					
5						
6	Dated:					
7						
8						
9	Judge of the Superior Court					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
7						
8						
Ш	Page 11					

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Beauty Solutions LTD
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.2):

LEWIS BRISBOIS
Garth Ward, Esq.
701 B Street, Suite 1900
San Diego CA 92101
Email: garth.ward@lewisbrisbois.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): January 2, 2015
- Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Target,
 Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC520410
 - a. Date Complaint Filed: September 4, 2013
- 6. Covered Product Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4):
 - ShampoosSoaps
- 7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3):

Fran Wilson Ageless Express; UPC: 088634000436

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$9,000.00 Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$1,200.00 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): \$ N/A Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$7,800.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.